## Decision No. 82759

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANK SPEZIALE,

Complainant,

vs.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.

Roland V. Howard, Attorney at Law, for Frank Speziale, complainant. Linda S. Friedman, Attorney at Law, for Pacific Gas and Electric Company, defendant.

Case No. 9622

(Filed October 11, 1973)

## OPINION AND ORDER

This is a complaint by Frank Speziale against Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) for willfully, wrongfully, and unjustifiably discontinuing electrical service to complainant's motel site located in the city of Atwater. Complainant asks that the Commission determine the legality and propriety of PG&E's action. In Decision No. 82019 dated October 16, 1973, we denied complainant's request for interim restoration of service pending hearing on the merits. The matter came on for hearing before Examiner Pilling on December 14, 1973 in the city of Atwater.

Subsequent to the hearing on the matter the attorney for PG&E informed the Commission by letter dated January 18, 1974, with copy to complainant's attorney, that PG&E restored electrial service to complainant's motel site. Such being the case, we find that the situation complained of in the complaint has been satisfied and conclude that the matter should be dismissed.

-1-

Jmm

C. 9622 1mm

IT IS ORDERED that the complaint is dismissed. The effective date of this order shall be thirty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	San Francis	<b>co</b>	_, California, this <u>23</u> rd.
day of	APRIL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 1974.	
				$\rho = \rho_{-\rho}$
			Ven	non L. Stringon
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(1):	in the second second
			sung	
				Musinnin M. V-
				11 Alan-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	410	a destruction of the second se
			<b>S</b>	236-9
				Coumissioners
			* · ·	
			• • •	
	•			
			• • • •	
			, t	
	·	· · ·	•	
	, a			
		· · · ·	a de la composición d	
		-	2-	