Decision No. 85723



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of HARBOR CARRIERS, INC., a corporation, for authorization to increase rates.

Application No. 55714 (Filed June 2, 1975)

 Vaughan, Paul & Lyons, by John G. Lyons, Attorney at Law, for applicant.
 Edgar Bruce Ross, City Counsel, for City of Tiburon, and <u>Charles S. Wills</u>, for himself, interested parties.
 <u>Elmer Sjostrom</u>, Attorney at Law, and <u>Milton J.</u>
 <u>DeBarr</u>, for the Commission staff.

OPINION

Harbor Carriers, Inc. is a common carrier by vessel engaged in the transportation of persons and property between points on San Francisco, San Pablo, and Suisun Bays. It seeks authority to increase the water taxi rates for ordinary and special services contained in its Local Freight Tariff No. 8 and Local Passenger Tariff No. 10. Applicant also requests authority to increase the passenger fares and bicycle charges named in its Local Passenger Tariff No. 11.

Public hearing was held before Examiner Gagnon at San Francisco on September 22, 1975 and January 5, 1976. One of applicant's patrons urged that no increase in the present bicycle charges be authorized. Applicant and the Commission staff both presented a series of exhibits and testimony relative to the sought increase.

Applicant's present and proposed rates and fares are:

-1-

bw

A.55714 bw

TABLE 1

HARBOR CARRIERS, INC.

Statement of Fresent and Proposed Rates and Fares

 (1) Local Freight Tariff No. 8 \$10.50 per quarter hour or fraction thereof th			Present Rate Or Fare	Proposed Rate Or Fare
 tional charge will be made at the rate of \$30.00 per trip, per crewman added to the regular crew. (2) Local Freight Tariff No. 8 \$11.75 per quarter \$16.25 per quarter hour or fraction thereof, minimum charge of \$65.00 Where an additional crewman is required, an additional charge will be made at the rate of \$30.00 per trip, per crewman added to the regular crew. (3) Local Passenger Tariff No. 11 Item No. 100 Between San Francisco and Adult Fare (round trip) \$2.00 \$3.00 (children's Fare (round trip) 1.00 1.50 Between San Francisco and Tiburon Fare (one way) 1.00 1.50 Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between 	(1)	and Local Passenger Tariff No. 10 Item No. 25	hour or fraction	quarter hour or fraction
and Local Passenger Tariff hour or fraction quarter hour No. 10 Item No. 30 (Special Service) Where an additional crewman is required, an additional charge of \$65.00 Where an additional crewman is required, an additional charge will be made at the rate of \$30.00 per trip, per crewman added to the regular Grew Uter Station (3) Local Passenger Tariff No. 11 Item No. 100 Between San Francisco and Angel Island Adult Fare (round trip) Children's Fare (round trip) Fare (round trip) Fare (one way) Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between		tional charge will be made a	at the rate of \$30.00	ired, an addi- per trip,
<pre>will be made at the rate of \$30.00 per trip, per crewman added to the regular crew (3) Local Passenger Tariff No. 11 Item No. 100 Between San Francisco and Angel Island Adult Fare (round trip) \$2.00 \$3.00 Children's Fare (round trip) 1.00 1.50 Between San Francisco and Tiburon Fare (round trip) 2.00 3.00 Fare (one way) 1.00 1.50 Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between</pre>	(2)	and Local Passenger Tariff No. 10 Item No. 30	hour or fraction thereof, minimum	quarter hour or fraction thereof, mini- mum charge of
Item No. 100Between San Francisco and Angel IslandAdult Fare (round trip)\$2.00San Francisco and TiburonFare (round trip)1.00Fare (round trip)2.00San Francisco and TiburonFare (round trip)2.00Fare (one way)1.00Loo1.50Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between		will be made at the rate of	is required, an addit \$30.00 per trip, per	rional charge crewman added
and Angel IslandAdult Fare (round trip)\$2.00\$3.00Children's Fare (round trip)1.001.50Between San Francisco and Tiburon2.003.00Fare (round trip)2.003.00Fare (one way)1.001.50Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between1.00	(3)	Local Passenger Tariff No. 11 Item No. 100		
Children's Fare (round trip)1.001.50Between San Francisco and Tiburon1.50Fare (round trip)2.00Fare (one way)1.00Loo1.50Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between				
Tiburon2.003.00Fare (round trip)2.003.00Fare (one way)1.001.50Commute Books containing1.001.5010 round-trip tickets between1.001.50		Adult Fare (round trip) Children's Fare (round trip)		
Fare (one way) 1.00 1.50 Commute Books containing 10 round-trip tickets between				
10 round-trip tickets between				
		10 round-trip tickets between	15.00	20.00

