gl **

Decision No.

81444

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules, regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers and city carriers relating to the transportation of sand, rock, gravel and related items (commodities for which rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariffs Nos. 7 and 17-A).

Case No. 5437 Petition for Modification No. 218 (Order Granting Rehearing Issued October 25, 1972)

ORIGINAL

- E. O. Blackman, for California Dump Truck Owners Association, petitioner.
- Karl K. Roos, Attorney at Law, and <u>Harry C. Phelan</u>, <u>Jr.</u>, for California Asphalt Plant Association, protestant.
- <u>W. T. Meinhold</u> and Arlo D. Poe, Attorneys at Law, and Herbert Hughes, for California Trucking Association; Brundage, Neyhart, Miller, Reicht & Poppy, by <u>Daniel Feins</u>, Attorney at Law, for Western Conference of Teamsters; <u>C. Fred Imhof</u>, for Industrial Asphalt; and <u>G. Ralph Grago</u>, for Associated Independent Owner-Operators, Inc.; interested parties.

Donald L. Denney, for L. R. Denney, Inc., and Les Calkins, for Les Calkins Trucking, Inc., respondents. Lionel B. Wilson, Attorney at Law, for the Commission staff.

OPINION ON REHEARING

Decision No. 80308 dated July 25, 1972 in this proceeding substantially granted the petition of the California Dump Truck Owners Association (CDTOA) to increase the hourly rates for the transportation of specified commodities in dump truck equipment . set forth in Minimum Rate Tariffs 7 and 17 (MRT 7 and MRT 17).

Decision No. 80647 dated October 25, 1972 granted the petition of the California Asphalt Pavement Association (CAPA) for rehearing of Decision No. 80308.

-1-

Rehearing was held before Examiner Mallory at Los Angeles on December 7 and 8, 1972. The matter was submitted upon receipt of concurrent briefs filed by petitioner, CAPA, California Trucking Association (CTA), and the Commission staff (staff).

Inasmuch as the order granting rehearing did not specify the scope of the proceeding on rehearing, the Examiner defined the issues as the following:

- 1. Receipt in evidence of wage contracts underlying the proposed revision of the hourly rates.
- 2. Explanation of the wage contracts, including the effective date and territorial application of each contract.
- 3. Evidence with respect to the entities which are covered by said contracts, including evidence as to whether the contracts apply to owner-operators which operate as independent contractors.
- 4. In the event that wage contracts are not generally applicable to the entities actually performing the transportation service, whether increases specified in the wage contracts should serve as a basis for adjusting the minimum hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.
- 5. The data necessary to comply with Rule 23.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Evidence was presented on behalf of CDTOA, CTA, CAPA, and the Commission staff. The Commission staff witness presented information derived from data accumulated in the Commission's Data Bank with respect to dump truck carriers. That evidence showed that the majority of the persons holding dump truck carrier permits (Section 3610 of the Public Utilities Code) are one- or two-truck operators, and, therefore, it can be presumed that they are owneroperators operating as independent contractors. CDTOA and CTA, in their testimony and in their briefs, concede that the majority of the holders of dump carrier permits are owner-operators and that such owner-operators provide the bulk of the services for certain types of dump truck transportation, such as on construction projects.

Evidence in Support of CDTOA's Petition

CDTOA offered in evidence the wage agreements relied upon as a basis for the proposed offset increases in hourly rates.^{1/} The witness for CDTCA testified that the wage agreements in Exhibits 218-2 thru 5 are currently in effect; that forerunners to these contracts historically underlie the wage-cost elements of the hourly rates in MRT 7; and that the increases in current basic wages and fringe benefits set forth in the AGC contracts (Exhibits 218-2 and 218-3) are the bases for the increases sought in the minimum hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17. The testimony of this witness showed that wage and fringe benefit rates established in these wage contracts plus allied compensation insurance, and current state and federal tax costs have been utilized to determine the wage cost element of hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17 for many years. The witness showed that the hourly rates in MRT 7 were originally

1/ The wage agreements introduced by CDTOA are the following:

Exhibit 218-2 - Master Agreement between Associated General Contractors of California and Heavy, Highway, Building and Construction Teamster Committee for Northern California International Brotherhood of Teamsters.

