In the Viatter of the Appincation of ATRPOERENVST, a comporation, for authority to increase its fares as a passenger stage corporation.

Application No 55898
(Filed August 19, 1975; amended September 15,1975 )

## INTEPTM OPINLON

Applicant is a California corporation conducting operations as a passenger stage corporation under a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Comission in Decision No. 78126. fpplicant conducts its passenger stage operations to and from aimports in the greater Los Angeles Metropolitan Area and to and from the Caicland Intermational firport withrn the San Francisco Bay Area. Applicant's present rates were authorized by Decision No. 83224 dated July 30, 1974 in Application No. 54697.

Decision No. 83224 autiorized farc increases to offset fuel cost increases incurred by applicant prior to Juiy, 1974. This fuel offset increase did not authorize an increase in rate of return os a lowering of the operating ratio. foplicant was last authorized general rate increases by Decision No. 81839 dated September 5, 1973 In Appiication No. 52651. The rate increases granted by that decision autiorized fares designed to produce an after tax rate of return or 14.36 percent and an operating ratio of 96.0 percent.

Applicant's general rate increase request is based upon its operating statement for the year ended December 32, 1974, adjusted *o produce a pro forma future year. Applicant requests fare increases of 45 cents for all Los Angeles downtown routes and a fare increasc of 50 cents for all other routes in order to produce an annual gross
revenue increase of $\$ 318,585$ ( 14.9 percent). Applicanc's estimate is that at the requested rates its operating ratio after taxes wili be 96.9 percent.

Applicant alleges that it has incurred major increases in operating costs during the past year in wages and salaries. (and related payroll costs), fuel, and tires. Tae wages of operating emioyees are controlled by collective bargaining agreements and all such employees have received annual or more frequent increases in wages and fringe benefits during the past two years, with consequent increases in payroil taxes and workmen's compensation insurance premiums. As a resit of the increased costs of its operations appilcant alleges it is now operating at a loss and will be forced to sontinuc to do so unless its fares are inmediately ficreased.

Applicant requests immeifate interim rate relief of 25 ceats in all one-way fares. Applicant calcuiates that this fare focrease will result in a gross revenue increase of $\$ 170,150$ amonily (a 7.9 percent fncrease) and that its operating ratio before taxes will be 99.0 percent after such interim rate relief.

By amendment to the application filed September 15, 1975 , applicant set forth an Income and expense staicment for the sta monthe ended June 30, 1975. Said statement supports applicant's contention that woder present.fares it is operating at a loss.

The Izansportation Division of the Commission staif bas mace a zeneral review of the application and the exiribits attached thereto. The staff review indieates several areas of potential controversy requiring investigation in preparing a study before making a final determination. However, tine Transportation Division reviewed tine estimated additional labor expense focurred by the applicant since
the Last Comission review of such expense in Decision No. 81839 dated September 5, 1973. Exhibit A attached hereto sets forth the staff estimate of additional labor expense. The staff recommends that approxfmately $\$ 120,000$ of annualized system labor costs should be reflected in increased passenger fares pending a final rate decision. The staff recomends an overall fincrease in revenues of 9 percent.

In applying a 9 percent increase, the staff recomends that interin fares be established by increasing each of the present fares by 9 percent, rounding the amount upward or downward to the nearest multiple of five cents. No fares should be fncreased in excess of 25 cents. Such fare increases would be Interim rates until our finial decision in this matter. Findings

1. Applicant is incurring losses from its operations under present fares.
2. Pending public hearings and a final decision in the appifcation herein, applicant should be authorized interim fncreases in its fares in order that it may be afforded some relief from present operailing losses.
3. It is reasonable to grant fnterfm rate increases to offset increased labor expense in the amount of $\$ 120,196$ annually as set forth in Exhfbit A attached hereto.
4. Increases of 9 percent should be authorized in all present fares, with the amount rounded upward or downward to the nearest cultiple five cents, with no fare increases to exceed 25 cents.
5. Interim fare increases as set forth in Finding 4 above are justiffed. Such interim fare increases should offset known wage increases incurred by applicant and will afford applicant some relief fiom its present operating losses.
6. The rate increases authorizec herein will increase applicant's annual revenues by approximately $\$ 120,196$.

## Conclusions

1. We conciude that interim fare increases as specified in the following order should be authorized.
2. Because of applicant's need for relief from the losses which it is experiencing under present fares, we conclude that applicant should be authorized to establish the increased fares on less than thirty days' notice to the Comission and to the pubiic; the order herein should be made effective on the date hereof.

## INTERTM ORDER

## IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Applicant, Airportransit, a corporation, is authorized:
(a) To estabiish a fare of \$1.70 per adult one-way ride between Los fingeles Territory Group 1 points (as more specifically defined in appiicant's locai passenger tariff Cal. PUC No. II) and Los Angeles International Airport, Ios Angeles.
(b) To increase by 9 percent all other fares and charges which are set forth in said tariff, the amount to be rounded up or dow to 0 or 5 , whichever is nearest, with no increase to exceed 25 cents.
2. Amendments to applicant's tariff to be made as a result of this order may be filled on or after the effective date of this order; and may be made effective not eariier than five days after the effective date bereof on not less than five days' notice to the Comrission and to the public.
3. In addition to the required posting and filing of tarifes, applicant shail give notico to the public by posting in its buses and textinals an explanation of its fares. Such notice shail be posted not less than five days before the effective date of the fare chonges, and shall remain posted for a period of not less than thirty days.
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4. The authority granted herein shall expire unless exercised witioin ninety days after the effective date of this order. The effective date of this order is the date hereof. Dated at $\qquad$ San Francisco , California, this $\qquad$ Cay of _ OEGEMBER._, 1975.


## APPENDIX \&

## AIRFORTIRANSIT

## COMFUTATIONS FOR INTERTM INCREASE RECOMMBNDED TO EXAMINER - $9 / 30 / 75$