(Continued)

TABLE 1

(Continued)

	Present Rate Or Fare	Proposed Rate Or Fare
Bicycles (accompanying a passenger) between San Francisco and Tiburon		
Charge (round trip) Charge (one way)	\$0.75 0.50	\$1.00 0.50
Between Berkeley and Angel Island		
Adult Fare (round trip) Children's Fare (round trip)	2.00 1.00	3.50 1.75
Between Berkeley and Tiburon		
Adult Fare (round trip) Children's Fare (round trip)	2.75 1.40	3.50 1.75

Applicant's rates (except rates for service between Berkeley and Angel Island or Tiburon) were last increased effective March 7, 1974, pursuant to Decision No. 82431 dated February 5, 1974 in Application No. 53906. The passenger fares for services between Berkeley and Angel Island or Tiburon have been in effect since January 5, 1972 when they were established pursuant to Decision No. 79092 dated August 31, 1971 in Application No. 52621. Since applicant's rates and fares were last adjusted, it has experienced substantial increases in costs of operations. Applicant's Exhibit 1 indicates that the increases it has or will incur in various operating expense items as of July 31, 1976 amount to:

-3-

А.55714 Би

TABLE 2

Expense Items	Increase as of 7-31-76
Wages - Per Union Contract	
Water Taxis Ferries	14% 17
Employees Union Pension Fund	
Water Taxis Ferries	25 19
Operating Supplies	10
Fuel	12
Repairs	15
Insurance	15

Applicant's 1974 results of operations are shown in Exhibit A attached to the application and staff Exhibit 2. Its balance sheet for 1974 shows a retained earnings deficit of \$976,000. The 1974 income statement indicates an overall operating loss amounting to \$513,000. After allowances for operating loss tax benefits and other miscellaneous income, applicant sustained a net loss of some \$263,000 for the year 1974.

The staff of the Commission's Finance and Accounts Division has reviewed the accounting procedures employed by applicant to demonstrate its need for additional revenues. A summary of the staff analysis (Exhibit 8) follows:

1. <u>Company Statements v Function Statements</u>. Harbor Carriers, Inc. is one of many companies comprising the Crowley Maritime Corporation. Company accounting statements reflect all the activities of an individual company. Function statements are prepared as supplementary financial statements to reflect the allocation of company revenues and expenses to each individual function performed by the company. Intercompany charges reflected in applicant's company

-4-

А.55714 Ъ

accounting statements were adjusted prior to allocation to the function statements for ratemaking purposes. For example, intercompany charter expenses were eliminated from applicant's function statements and, in lieu thereof, depreciation and insurance expenses, as they relate to the usage of various vessels, were substituted in the function statement.

2. <u>Allocation of Costs</u>. In the performance of its various functions, applicant incurs expenses related to vessels used in the various services. These expenses, such as wages, benefits, fuel, repairs, depreciation, etc., are charged to the function performed during the month based on a percentage of operating time in which the vessel has been involved during the month. The dispatcher's daily operations report is used to compute the applicable percentages.