Exhibit 218-3 - Master Labor Agreement between Southern California General Contractors and Teamsters Joint Council No. 42 and Teamsters Local Union No. 87.

Exhibit 218-4 - Agreement between Rock Products and Ready Mixed Concrete Employers of Southern California and Locals 420, 692, 495, 88, 982, 235, and 871 of International Brotherhood of Teamsters.

Exhibit 218-5 - Agreement between Aggregates and Concrete Association of Northern California, Inc. and International Brotherhoood of Teamsters.

-3-

double-factor rates in that they were composed of two stated rate elements, i.e., an amount for the equipment and an amount for the prevailing wage. CDTOA showed that in Decision No. 50854 dated December 14, 1954 and Decision No. 52952 dated April 24, 1956 the Commission established single-factor hourly rates for dump trucks in Southern and Northern California Territories, respectively. The witness testified that in establishing these single factor rates, the AGC agreements were utilized in compiling the Column A (power-loading) costs and the Rock and Sand agreements were utilized in compiling the Column C (bunker-loading) costs. The witness testified that because the amount of the dump truck wage increases in renewals of the AGC agreements (Exhibits 218-2 and 218-3) and in the Rock and Sand agreements (Exhibits 218-4 and 218-5) have been about the same, because a substantial amount of dump truck hauling for which Rock and Sand wage agreements are applicable is performed under zone rates, and because a good deal of dump truck hauling performed under Column C loading conditions is subject to AGC wage rates (decomposed granite, asphaltic concrete, rock and sand spread or windrowed on the construction job, etc.) wage rate increases negotiated in the AGC contracts have been the amounts utilized by CDTOA in offset proceedings in MRT 7.2 It is the position of CDTOA that since the Commission has consistently followed the practice of utilizing wage increases negotiated in the AGC contracts for the past 15 years, the Commission should continue to use this procedure for the adjustment of hourly rates.

CTA supports CDTOA's petition. Witnesses for CTA and for CDTOA concede that owner-operators are not covered by the AGC or Rock and Sand wage agreements, and that not all overlying carriers are covered by AGC or Rock and Sand Master Agreements. The

2/ The hourly rates in MRT 17 have always been maintained on the MRT 7 level.

-4.

witnesses for CTA and CDTOA presented testimony to show that some overlying carriers had entered into so-called "Short Form" agreements to apply the provisions of the AGC contracts to their operations on construction projects, even though they are not signatories to the Master Agreements.^{3/} The testimony of dump-truck carriers that employ others, incorporated herein by reference, is that if work is performed on the job-site^{4/} of a construction project, the carriers have been required to pay their employed drivers on the basis of the AGC wage scales. Some of the carriers have discontinued use of employed drivers and engaged owner-operator subhaulers to perform construction job-site hauling.

3/ By stipulation, the testimony of witnesses appearing for various respondent carriers received in Case No. 5437, Petition No. 213, was incorporated herein by reference. The testimony received in Petition No. 213 of the following persons is incorporated herein:

Transcript Page	Witness
Vol. 1, Page 22	La Fay Lindeman
Vol. 2, Page 190	Leonard B. Ortiz
Vol. 3, Page 259	David Rogers
Vol. 4, Page 333	Robert Anthony*
Vol. 4, Page 367	Kenneth Bunyard*
Vol. 4, Page 376	Frank E- West*
Vol. 4, Page 448	Robert R. Hill
Vol. 13, Page 1138	Frank Golzen
Vol. 13, Page 1188	Lester Calkins
Vol. 13, Page 1247	Byron Van Metre
Vol. 14, Page 1260	Wesley Bassett*
Vol. 14, Page 1278	Lewis Shelley*
Vol. 44, Page 3588	Raymond McCormick
Vol. 44, Page 3550	James Grogan, Jr.*
Vol. 44, Page 3654	Morris Engle*
Vol. 48, Page 3885	Clarence Bailey
Vol. 56, Page 4421	Lester Calkins
Vol 56 Page 44/17	
Vol. 56, Page 4447	La Fay Lindeman
Vol. 58, Page 4554	Walker Broan
Vol. 58, Page 4569	Dan R. Moe

* Owner-operator, not signatory to any labor agreement.

