DDM 86434 Decision No. BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Application of the City of South San Francisco, County of San Mateo, State ) of California for a crossing at grade ) Application No. 56480 (Filed Hay 14, 1976) of the tracks of the Southern Pacific ) Transportation Company at Haskins Way,) South San Francisco, Calif. (crossing) no. E-10.87-C) OPINION The City of South San Francisco requests authority to construct Haskins Way at grade across the tracks of the Southern Pacific Transportation Company in the Cabot, Cabot and Forbes Industrial Park in the City of South San Francisco, San Mateo County. The City of South San Francisco is the lead agency for this project pursuant to the Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended. After review of a Negative Declaration, the City of South San Francisco approved the project and on August 17, 1976 filed a Notice of Determination with the San Mateo County Clerk which found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. Notice of the application was published in the Commission's Daily Calendar on May 17, 1976. No protests have been received. A public hearing is not necessary. <u>FINDINGS</u> After consideration, the Commission finds: Applicant should be authorized to construct Haskins Way at grade across the tracks of the Southern Pacific Transportation Company in the Cabot, Cabot and Forbes Industrial Park in the City of South San Francisco in San Mateo County at the location and substantially as shown by the plans attached to the application, to be identified as Crossing E-10.87-C. 2. Construction of the crossing should be equal or superior to Standard No. 1 (General Order 72-B). - 1 -

A. 56480 DDM 3. Clearances should conform to General Order 26-D. Walkways should conform to General Order 118. 4. Protection at the crossing should be two Standard No. 3-A cantilever flashing light signals (General Order 75-C). 5. For a period not to exceed six months from the date of this order, protection at the crossing may be two Standard No. 1-R crossing signs (General Order 75-C) pending installation of the automatic protection. 6. Construction cost of the crossing and installation cost of the automatic protection should be borne by the applicant. 7. Maintenance of the crossing should be in accordance with General Order 72-B. Maintenance cost of the automatic protection should be borne by the applicant pursuant to Section 1202.2 of the Public Utilities Code. 8. Construction plans of the crossing approved by the Southern Pacific Transportation Company, together with a copy of the agreement entered into between the parties involved, should be filed with the Commission prior to commencing construction. 9. Applicant is the lead agency for this project pursuant to the Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and on May 5, 1976 approved its Negative Declaration which has been filed with the Commission. The Commission has considered the Negative Declaration and Notice of Determination in rendering its decision on this project and finds that: The environmental impact of the proposed action is insignificant. The planned construction is the most feasible and economical that will avoid any possible environmental impact. There are no known irreversible environmental changes C. involved in this project. <u>conclusions</u> On the basis of the foregoing findings we conclude that the application should be granted as set forth in the following order: - 2 -