3. <u>Staff Conclusions</u>. The staff did not attempt to determine the reasonableness of charter costs charged by affiliates. However, the elimination of affiliated charters and the substitution of ownership costs was proper. The other major difference between "company" and "function" statements is due to the adjustment for administrative and general expenses. Based on a time study, applicant has reduced the administrative expenses chargeable to its various functions. The staff has not reviewed in any detail the results of the study prepared to allocate district and corporate administrative expenses.

4. <u>Staff Recommendations</u>. The staff is of the opinion that the recast of the general ledger figures of applicant reflected in supplementary financial records, commonly referred to as "function" statements, are proper records and are usable for ratemaking purposes.

In Exhibit 5 the results of applicant's operations for the year ending July 31, 1975, as reflected in its general ledger accounts, 's reconciled with and adjusted prior to the allocation thereof to specific activities performed by applicant. A summary of Exhibit 5 is presented in Tables 3 and 4.

-5-

TABLE 3

HARBOR CARRIERS, INC.

Reconciliation of General Ledger to Function Statements Year Ending July 31, 1975

	Reconciliation						
Account Items Revenue	Per General Ledger \$2,621,838	Adjustments \$ 2,035 (4)	Total All Functions \$2,623,873				
Expenses		, _, _, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Wages Employees Welfare Union Pension Fund Operating Supplies Fuel Repairs Insurance Rented Equipment Social Security	740,190 37,958 75,918 82,984 224,419 162,405 17,330 27,948 94,896	$\begin{array}{c} 1,730 (4) \\ 102 (4) \\ 168 (4) \\ 14,767 (4) \\ (4,099) (4) \\ 35,500 (4) \\ 85,802 (2) \\ (968) (4) \\ 220 (4) \end{array}$	741,920 38,060 76,086 97,751 220,320 197,905 103,132 26,980 95,116				
Subtotal	1,464,048	133,222	1,597,270				
Taxes & Licenses Administrative Depreciation Charter Total Expenses Net Income (Loss)	6,747 1,241,872 10,717 <u>435,690</u> 3,159,074 (537,236)	(337) (4) (345,876) (3) 172,352 (2) (414,221) (1) (418,880) 456,915	6,410 895,976 183,069 21,469 2,704,194 (80,321)				
Mer Theome (ross)	(337,230)	400,720	(00,521)				

Explanation of Adjustments

- (1) Charter reduction is caused by the elimination of intercompany charters.
- (2) Depreciation & insurance increases are caused by the substitution of these items in place of intercompany charters.
- (3) Administrative expense is decreased--allocation to function statements based on separate study.
- (4) Repairs, supplies, & other accounts were increased because certain charges paid by Golden Gate for repairs, etc., on the ferry boats were not billed back to Harbor Carriers.

TABLE 4

A.55714 bw

HARBOR CARRIERS, INC.

Adjusted Results of Operations for Various Functions Performed During Year Ending July 31, 1975

<u>Account Items</u> Revenue	Water Taxis <u>Function</u> \$209,183	S.F./ A.I. <u>Function</u> \$132,319	S.F./ TIB <u>Function</u> \$318,650	Berk/ A.I. Function \$28,237	Berk/ TIB <u>Function</u> \$2,979	Bicy- <u>cles</u> \$2,500	Total Per Appli- <u>cation</u> \$693,868	Long Beach Ferries <u>Function</u> \$1,332,664	Alcatraz Function \$597,341	Total All <u>Functions</u> \$2,623,873
Expenses Wages Employees Welfarc Union Pension Fund Operating Supplies Fuel Repairs Insurance Rented Equipment Social Security	•	55,499 2,846 5,692 7,103 10,598 13,498 6,052 2,258 7,116	134,496 6,897 13,794 17,214 25,684 32,710 14,666 5,472 <u>17,243</u>	11,343 582 1,163 1,452 2,166 2,759 1,237 462 1,454	1,216 62 125 156 233 296 132 50 50		321,354 16,492 32,950 33,710 62,737 80,015 39,202 8,242 41,198	305,656 15,675 31,350 46,092 144,701 56,767 52,339 3,184 39,186	114,910 5,893 11,786 17,949 12,882 61,123 11,591 15,554 <u>14,732</u>	741,920 38,060 76,086 97,751 220,320 197,905 103,132 26,980 95,116
Subtotal	232,018	110,662	268,176	22,618	2,426		635,900	694,950	266,420	1,597,270
Taxes & Licenses <u>Administrative</u> District Corporate Depreciation Charter Total Expenses Net Income (Loss)	350 32,034 7,488 14,859 	98 43,886 4,748 7,149 	238 $104,109$ $11,403$ $16,570$ $$ $400,496$ $(81,846)$	20 8,809 967 1,461 	2 3,365 467 157 	2,500	708 192,203 25,073 40,196 	- 480,635 48,285 141,190 <u>21,469</u> 1,386,529 (53,865)	5,702 128,136 21,644 1,683 	6,410 $800,974$ $95,002$ $183,069$ 21 $2,704,194$ $(80,321)$