- # Uses employed drivers, but is not signatory to any labor agreement.
- 4/ The term "job-site" is derived from National Labor Relations Board rulings. It is not defined for the purposes of this proceeding.

CTA and CDTOA presented testimony and exhibits to show that under Section 1773 of the California Labor Code the public body awarding any contract for public work must ascertain the general prevailing wages in the area for all classes of workmen employed on the project and that it must ensure that contractors pay the generally prevailing wages; and that in compliance with that statute, the Department of Public Works, Division of Highways, has prepared documents showing the generally prevailing wages applicable in different locations. The testimony of these witnesses is that the current Northern and Southern AGC scales are the prevailing wages for operators of dump truck equipment on public highway projects, as determined by the Division of Highways.

Position of CAPA

CAPA's position in this proceeding, as stated in its closing brief, is the record fails to establish that:

1. The AGC-Teamsters Master Labor Agreements (Exhibits 218-2 and 218-3) establish the <u>employee</u> drivers' basic wage and fringe benefit costs for for-hire dump-truck carriers operating over the public highways performing materials hauling services at hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.

2. The basic wage and fringe benefit costs set forth in said wage contracts are actual costs of dump truck carriers which have been and are continuing to be incurred in materials hauling services at hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.

3. The Commission may enter affirmative findings on each of the issues raised in Rule 23.1(B) of the Rules of Procedure.

CAPA argued that the record shows that neither AGC nor Rock and Sand labor agreements (Exhibits 213-1 thru 213-5) establish the <u>employee</u> drivers' wage cost levels. CAPA takes the position that owner-operators should be compensated for driving their own vehicles at the same levels as if said owner-operators were salaried employees. CAPA's point is that the use of either the

·6·

AGC or Rock and Sand wage agreements produces artificial, theoretical wage cost levels higher than actually encountered as the prevailing pay practices of bona fide for-hire dump truck carrier fleet operators. It is CAPA's position that the record in this proceeding and the portion of the record in OSH 213 incorporated herein show that AGC wage agreement applies to contractors and their employees and does not apply to dump truck carriers and their employees (except in those few instances where the dump truck carrier is also a contractor or has signed a "Short Form" agreement to apply the AGC scale) because the dump truck carriers are not signatories to the AGC wage agreements. It is the position of CAPA that the evidence of record clearly establishes that "wage cost increases" allegedly incurred by the for-hire dump truck fleet operator have not actually been incurred or realized. Commission Staff Position

The Commission staff takes no position with respect to the issue as what wage contracts or labor costs should be found to be representative of the costs incurred under MRT 7 and MRT 17. The Commission staff, in its closing brief, argued that the Price Commission had established a ceiling of 5.5 percent as the maximum permissible annual aggregate wage salary increase, and wage increases in excess of that amount are not allowable costs if the wage agreement was entered into after November 13, 1971. The staff brief points out that the Southern California AGC wage agreement (Exhibit 213-2) was entered into on December 10, 1971, and that the Northern California Rock and Sand agreement (Exhibit 213-5) was entered into on July 31, 1972.

The staff argued that since these contracts were entered into after November 13, 1971, any portion of the increased wages reflected in the contracts in excess of the 5.5 percent guideline cannot be used to raise the minimum rates to which those contracts relate.

-7-

Discussion

These same issues raised by CAPA in this proceeding are raised in the related proceeding (OSH 213) in which the staff has presented current cost and economic studies. The issues raised by CAPA are proper for decision in that proceeding because the Commission has before it the necessary facts to determine the labor costs that should apply in connection with a full-scale revision of the hourly dump truck rates (rather than by offset adjustment).

