Decision No. <u>82884</u>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of MARVIN BRADLEY JONES, individually and as Trustee for HELEN FRANCES ROCCAFORTE, for Authority to Acquire and control ELK GROVE WATER WORKS, an Unincorporated Public Utility.

Application No. 54818 (Filed April 19, 1974)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Section 854 of the Public Utilities Code, Marvin Bradley Jones, individually and as trustee for Helen Frances Roccaforte, seeks authority to acquire and control the water system known as Elk Grove Water Works.

After consideration, the Commission finds that:

- Pursuant to Section 851 of the Public Utilities Code and Decision No. 54415, dated January 22, 1957, in Application No. 38624, Marvin Bradley Jones, as executor of the will of Ira Jones, deceased, transferred to Selma K. Jones the water system known as Elk Grove Water Works.
- 2. Marvin Bradley Jones is the executor of the will of Selma K. Jones, deceased.
- 3. On December 31, 1973, the Superior Court in and for the County of Sacramento entered a Judgment for Preliminary Distribution to applicant herein of a portion of the water system known as Elk Grove Water Works.

- 1 -

lam

4. Attached to the application is a copy of said judgment excluding exhibits which, among other things, describe the portion of the water system being distributed, and the terms of the trust.

On the basis of the foregoing findings we conclude that the application should be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a transfer application pursuant to Section 851 of the Public Utilities Code, which application would include a complete copy of said Judgment for Preliminary Distribution including the exhibits attached thereto. A public hearing is not necessary.

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 54818 is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

-	Dated	at	San Francisco	California,	this	alst
day of	!	MAY	, 1974.			

Commissioners

Commissioner Thomas Moran, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner D. W. Holmes, being necessarily absent, did not participate 2 _in the disposition of this proceeding.