In the Natter of the Appiscation of HILDEBRAND \& SON TRUCKING, INC., for authority, pursuant to the provisions of Section 3666 of the Public Utilities Code, to depart from the minimum rates, files and regrilations of Ninimur Rate Tariff No. 7-A.

Application No. 54896
(Filed May 20, 1974; amended Jwe 17, 1974)

Handier, Baker \& Greene, by Daniel W. Baker, Attorney at Law, for Hildebrand \& Son Trucking, Inc., appiscant.
James R. Foote, For Independent Owner Operators, Inc. $; E_{+}$O. Blackman $^{-}$and Raiph Eighm, for California Dump Iruck Owners Association; and James Tunstail, for Jim's Transfer Service; protestants.
F. R. Golzen, for UniversaI Transport System;

Mike Malinn for Miles \& Sons Trucking
Service; Les Calkins, for Les Calkins
Irucking; and Robert' Young, for ITS, Inc.; responcients.
I. C. Kaspar, R. C. Broberg, and Herbert W. Hughes, For California Trucking Association; Monael
Mcelroy, for Granite Construction Company; Edward E. Gay, for Teamsters Local 890;
Tony J. Gellepis, for Teamsters Local 287:
E. J. Bertana, for Lone Star Industries, Inc.
(Norther California Division); and Ray $S$. Eruton, for Kaiser Sand \& Gravel; interested parties.
$\frac{\text { Ravrond Tooher }}{\text { stard }}$ andorge Hunt, for the Commssion

## OPINIQ $\mathbb{N}$

In this application, as amended, Hildebrand \& Son Trucking, Inc. (Hildebrand), seeks authority to assess less than minimum rates for the transportation of earth, granite, rock, sand, and stone for Granite Construction Company from Iocations in Monterey and San Benito Counties to destinations in the same counties.

Public hearing was held before Examiner Mallory on a common record with Petition 277 in Case No. 5437 in Watsonville on Aughet 12 and 23, 1974 and September 30 and December 6, 1974 in San Framcisco. The relief sought in the application was amended and a request for dismissal of Petition 277 was made at the hearing on December 6, 1974, at which time the application was submitted. Petition 277 will be dismissed by separate order.

Evidence in support of the relief sought was presented by applicant's president, its secretany-treasurer, and its bookkeeper, by a certifiled public accountant, and by a representative of the shipper for whom the service is to be performed.

Minimum Rate Tariff 7-A (NRT 7-A) contains distance rates and hourly rates for the transportation of earth, rock, and sand in Northern Territory. The mileage and houriy rates in NRT 7-A. altemate. Both types of rates are predicated on the same operating costs and are intended to retum approximately the same revenues per trip.

The representative of Granite Constriction Company and applicant's president presented oral and documentary evidence designed to show that within Monterey and San Benito Counties the minfum houriy rates consistently produce lower revenues than tine minimum distance rates for the same havis. The representanive of Granite Construction Company testified that his company prefers the use of distance rates because the precise charge can be
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determined in advance, and because charges do not vary between shipments between the same origin and destination. The witness stated that it is economically unfeasible to use distance rates when hourly rates produce substantialiy lower charges for the same hauls.

The evidence adduced by applicant's accornatant was designed to show that the service to be performed by applicant will be compensatory. That evidence inciudes the development of costs per trip for represenđative distances which are based on loading, unloading, and muning times for typical movements performed by applicant for Granite Construction Company.

The following table depicts the loading and unloading times developed for typical hauls from 12 producing piants to various locations within the proposed service area, as set forth in Exhibit 13 :

TABLE I
HILDEBRAND \& SON TRUCKING, INC.


The ruming times used in applicant's cost study were developed by use of a speed curve from data set forth in its Exhibit 12.