The sole purpose of offset proceedings is to measure differences in wages, fringe benefits, and taxes, and not to establish entirely new bases of rates. The only reasonable basis presented in this record for an offset adjustment of hourly rates for dump truck transportation is to continue to use as the measure of increased wages the differences in wages and fringe benefits set forth in the AGC wage agreements. The Commission has consistently held in prior offset rate proceedings that hourly dump truck rates should be adjusted to the same extent that wages are revised pursuant to the AGC wage agreements, with the knowledge that the greatest number of carriers subject to the minimum hourly rates are not signatories to the AGC wage agreements.

The preponderance of the transportation services under hourly rates are performed by carriers not subject to any of the labor agreements relied upon by petitioner as a basis for the sought adjustments in hourly rates. The majority of dump truck carriers are owner-operators not subject to any wage contract. Owner-operators are employed by overlying carriers in lieu of using their own employees. As indicated in the statistical data for the second quarter of 1972 presented by the staff, 2,217 of the reporting dump truck carriers (of a total of 5,210 completed reports) showed only subhauling revenues, and 342 reported operations only as overlying carriers. None of the carriers in either group is a fleet operator.

-8-

It is apparent from the aforesaid statistical data and other evidence adduced herein that few fleet operators using employed drivers are currently operating under hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17. To attempt to pinpoint fleet operators and to determine the wage scales applicable to their operations in order to develop a basis for an offset rate adjustment would present an extraordinarily difficult task, and would not necessarily provide a reasonable basis for making offset adjustments in minimum hourly rates.

The rate levels previously found reasonable in Decision No. 80308 should be granted, without the modification suggested by the staff.

Findings of Fact

1. Petitioner, CDTOA, seeks an offset increase in the hourly rates set forth in MRT 7 and MRT 17 for transportation of specified commodities in bulk in dump trucks.

2. In prior offset rate proceedings involving hourly dump truck rates, CDTOA and CTA have requested, and the Commission has granted, increases in hourly rates reflecting the wage and fringe benefit increases set forth in the wage contracts between the Associated General Contractors and various locals of the Teamsters Union.

3. The preponderance of the dump truck carriers providing transportation services under the hourly rates set forth in MRT 7 and MRT 17 are not signatories to the AGC-Teamster wage agreements. The majority of the holders of dump truck carrier permits are not signatories to any wage contract, inasmuch as said dump truck carriers operate as independent contractor subhaulers and drive their own vehicles.

4. For the purposes of this proceeding, it will be reasonable to use the wage scales and fringe benefits set forth in industry

-9-

labor contracts applicable to transportation of commodities in dump truck equipment as being representative of the labor costs of highway permit carriers engaged in such transportation which are not signatory to any wage contract.

5. Inasmuch as prior offset adjustments of the hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17 reflect the differences in wages and fringe benefits included in the so-called Northern and Southern AGC wage contracts, it will also be reasonable to use the data in said contracts as being representative of the current representative wage and fringe benefits applicable to transportation by highway permit carriers under hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.

6. The labor costs for wages and related fringe benefits in said agreements are, and have been since January 1, 1972, 79 to 85 cents an hour more than the labor costs for which provision is included in the current hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.

7. For the purposes of this petition the labor costs which were in effect on January 1, 1972, under said agreements will be accepted as representing the present level of the labor costs of for-hire highway carriers engaged in transportation subject to the hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.

8. In relation to the carriers' costs of service as of January 1, 1972, the hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17 are unreasonably low and insufficient.

- 9. The following increases in rates are justified:
 - 79 cents per hour in rates in Item 360, MRT 7;
 - 80 cents per hour in rates in Item 367, MRT 7, for Upper Northern District;
 - 85 cents per hour in rates in Items 361 and 365, MRT 7, and in rates in Item 367, MRT 7, for Lower Northern District and Southern Territory;
 - 85 cents per hour in rates in Item 2210, MRT 17.

