<u>lmm</u> Decision No. 84230 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules, regulations, charges, allowances and practices Case No. 5432 of all common carriers, highway Petition for Modification carriers and city carriers relating No. 660 to the transportation of any and all commodities between and within Petition for Modification all points and places in the State of Decision No. 83788 of California (including, but not (Filed December 30, 1974) limited to, transportation for which rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2). Case No. 5433, Petition No. 38 Case No. 5436, Petition No. 112 Case No. 5437, Petition No. 211
Case No. 5438, Petition No. 83
Case No. 5440, Petition No. 75 And Related Matters. Case No. 5604, Petition No. 28 Case No. 7857, Petition No. 50 Case No. 8808, Petition No. 15 SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION Decision No. 83788 issued December 10, 1974 in the captioned proceedings denied the petitions of California Trucking Association seeking to cancel provisions in the Commission's minimum rate tariffs which authorize the combination of minimum rates in those tariffs with alternatively applied common carrier rates. By petition filed December 30, 1974, the Wine Institute seeks amendment or restatement of certain findings contained in Decision No. 83788 to remove alleged potential ambiguities.

The petition of the Wine Institute was served on all parties to the captioned proceedings on December 27, 1974. In addition, notice of the filing of the Wine Institute pleading appeared on the Commission's Daily Calendar. California Trucking Association (CTA), on February 14, 1975, filed a reply to the Wine Institute pleading. CTA opposes the modifications proposed by the Wine Institute. CTA states that the ambiguities and uncertainties alleged by the Wine Institute with respect to Finding of Fact No. 12 and Conclusion of Law No. 5 of Decision No. 83788 do not in fact exist and urges that the findings and conclusions in that decision remain unchanged.

We have reviewed the revisions of Decision No. 83788 proposed by the Wine Institute and conclude that the findings and conclusions in that decision are clear and require no modification. Therefore, the petition filed by the Wine Institute should be denied.

## SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The effective date of this order is the date hereof.

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Modification of Decision No. 83788 filed December 30, 1974 by the Wine Institute is hereby denied.

	Dated at	San Francisco	, California, this 25-
day of _	≠ MARC+		, 1975.
			Jennon L Stringen
		,	William Junous
			Sole of
			Gonard Commissioners