A.55714 b

Tables 3 and 4 indicate that for the year ending July 31, 1975 applicant experienced an adjusted net operating loss of \$80,321 from all functions including the Alcatraz and Long Beach operations. It will be noted that only the Alcatraz function experienced an adjusted operating profit of \$173,756. The several northern California operations (excluding Alcatraz), for which applicant now seeks additional revenues, are shown in Table 4 to have sustained an adjusted total net operating loss of \$200,212 resulting in an operating ratio of 128.9 before income taxes (Exhibit 6).

The adjusted operating revenues for the year ending July 31, 1975 earned by those individual functions covered by applicant's rate and fare proposals amounted to \$693,868 (Table 4). It is estimated (Exhibits 6 and 7) that for a projected rate year ending July 31, 1976 applicant's rate and fare proposal would generate \$299,589 in additional revenues, thereby increasing the total operating revenues of applicant's individual functions covered by the application to \$993,427. Under the sought relief Exhibit 6 also shows that for the projected rate year applicant's individual functions covered by the fare and rate proposal would still experience a net operating deficit of \$9,193 resulting in an operating ratio of 100.9 before income taxes.

Applicant's ferry service between San Francisco and Alcatraz Island was inaugurated on November 5, 1973 pursuant to contractural agreement with the federal government. No increase in rates or fares is proposed in connection with applicant's service to Alcatraz. For this, and other reasons not material to the issues involved in this proceeding, applicant initially excluded the results of its Alcatraz operations from its function statements employed to justify the sought relief. The staff, on the other hand, strongly argues that applicant's sought relief should be evaluated on the basis of its results of operations from all functions employing common facilities. It has been

-8-

A.55714 bw

established that applicant's Alcatraz service is an integral part of its overall operations contributing to and sharing in the economic benefits derived from greater utilization of common facilities.

The adjusted estimated results of operations under present and proposed rates and fares for applicant's various northern California functions, including its Alcatraz service, is shown in Exhibit 10. This added information is presented so that the Commission may be fully advised of the merits of applicant's sought relief. A summary of applicant's revised function statement follows:

TABLE 5

HARBOR CARRIERS, INC.

Estimated Adjusted Results of Operations for Various Functions, Including Alcatraz Service For Rate Year Ending July 31, 1976

	Under Proposed Fares					
Account Items	Water <u>Taxis</u>	Ferries	Bicycle	Alcatraz	Totals	
Revenue Water Taxis San Fran./Angel Is. San Fran./Tiburon Berkeley/Angel Is. Berkeley/Tiburon	\$294,111	\$ 198,479 449,792 43,604	\$	\$	\$	
Bicycles Alcatraz		3,783	3,688	_ 597,341		
Total	294,111	695,658	3,688	<u> </u>	1,590,798	
Expenses						
Operating Exponse Administrative	262,540	460,201		299,702	1,022,443	
District Corporate Operating Taxes Depreciation	35,237 8,237 350 14,859	176,187 19,344 358 _25,337		140,950 23,808 5,702 1,683	352,374 51,389 6,410 41,879	
Total	321,223	681,427		471,845	1,474,495	
Net Income Before Taxes					116,303	
Income Taxes					58,152	
Net Income						
Operating Ratio					58,151 92-7%	