-10-

10. In compliance with Rule 23.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, we further find that:

- (a) The increases found justified herein reflect increased wage costs as of January 1, 1972, and the increases, therefore, are cost justified.
- (b) The increases are necessary to assure continued, adequate, and safe service by highway permit carriers engaged in the transportation of commodities in bulk in dump truck equipment under hourly rates in MRT 7 and MRT 17.
- (c) The rate increases take into account productivity gains.
- (d) The increases will achieve the minimum operating ratio for the carriers involved herein, as a group, needed to attract capital at reasonable cost and not to impair the credit of the carriers.
- (e) No carrier appeared at the hearing for purpose of expressing a willingness to furnish service under existing minimum hourly dump truck rates.

Conclusions of Law

1. Petition No. 218 in Case No. 5437, upon rehearing, should be granted to the extent found reasonable in the above findings.

2. MRT 7 and MRT 17 should be amended as provided in the following order.

ORDER ON REHEARING

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Minimum Rate Tariff 7 (Appendix A of Decision No. 32566, as amended) is hereby further amended by incorporating therein, to become effective July 7, 1973, the revised pages set forth in Appendix A attached hereto and made a part hereof.

2. In all other respects Decision No. 32566, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

3. Minimum Rate Tariff 17-A (Appendix B to Decision No. 80578, as amended) is hereby further amended by incorporating therein to become effective July 7, 1973, First Revised Page 2-1 and First Revised Page 2-2, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

4. In all other respects Decision No. 80578, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

5. Except as otherwise provided through this order, Petition for Modification No. 218 in Case No. 5437 is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	<u> </u>	an Francis	, ,	California,	this 30 +4
day of		MAY, 1	.973.			

A abstorie Muterine J

Commissioners

APPENDIX A

LIST OF SUPPLEMENT AND REVISED PAGES TO MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 7

SUPPLEMENT 44

THIRTY-THIRD REVISED PAGE 42 TWENTY-FIFTH REVISED PAGE 42-A TWENTY-SEVENTH REVISED PAGE 42-C NINTH REVISED PAGE 42-E

(END OF APPENDIX A LIST)

SUPPLEMENT 44

(Cancels Supplement 37 and 39) . (Supplements 27, 43 and 44 Contain All Changes)

70

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 7

NAMING

MINIMUM RATES AND RULES

FOR THE

TRANSPORTATION OF PROPERTY IN DUMP TROCK

EQUIPMENT BETWEEN POINTS IN CALIFORNIA

BY

RADIAL HIGHWAY COMMON CARRIERS

HIGHWAY CONTRACT CARRIERS

AND

DUMP TRUCK CARRIERS

APPLICATION OF SURCHARGE

Compute the amount of charges in accordance with the rates and charges in Items 130, 148, 148.1 and 366 and increase the amount so computed by five and one-half (5%) percent, dropping fractions of less than one-half cent and increasing fractions of one-half cent or greater to one cent.

of Change, Decision No.

81444

EFFECTIVE

Issued by the PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA State Building, Civic Center San Francisco, California 94102

EVISED PAGE 42 THIRTY-THI CANCELS

THIRTY-SECOND REVISED PAGE.

P

2149

21.95

. e

1802

1848

2497

2543

ITEM

0360



SECTION 4--HOURLY RATES (Continued) CONMODITIES, as described in Item 320 (Items 360 and 361) (For Application of Rates see Item 362) NORTHERN TERRITORY (See Item 110) (1) Rates in Cents per Hour (See Item 300) Upper Northern District (See Item 315) Number of Axles Per Unit of Equipment. Column A Column C ж ¢ 2 ĸ 0 2 1523 2182 1851 1457 2115 1785 3 1660 -2348 2003 1580 2269 1924 1792 2463 2128 1687 2358× 2022 ۸

(1) (2) Minimum charge shall be the rate for one hour.

1921

1977

Applies to units of equipment not meeting with the legal requirements for the maximum allowed load.