The evidence shows that the combined running, loading, and unloading times for shipments transported by Hildebrand from comercial producing plants to jobsites or batching plants are less than the corresponding times used as a basis for the costs underlying the Northern Territory distance rates. The following table sets forth the costs of operation developed by applicant for typical lengths of haul, and compares the revenues resulting from the proposed rates with the total estimated costs of performing the service:

TABLE 2
HITIDBRAND \& SON TRUCKING, INC.
Estimated Revenue and Expense for the Iransportation of Rock, Sard and Cravel

| Item | Length of havi - one-way miles |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 |  |
| Equipment hours per trip: Ioadring and unioadsng time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruming time | . 17 | $\begin{array}{r}.19 \\ .30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 49 | . 89 | .19 1.50 | $\begin{array}{r}.19 \\ 2.12 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total | . 36 | . 49 | . 68 | 1.08 | 2.69 | 2.31 |
| Proposed rate (Ninsmum 24 tons) | \$ 9.35 | \$ 0.48 | \$ ${ }^{3} .76$ | \$ 2.26 | \$2.02 | \$ 2.76 |
| Revenue per trip | \$8.40 | \$21.52 | \$18.24 | \$30.24 | \$48.24 | \$66.24 |
| Cost per trip: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment fired cost | \$ . 826 | \$ 1.124 | \$ 2.560 | \$2.478 | \$3.876 | \$5.299 |
| Equipment muning cost | 2.889 | 3.932 | 5.456 | 8.666 | 13.562 | 18.535 |
| Driver wage cost | 2.955 | 4.022 | 5.582 | 8.866 | 13.873 | 18.963 |
| Total direct cost | \$ 6.670 | \$9.078 | \$12.598 | \$20.010 | \$31.310 | \$42.797 |
| Revenue costs | . 198 | . 272 | . 430 | .714 | 2.238 | 1.563 |
| Indirect cost | . 560 | . 763 | 1.058 | 1.681 | 2.630 | 3.595 |
| Total cost | \$ 7.43 | \$10.17 | \$ 14.09 | \$22.42 | \$35.08 | \$47.96 |
| Net income | \$ .97 | \$1.42 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 7.83 | \$23.26 | \$18.28 |
| Operating ratio | 88.5\% | 87.8\% | 77.2\% | 74.25 | $72.7 \%$ | 72.4\% |
| Revenue per hour | \$23.33 | \$23.51 | \$26.82 | \$28.00 | \$28.54 | \$28.68 |

The loading and unloading time of 0.19 hours set forth in Table 2 above compares with a total loading and unloading time in the staff cost study which underiies the Northern Ierritory rates (Exhioit 265-7) of 0.23 hours for rock and sand and 0.17 hours for earth. The equipment hours per trip (exclusive of loading and unioading) set forth in Exhibit 265-7 for transportation of rock and sand in Northern Temitory are compared with data in Table 2 as follows:

> TABLE 3
> Comparison of Equipment-Zours pGr Infp
> Length of Haul-One-Way NSIes

|  | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exhibit 265-7 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 1.08 | 1.59 | 2.77 |
| (MRT 7-A distance rates) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 2 | 0.30 | 0.49 | 0.70 | 1.10 | 2.12 |
| (Hildebrand study) |  |  |  |  |  |

The record shows that the preponcierance of the dump track transportation services in issue are performed by Hildebrand in its own equipment with union drivers. The driver wage costs used in Table 2 are based on Hildebrand's contract with Monterey-San Benito Counties Teamster Uninion Local. Hildebrand also regularly employs subhaulers who are carmied in its payroll. From time to time Hildebrand uses additional subhaulers, who are paid in accordance with the subhaul provisions in Item 210 of MRT 7-A. Hildebrand desires that the less-than-mínimum rate authority sought herein be applicable in the circumstances where subhaulers are employed, as well as when union drivers are used.

Associated Independent Owner Operators, Inc. (AIOO) opposes the granting of the application on the basis that Hildebrand assertediy is a party to a "sweetheart" contract which provides lower labor costs than are provided in other Teamseer labor contracts applicable to transportation of rock and sand in Northem Territory. AIOO also opposes the granting of the application on
the basis that "puliers" (tractor only subhaulers) may not find operations wnder the lower rates to be profitable.

California Dump Truck Owners Association (CDIOA) did not oppose the granting of the amended application, but expressed concert that no provision was made in applicant's cost study for nonrevenue time, that is, the deadhead time necessary to position equipment at the first point of loading and the time necessary to retum the equipment to the garage or storage site from the last point of unloading. It is the position of CDTOA that a 10 percent factor for deadhead time simflar to that used in staff cost studies should be incorporated in applicant's study. Califomia Trucking Association (CTA) indicated that the dismissal of Petition 277 and the amendment of the requested rate deviation authority to a service for a single shipper had significantly changed the issues originally presented. CTA expressed the view that the authority should be granted; however, CTA urged that the relief be limited to applicant's operations as a prime carrier because the costs submitted by it are largely developed from such operations.

