

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 86181

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Application of) Radio Dispatch Corp. for a redefinition of its authorized service area.

Application No. 55195 (Filed September 20, 1974)

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REHEARING OF DECISION NO. 84819

By Decision No. 84819, issued on August 26, 1975, the application of Radio Dispatch Corp. (RDC) to redefine its authorized service area to be coextensive with the relevant dbu contours as calculated in accordance with current Federal Communications Commission (FCC) criteria was granted. Historically our primary standard for the definition of the service area of a radiotelephone utility has been the relevant dbu contours calculated in accordance with FCC criteria. The use of telephone exchange boundaries, by which the service area of RDC previously had been defined, was found to be an impractical, unnecessary and uneconomical deviation from our primary standard.

On September 5, 1975, Industrial Communications Systems, Inc. (ICS) and Intrastate Radiotelephone Inc. of Los Angeles (Intrastate) filed a petition for rehearing of Decision No. 84819. The petition for rehearing was filed 10 days before the effective date of Decision No. 84819 thus staying the decision.

On October 28, 1975, on our own motion, we extended the stay of Decision No. 84819 for the sole purpose of taking sufficient time to evaluate fully the contentions set forth in the petition for rehearing. After considering each and every allegation set forth in the petition, we are of the opinion that good cause for rehearing has not been shown.

-1-



THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that rehearing of Decision No. 84819 is hereby denied.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

										-1
		Da	ted	at	San	Francisco	,	California,	this	370
day c	of _	¢.	JUL	Y	و	1976.				,

dent

Commissioners

1.

Commissioner Leonard Ross, being necessarily absent. did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Robert Batinovich, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.