2616

2672

2268

2324

Applies to units of equipment meeting with the legal requirements for the maximum allowed load. (3)

81444

(Continued in Item 361)

Increase, Decision No.

5(2)

5 or more (3)

Correction	ISSUED BY TH	e public utilities commissi	STATE OF C Rancisco, C	
·	•	EFFECTIVE		
	·			

ISED PAGE 42-A TWENTY-FIFTH CANCELS

dinana ar si satu Tirang ar si satu

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 7

TWENTY-FOURTH REVISED PAGE 42-A

- <u>, -, , ,</u>	SECTION 4	-BOURLY RAT	ES (Continu			
Compodities (P	, as descri or Applicat	ibed in Ite tion of Rat	m 320 (Iten tes see Iten	s 360 and 30 362)	51)	
		NORTHE (1) Rates	RN TERRITOR in Conts po:	r (See Item r Hour (See	110) Item 300)	
Number of Axles er Unit of Equipment		Ľ	ower Northe (See Ite			
		Column A			Column C	
	×	0	2	м	•	8
2	1438	2007	1721	1365	1932	1649
3	1554	2130	1841	1468	2045	1756
4	1693	2254	1970	1574	2139	1856
5 (2)	1828	2426	2126	1690	2289	1989
1	1882	2482	2182	1736	2334	2035

Minimum charge shall be the rate for one hour.
 Applies to units of equipment not meeting with the legal requirements for the maximum allowed load.
 Applies to units of equipment meeting with the legal requirements for the maximum allowed load.

· Increase, Decision No.

81444

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

Correction

•

TWENTY-SEVENTH REVISED PAGE 42-C CANCELS TWENTY-SIXTH REVISED PAGE 42-C

•••••

(

MINIMUM. RATE TARIFE 7

COM	ODITIES, as describe (For Application of	d in Items 320 and 322. Rates see Item 366.)		
Number of Axles Per Unit of Equipment	(See)	SOUTHERN TERRITORY (See Item 100) (1) Rates in Cents Per Hou Item 300) (See Note 1 in It	r em 366)	
	Column A	Column C	Column D	
2	1353	1315	1089	٥
3	1457	1404	1160	
5 (2)	1597	1537 1649	1267 1355-	
5 or more (3)	1808	1734	1424	
 (1) Minimum charge in this tariff. (2) Applies to unit: the maximum all 	s of equipment not conved load.	r one hour, except as other omplying with the legal req ying with the legal require	uirements for	
 (1) Minimum charge in this tariff. (2) Applies to unit: the maximum allows the second sec	s of equipment not co owed load. s of equipment comply load.	omplying with the legal require	uirements for	
 Minimum charge in this tariff. Applies to unit: the maximum allowed 	s of equipment not coved load. s of equipment comply load.	omplying with the legal require	uirements for	
 Minimum charge in this tariff. Applies to unit: the maximum allowed 	s of equipment not coved load. s of equipment comply load.	omplying with the legal require	uirements for	
 Minimum charge in this tariff. Applies to unit: the maximum allowed 	s of equipment not coved load. s of equipment comply load.	omplying with the legal require	uirements for	
 Minimum charge in this tariff. Applies to unit: the maximum allowed 	s of equipment not coved load. s of equipment comply load.	omplying with the legal require	uirements for	
 Minimum charge in this tariff. Applies to unit: the maximum allowed 	s of equipment not coved load. s of equipment comply load.	omplying with the legal require	uirements for	

