ALJ/JJL/ck/fs *

Decision 87-10-060 October 28, 1987

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of TEL TEC EXCHANGE OF SACRAMENTO for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Operate as a Reseller of Telecommunications Services Within California.

Application 87-06-092 (Filed June 2, 1987)

2

<u>O PINION</u>

Tel Tec Exchange of Sacramento (applicant) has filed an application requesting that the Commission issue a certificate of public convenience and necessity under Public Utilities (PU) Code § 1001 to permit applicant to operate as a rescher of telephone services offered by communications common carriers providing telecommunications services in California.

By order dated June 29, 1983, the Commission instituted an investigation to determine whether competition should be allowed in the provision of telecommunications transmission services within the State (OII 83-06-01). Numerous applications to provide competitive service were consolidated with that investigation. Interim Decision (D.) 84-01-037 dated January 5, 1984 and subsequent decisions, granted these applications, limited to the provision of interLATA service and subject to the condition that applicants not hold out to the public the provision of intraLATA service pending our decision in the Order Instituting Investigation (OII).

On June 13, 1984, we issued D.84-06-113 in OII 83-06-01 denying the applications to the extent not previously granted and directing persons not authorized to provide intraLATA telecommunications to refrain from holding out the availability of such services and to advise their subscribers that intraLATA

~ 1 -

A.87-06-002 ALJ/JJL/ck/fs

communications should be placed over the facilities of the local exchange company.

Pacific Bell filed a protest to the part of the application that requests intraLATA authority. It does not oppose the granting of interLATA authority. Since we are not authorizing intraLATA service the protest is moot.

There is no basis for treating this applicant any differently than those which filed earlier. Therefore, this application will be granted to authorize interLATA service and to the extent that it requests authorization for intraLATA service it will be denied.

<u>Pindings of Fact</u>

1. By D.84-01-037 the Commission authorized interLATA entry generally.

2. By D.84-06-113 the Commission denied applications to provide competitive intraLATA telecommunications service and required persons not authorized to provide intraLATA telecommunications service to refrain from holding out the availability of such services and to advise their subscribers that intraLATA communications should be placed over the facilities of the local exchange company.

3. There is no basis for treating this applicant differently than those which filed earlier.

4. Because of the public interest in effective interLATA competition this order should be effective today.

5. Applicant should be designated as a provider of intrastate interLATA services and subject to the interim Universal Lifeline Telephone Service program surcharge as ordered in D.87-07-090, which is currently 4%.

6. Applicant should be subject to the user fee as a percentage of gross intrastate revenue pursuant to FU Code §§ 431-435. The fee is currently 0.1% for the 1987-88 fiscal year.

Conclusion of Law

This application should be granted in part to the extent set forth below.

<u>O R D E R</u>

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The application of Tel Tec Exchange of Sacramento is granted to the limited extent of providing the requested service on an interLATA basis, subject to the condition that applicant refrain from holding out to the public the provision of intraLATA service and subject to the requirement that it advise its subscribers that intraLATA communications should be placed over the facilities of the local exchange company.

2. To the extent that the application requested authorization to provide intraLATA telecommunications services, the application is denied.

3. Applicant is authorized to file with this Commission, 5 days after the effective date of this order, tariff schedules for the provision of interLATA service. Applicant may not offer service until tariffs are on file. If applicant has an effective Federal Communications Commission (FCC)-approved tariff, it may file a notice adopting such FCC tariff with a copy of the FCC tariff included in the filing. Such adoption notice shall specifically exclude the provision of intraLATA service. If applicant has no effective FCC tariffs, or wishes to file tariffs applicable only to California intrastate interLATA service, it is authorized to do so, including rates, rules, regulations, and other provisions necessary to offer service to the public. Such filing shall be made in accordance with General Order Series 96 (GO 96), excluding Sections IV, V, and VI, and shall be effective not less than 1 day after filing.

15 A

4. Applicant is authorized to deviate on an ongoing basis from the requirements of GO 96 in the following manner: (a) to deviate from the pagination requirements set forth in paragraph II.C.(1)(b) which requires consecutive sheet numbering and prohibits the reuse of sheet numbers, and (b) to deviate from the requirements set forth in paragraph II.C.(4) that "a separate sheet or series of sheets should be used for each rule." Tariff filings incorporating these deviations shall be subject to the approval of the Evaluation and Compliance Division's Telecommunications Branch.

5. If applicant fails to file tariffs within 30 days of the effective date of this order, applicant's certificate may be suspended or revoked.

6. The requirements of GO 96 relative to the effectiveness of tariffs after filing are waived in order that changes in FCC tariffs may become effective on the same date for California interLATA service for those companies that adopt the FCC tariffs.

7. Applicant is designated as a provider of intrastate interLATA services and is subject to the Universal Lifeline Telephone Service surcharge on those service rates.

8. Applicant is subject to the user fee as a percentage of gross intrastate revenue pursuant to PU Code §§ 431-435.

9. The corporate identification number assigned to Tel Tec Exchange of Sacramento is U-5128-C, which should be included in the caption of all original filings with this Commission, and in the titles of other pleadings filed in existing cases.

- 4 -

٢

A.87-06-002 ALJ/JJL/ck/fs

10. The application is granted in part and denied in part as set forth above.

This order is effective today. Dated __________, at San Francisco, California.

> STANLEY W. HULETT President DONALD VIAL FREDERICK R. DUDA C. MITCHELL WILK JOHN B. OHANIAN Commissioners

I CENTINY THAT THIS DECISION SOVED BY THE ABOVE ٩, ONERS TODAY Ľ Victor Weisser, Executive Director þŜ

- 5 -

ALJ/JJL/ck/fs

Decision 87 10 060

OCT 2 8 1987

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of TEL TEC EXCHANGE OF SACRAMENTO for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Operate as a Reseller of Telecommunications Services Within California.

Application 87-06-002 (June 2, 1987)

<u>OPINION</u>

Tel Tec Exchange of Sacramento (applicant) has filed an application requesting that the Commission issue a certificate of public convenience and necessity under Public Utilities (PU) Code § 1001 to permit applicant to operate as a reseller of telephone services offered by communications common carriers providing telecommunications services in California.

By order dated June 29, 1983, the Commission instituted an investigation to determine whether competition should be allowed in the provision of telecommunications transmission services within the State (OII 83-06-01). Numerous applications to provide competitive service were consolidated with that investigation. Interim Decision (D.) 84-01-037 dated January 5, 1984 and subsequent decisions, granted these applications, limited to the provision of interLATA service and subject to the condition that applicants not hold out to the public the provision of intraLATA service pending our decision in the Order Instituting Investigation (OII).

On June 13, 1984, we issued D.84-06-113 in OII 83-06-01 denying the applications to the extent not previously granted and directing persons not authorized to provide intraLATA telecommunications to refrain from holding out the availability of such services and to advise their subscribers that intraLATA

- 1 -