## ORIGINAL

Decision No. 84934

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, a corporation, for authority to negotiate the terms and conditions of an agreement with Dart Resorts, a Division of Dart Industries, Inc., covering the reinforcement and extension of exchange facilities necessary for the provision of telephone service to Mr. T. J. Wilde, Oak Flat Road, in its Tehachapi Exchange in Kern County.

Application No. 55907 (Filed September 2, 1975)

## <u>OPINION</u>

The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company (Pacific) seeks authority to negotiate an agreement with Dart Resorts, a Division of Dart Industries, Inc. (Dart Resorts), covering the reinforcement and extension of exchange facilities necessary to provide telephone service to Mr. T. J. Wilde, Oak Flat Road in its Tehachapi Exchange in Kern County.

Pacific is unable to install a telephone at the Oak Flat Road address unless existing facilities are reinforced and extended 5,170 feet. In accordance with Pacific's tariff Schedule 23-T, Pacific engineered a line extension project and computed the total cost of the undertaking to Pacific and to the customer. The total cost to Pacific is \$5,610. If Pacific applied Schedule 23-T to determine customer billing responsibility under normal line extension circumstances, the cost to the customer would be \$210.

1. The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company is authorized to carry out the terms and conditions of its agreement with Dart Industries, Inc. set forth in the application, in order to provide telephone service to Mr. T. J. Wilde of Oak Flat Road, Kern County.

## A. 55907 ltc

2. Pacific may commence construction of the facilities as soon as the agreement is executed between Pacific and Dart Industries, Inc.

122	,			L	Pre:	side	az
				1		1	7
		1/3					
			, and the	4. 1			

Commissioners

Commissioner Leonard Ross, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Robert Batinovich, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.