NCELS

REVISED PAGE 42-E (3) EIGH

AND SEVENTH REVISED PAGE 42-E

ITEM

SECTION 4--HOURLY RATES (Continued) COMMODITIES, as described in Item 321 (For Application of Rates see Item 368). (1) Rates in Cents per Hour (See Item 300) Level Capacity of Dump Truck Body NORTHERN TERRITORY (See Item 110) in Cubic Yards (See Note 1 SOUTHERN Upper Northern District in Item 368) Lower Northern District TERRITORY (See Item 100) (See Note 2 In. (See Item 315). (See Item 315); But Item. 368). Over Not Over М M. P ð δ 7 1.408 ģ 1575 1.847 17 18 1737. 2374 22 23 0 17 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 0 17 0 17 . 0 17 0.17 0.17 o 27 . . · . · Minimum charge shall be the rate for one hour. Add to the rate for 26 cubic yard capacity, the amount shown opposite this reference mark for each additional cubic yard or fraction thereof. R (3) Suspended by Supplement 39. ð Increase, except as noted) Decision No. No change EFFECTIVE ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Correction SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA. -42-E-

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 7

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 17-A

SECTION 2HOURLY	' RATES	ITEN
Application of hourly pates n	AMED IN ITEN 2020	
The hourly rates in Item 2020 apply to the the commodities described in Items 65 and 380, a Diego County, for the commodities described in I has expired (see Item 180). They also apply to Item 180. Nourly rates include driver's wages a property for one shipper in one unit of dump tru	and, when point of origin is in tem 60 only when free time all the accessorial charges provi and apply to the transportation	in San llowance ided in
COLUMN "C" rates apply:		
(a) to transportation of commodities subje	et to Note 3 of Item 65, and,	/or
(b) as provided in Item 180 (Accessorial C	tharges).	
COLUMN "D" rates apply only when specific x	eference is made thereto (se	• Item 180).
NOTE 1 (r) For transportation service furnished v New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, La Day, add to the applicable hourly rate in Item 2	abor Day, Thanksgiving Day, C	d/or bristmas
(b) Except as otherwise provided in paragr Exception set forth below, for transportation set Saturdays or during periods in excess of 8 hours ceble hourly rate shown in Item 2020: 54.31 per in any one shift" means the time which exceeds 8 dump truck equipment reports for service, during cusly engaged by one shipper or overlying carrie loads transported within the period.	ervice furnished under Item 2 s in any one shift, add to the r hour. "Periods in excess of 8 hours from the time the dri 9 which time said driver is c	020 on e appli- f 8 hours 2000 ver with ontinu-
EXCEPTION The additional rates set forth transportation service performed on days, other is performed by one driver with dump truck equip in any one shift.	than Saturdays, except when	service
NOTE 2 The application of hourly rates for provisions of Note 3 of Item 65 is subject to the	or transportation performed under following conditions:	nder the
(a) In determining chargeable time, the or reporting for work to start of last trip plus do plus unloading time of last load.	ver-all time shall ber From ouble the running time of las	time t trip
(b) In determining chargeable time, allow caused by failure of carrier equipment or time charged shall include time for transportation is and unloading and waiting or stand-by time at or	taken out for meals. Time to n both directions, time for 1	be i
(c) In the event that a carrier is release and is re-engaged by the same shipper at a point within the same 24-hour period (computed from 1) ment initially reports for service), hourly rat- time from the point of release to the subsequent	t other than the point of suc 2:01 a.m. on the date the uni es shall be assessed for the	t of equip-
	1444	
No change on this page, Decision No. 🔿	T.T.T.T	
<u></u>	<u> </u>	and the second sec
	EFFECTIVE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Correction	ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNI

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 17-2

1.14

ITEN

SECTION 2-HOURLY RATES (CONCLUDED)

HOURLY RATES (For Application of Rates, see Item 2000)

(1) Rates in Cents Per Hour (See Notes 1 and 2 in Item 2000)

Number of Axles Per Unit of Equipment	Column C	Column D	
2 3 4 5 (2) 5 or more (3)	1315 1404 1537 1649 1734	1089 1160 1267 1335- 1424	 \$2020

(1) Minimum Charge shall be the rate for one hour, except as otherwise provided in this tariif.

(2) Applies to units of equipment not complying with the legal requirements for the maximum allowed load.

(3) Applies to units of equipment complying with the legal requirements for the maximum allowed load.

81444

Increase, Decision No.

Correction

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

EFFECTIVE

-2-2-