A.55714 bw

Exhibit 10 shows that with the inclusion of its Alcatraz function applicant's adjusted results of operations for all functions would reflect an operating ratio of 102.0 for the year ending July 31, 1975 under present rates and fares in lieu of 128.9 (Exhibit 6) when operating results for the Alcatraz service are excluded. Under proposed rates and fares Table 5 indicates that applicant's results of operations from all functions for a projected rate year ending July 31, 1976 will reflect an operating ratio of 92.7 percent, before income taxes. For reasons previously stated herein, the results of applicant's Alcatraz operations should be fully considered in any evaluation of its present request for authority to increase rates and fares.

Findings

1. Applicant's present rates and fares (except for service between Berkeley and Angel Island or Tiburon) were last increased effective March 7, 1974 pursuant to Decision No. 82431. The passenger fares applicable between Berkeley and Angel Island or Tiburon have been in effect since January 5, 1972 pursuant to Decision No. 79092.

2. Applicant's balance sheet and income statement for the year 1974 show a retained earnings deficit amounting to \$976,000 and a net operating loss of \$263,000 for 1974.

3. For an adjusted rate year ending July 31, 1975 applicant experienced a \$200,212 net operating loss from all its vessel operations (excluding the Alcatraz ferry service) on the San Francisco, San Pablo, and Suisun Bays, which resulted in an operating ratio of 128.9 percent, before income taxes. The Alcatraz ferry service, on the other hand, realized a net operating income of \$173,756; thereby reducing applicant's overall net operating loss to \$26,456 producing an operating ratio of 102.0 percent, before income taxes, for all functions during the same test year.

-10-

A 55714-

4. Since applicant's rates and fares were last adjusted, it has experienced substantial increases in various operating expenses, especially for labor, fuel, repairs, and insurance. For the projected rate year ending July 31, 1976 applicant has shown that its operating expenses will increase by \$108,570 if the Alcatraz function is excluded and by \$156,830 when the Alcatraz service is included.

5. Applicant seeks authority to increase rates and fares applicable to all its functions on the San Francisco, San Pablo, and Suisun Bays, except for the Alcatraz ferry service, by an overall 40 percent. No fare increase is proposed for ferry service between San Francisco and Alcatraz Island.

6. For an adjusted future rate year ending July 31, 1976 applicant has shown that its rate and fare proposal will generate \$299,589 in additional revenues.

7. Under proposed rates and fares applicant's operating losses will be reduced to \$9,393 resulting in an operating ratio of 100.9, before income taxes, for all functions except the Alcatraz service. When the Alcatraz results of operations are included, applicant shows that its results of operations for all functions will reflect a net operating income of \$116,303 resulting in an operating ratio of 92.7 percent, before income taxes, for the same projected rate year ending July 31, 1976.

8. For ratemaking purposes it has been shown that all of applicant's functions, including the Alcatraz ferry service, should be considered in any evaluation of its revenue requirements to perform reasonable and efficient common carrier vessel operations on the San Francisco, San Pablo, and Suisun Bays.

9. Applicant has demonstrated that, with or without an evaluation of the comparative results of its Alcatraz ferry operations, the various sought increases in rates and fares are justified.

The Commission concludes that Application No. 55714 should be granted.

-11-

$\underline{O} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R}$

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Harbor Carriers, Inc. is authorized to establish the increased rates and fares proposed in Application No. 55714. Tariff publications authorized to be made as a result of the order herein may be made effective not earlier than ten days after the effective date of this order on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

2. The authority shall expire unless exercised within ninety days after the effective date of this order.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	San Francisco	California,	this	2012
day of	APRIL	, 1976.	,		

Presiden Commissioners

Commissioner D. W. Holmes, being necessarily absent. did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.