The Comission staff stated that it had no position whth respect to the granting of the relief sought nor with respect to the requested limitation to applicant's operations as prime carrier. Findings

1. The Comission has established minimum rates for the transportation of earth, rock, sand, and gravel in dump track equipment in Northern Territory in MRT 7-A. (Norithern Territory includes all counties in the State except San Iuis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Imperiai, Riverside, San Bernardino, Kern, Inyo, and Mono).
2. Applicant Hildebrand operates in Monterey and San Benito Counties. Approxdmately 75 percent of the transportation services performed by it are for Granitc Construction Company.
3. Within Nonterey and San Benito Counties, the minimm hourly rates provided in Item 390 of MRT 7-A produce lower charges for the same services than the corresponding distance rates per ton set forth in Item 290 for transportation of earth, rock, sand, and gravei.
4. Granite Construction Company prefers the use of distance tonnage rates because such rates provide the same total charges for each load between the same points of origin and destination, because the total transportation costs can be accurately determined in advance of shipment, and because the transportation charges are expressed in the same unit of measurement as that in which the material is sold.
5. Granite Construction Company has required the assessment of hourly rates because such rates consistentiy provide lower cinarges than distance rates within Monterey and San Benito Counties.
6. Granite Construction Company currently operates trucking equipment and is financially able to acquire additional equipment if for-hire carrier services do not meet its requirements.
7. Applicant's studies show that the loading, unioading, and mining times involved in transportation of earth, rock, sand, and gravel for Granite Construction Company are less than those used as a basis for development of the operating costs underiying the Northern Territory distance rates in Item 290 of NIRT 7-A.
8. Applicant's stidies show that the labor costs incurred by it are different from those reflected in the cost studies which underlie the Northern Territory distance rates in INT 7-A. Applicant's wage rates are the generally provailing wage rates for transportation of earth, rock, and sand in the area it serves, inasmuch as the only other ineet operator in that area (ITS, Inc.)
has an individual contract with the Teamsters Union which contains similar wage rates and other conditions.
9. Applicant's operations within Monterey and San Benito Counties for Granite Construction Company are different from the operations upon which the Northern Territory distance rates are predicated.
10. Applicant's cost study makes no provision for so called "deadhead" or nonrevenue time. Adjustment of applicant's cost data by increasing running times by 10 percent to make provision for nonrevenue time would still leave a substantial margin between the estimated costs per trip and the proposed revenue per trip under the sought rates.
11. Applicant's cost study, which reflects its current labor costs, and the loading, unloading, and running times applicable to transportation for Cranite Construction Company, shows that total operating costs are less than the total operating costs in the staff cost study upon which the Northern Territory mfnimum distance rates are predicated.
12. Applicant's proposed rates exceed the reasonable costs of providing the services. Said rates will be compensatory for services performed by applicant with its own equipment and with union drivers.
13. The running times, loading and mioading times, and labor costs set forth in appicant's study are appropmiate for operations by subbaulers within applicant's proposed service area.
14. The conditions under which subhaulers perform transportation services for applicant in behalf of Granite Construction Company are similar to the conditions under which applicant performs the service and are dissimilar to the operations upon which the MRT 7-A Northern Territory distance rates are predicated.
15. The total revenue per shipment to be received under the proposed distance rates are consistent with the revenues which would accrue under the application of the altemative hourly rates in Item 390 of MRT 7-A (see Table 2).
16. The application of Item 210 (Payments to Underiying Carriers) of MRT 7-A to the transportation services performed by subkaulers employed by applicant under the distance rates sought berein will provide reasonable and adequate revenues to said subhaulers.
17. The rates proposed by applicant and set forth in Appendix is hereto are reasonable (Section 3666 of the Public Utilities Code.) Conclusions
18. The application, as amended, should be granted.
19. The authority should be made to expire concurrently with the expiration of the current provisions of applicant's labor contract with its drivers.
ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Hildebrand \& Son Trucking, Inc. is authorized to perform transportation services for Granite Construction Company at rates less than the minimum rates set forth in MRT 7-A, but not less than the rates and charges set forth in Appendix A attached hereto and made a part bereof.
2. Except as specificaliy provided in this order and in Appendis: $A$, all of the provisione of MRT 7-A are applicable to the transportation services performed by Hildebrand \& Son Trucking, Inc. for Granite Construction Company, including Item 210 (Payments to Underlying Carriers) and Item 270 (Application of Rates for Use of Equipment Other Than Tractor with Bottor Dump Doubles in Train).
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3. The rates set forth in Appendix $A$ are not applicable to transportation services for which minimum rates are provided in MT 20.
4. The authority granted herein shall expire May 3I, 1975 unless sooner changed, amended, or cancelled.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after tine date hereof.

