

IS

Decision No. 83944

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY for
authority, among other things, (a) to
increase its rates and charges for
electric service and (b) to modify
certain of its tariff schedules.

Application No. 53945
(Filed April 10, 1973;
amended March 5, 1974)

In the Matter of the Application of
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY for
authority, among other things, (a) to
increase its rates and charges for gas
service; (b) to include in its tariffs
a Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause or an
expanded Advice Letter procedure for
reflecting in its rates effects of
changes in purchased gas costs; and
(c) to modify certain of its tariff
schedules.

Application No. 53946
(Filed April 10, 1973;
amended March 5, 1974)

In the Matter of the Application of
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY for
authority, among other things, to
increase its rates and charges for
steam service.

Application No. 53970
(Filed April 17, 1973;
amended March 5, 1974)

ORDER DENYING REHEARING
OR STAY

On November 11, 1974 the City of San Diego (petitioner) filed a petition for a stay and for rehearing of Decision No. 83675. San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) filed a reply to the petition on December 6, 1974. The Commission has considered each and every allegation of the petition. Contrary to petitioner's assertions, the Commission finds as follows:

1. Decision No. 83675 is not incomplete and is supported by sufficient evidence in the record.

2. The Commission in Decision No. 83675 did not extend the test year beyond 1974 for purposes of determining reasonable rate increases for SDG&E in Phase I of these proceedings.

3. The fuel adjustment clause procedure and formula authorized for SDG&E in Decision No. 81517, ____ CPUC ____ (June 26, 1973), is valid and does not overcompensate SDG&E based on test year 1974 operating results adopted in Phase I of these proceedings.

4. The electric rate schedules authorized in Decision No. 83675 do not overcompensate SDG&E based on test year 1974 operating results adopted in Phase I of these proceedings.

5. The gas rate schedules authorized in Decision No. 83675 do not overcompensate SDG&E based on test year 1974 operating results adopted in Phase I of these proceedings.

6. The rate structure adopted in Decision No. 83675 does not discriminate against petitioner.

7. The franchise fee surcharge does not discriminate against petitioner.

8. Phase II of these proceedings will determine the effect of reduced sales by SDG&E for test year 1974 due to the conservation efforts of SDG&E and its customers.

Based on the foregoing findings, the Commission is of the opinion that good cause for a stay or rehearing of Decision No. 83675 has not been shown. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A stay of Decision No. 83675 is hereby denied.
2. Rehearing of Decision No. 83675 is hereby denied.

IS A.53945, et al.

The effective date of this order is the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 30th day
of DECEMBER, 1974.

Vernon L. Steegman
President
William J. Lyons, Jr.
Thomas Moran
Robert E. McLeod
Commissioners