IS C.9728, et al.

Decision No. 83121

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY,

Complainant,

Case No. 9728

CIMAL

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY,

vs.

Defendant.

Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, a corporation, for authority to revise rates, charges and rate structures for intrastate voice grade private line services to establish a new service offering referred to as High Density-Low Density Service.

In the Matter of the Suspension and Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion of Tariffs filed under Advice Letter No. 1 by SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY. Application No. 54839

Case No. 9731

ORDER DENYING REHEARING

A petition for rehearing of our Order of Suspension and Investigation (Case No. 9731) and Decision No. 82852 has been filed by Southern Pacific Communications Company (SPCC). After consideration of said application and each and every allegation therein, we are of the opinion that good cause for rehearing has not been made to appear. However, we wish to point out that no inference should be drawn, by our action herein, that we have resolved or decided

1.

IS C.9728, et al.

the issue of whether a certificate of public convenience and necessity is required by SPCC before it can conduct its proposed operations. That issue will be decided at a later time after a full opportunity has been provided for the introduction of evidence and argument. Thus, we rely on Public Utilities Code, Section 455, as our efficientity to suspend and investigate the tariff sheets filed under SPCC's Advice Letter No. 1.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that rehearing of our Order of Suspension and Investigation and/or Decision No. 82852 is hereby denied.

The effective date of this order is the date hereof. Dated at <u>Ban Francisco</u>, California, this <u>fill</u> day of <u>JULY</u>, 1974.

issioners

2-