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { Dated at } & \text { San Francis } \\
\text { FEBRUARY }, 1975 .
\end{array}
$$

, California, this $/ / \bar{L}$ day of _ FEBRUARY_, 1975.


## APPENDIX A
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Carrier: Hildebrand \& Son Trucking, Inc.
Shipper: Granite Construction Company.
Comocities: Earth, granite, gravel, rock, sand, and stone. (exception to Item 30 of Minimum Rate Tariff $7-A$ ).
Minimum Weight: 24 tons per unit of carrier's equipment (exception to Item 190(g) of Minimum Rate Tariff 7-A).
Tnit of Carrier's Equipment: Tractor and two bottom dump trasiers.
From: Origins in the area described below. To: Destinations in the area described below. Described Area:

The area within which authorized rates shall be applicable is described as follows:

Beginning at the point the San Mateo-Santa Clara County Boundary Line meets the Pacific Ocean, thence rortherly along said Boundary Ifne to State Hinday 9 ; thence northerly along Staie Highway of to Saratoga; thence due east along an imaginary lifne to the Santa Clara-Stanislaus County Boundayy Ine; thence southerly along the Santa CIara-Stanislaus County Boundary Line, the Santa Clara-Merced County Boundary Line, the San Benito-Merced County Boundary Line, the San Enito-Fresno County Boundary Line, the MontereyFresno County Boundary Ine, and the MontereyKings County Boundary Line to the Monterey-San Iuis Obispo County Boundary Iine; thence westeriy along the Monterey-San Luis Obispo County Boundary Line to the Pacific Ocean; thence northerly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to the point of beginning.

APPENDIX A
Page 2 of 2
Authorized Rates (in cents per ton). (Exception to Item 290 of Mnnum rate dariff 7-A):

|  | Mileage |  |  |  | Mileage | Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orer | But Not Orer |  | (1) | Over | But Not Orer |  |
| 0 | 1 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 26 | 256 |
| 1 | 2 | 35 | 32 | 26 | 27 | 161 |
| 2 | 3 | 39 | 36 | 27 | 28 | 166 |
| 3 | 4 | 43 | 40 | 28 | 29 | 171 |
| 4 | 5 | 48 | 45 | 29 | 30 | 176 |
| 5 | 6 | 52 | 49 | 30 | 31 | 181 |
| 6 | 7 | 58 | 55 | 31 | 32 | 186 |
| 7 | 8 | 64 | 60 | 32 | 33 | 191 |
| 8 | 9 | 70 |  | 33 | 34 | 296 |
| 9 | 10 | 76 |  | 34 | 35 | 201 |
| 10 | 11 | 81 |  | 35 | 36 | 206 |
| 12 | 12 | 86 |  | 36 | 37 | 211 |
| 12 | 13 | 91 |  | 37 | 38 | 216 |
| 23 | 14 | 96 |  | 38 | 39 | 227 |
| 14. | 15 | 101 |  | 39 | 40 | 226 |
| 25 | 16 | 106 |  | 40 | 41 | 231 |
| 16 | 17 | 117 |  | 47 | 42 | 236 |
| 17 | 18 | 116 |  | 42 | 43 | 24. |
| 18 | 19 | 121 |  | 43 | 4 | 246 |
| 29 | 20 | 126 |  | 44 | 45 | 251 |
| 20 | 21 | 131 |  | 45 | 46 | 256 |
| 21 | 22 | 236 |  | 46 | 47 | 261 |
| 22 | 23 | 141 |  | 47 | 48 | 266 |
| 23 | 24 | 146 |  | 48 | 49 | 271 |
| 24 | 25 | 251 |  | 49 | 50 | 276 |

(1) Restricted to shipments which do not
originate at or are not destined to
comercial production plants as
defined in Minimum Rate Tariff 7-A.

