82746

ORIGINAL

Decision No.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Industrial Communications Systems, Inc., Intrastate Radiotelephone, Inc. of Los Angeles, Mobilfone, Inc., Radio Page Communications, Inc.,

Complainants.

vs.

Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company and General Telephone of California,

Defendants.

In the Matter of the Suspension and Investigation on the Commission's own motion of tariffs filed under General Telephone Company of California Advice Letter No. 2939, establishing a radio paging personal signaling service in the Los Angeles Extended Area.

In the Matter of the Suspension and Investigation on the Commission's own motion of tariffs filed under The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company Advice Letter No. 11039, establishing a radio paging personal signaling service in the Los Angeles Extended Area.

Case No. 9395 (Filed June 26, 1972)

Case No. 9551 (Filed May 1, 1973)

Case No. 9552 (Filed May 1, 1973)

OPINION AND ORDER

By Decision No. 81627 dated July 24, 1973 the suspensions of the effective date of the tariffs filed by Advice Letter No. 11039 of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company (Pacific) and by

Advice Letter No. 2939 of General Telephone Company of California (General) were extended until March 3, 1974.

Opening briefs in these matters are to be filed on May 13, 1974 and closing briefs are to be filed on May 23, 1974.

Although technically the suspended tariffs, through inadvertence, are in effect Pacific and General have graciously agreed to the tariffs being permanently suspended and will refile them by other advice letter filings.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the tariffs filed by Advice Letter No. 11039 of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company and by Advice Letter No. 2939 of General Telephone Company of California are permanently suspended.

	The effective date of	this order is the date hereof.
	Dated at San Francisco	, California, this
day of	APRIL	1974.

President Commissioners

Commissioner Vernon L. Sturgeon, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.