

ORIGINAL

Decision 88 10 060 OCT 26 1988

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)
 the Southern California Edison)
 Company (U 338-E) for: (1) Authority)
 to Increase Its Energy Cost)
 Adjustment Billing Factors, Increase)
 Its Annual Energy Rate, and Increase)
 Its Electric Revenue Adjustment)
 Billing Factor Effective June 1,)
 1988; (2) Authority to Implement)
 Modifications to its Energy Cost)
 Adjustment Clause as More)
 Specifically Set Forth in this)
 Application; (3) Authority to Revise)
 the Incremental Energy Rate, the)
 Energy Reliability Index, and)
 Avoided Cost Pricing; (4) Review)
 of the Reasonableness of Edison's)
 Operations During the Period from)
 December 1, 1986, through)
 November 30, 1987; and (5) Review)
 of the Reasonableness of Edison)
 Payments to Qualifying Facilities)
 Under Nonstandard Contracts During)
 the Period from December 1, 1984,)
 through November 30, 1987.)

Mailed

OCT 27 1988

Application 88-02-016
(Filed February 11, 1988)

ORDER MODIFYING DECISION 88-09-031

On September 14, 1988, the Commission issued Decision (D.) 88-09-031 in this proceeding. The decision authorized an increase of \$471 million in Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) related revenues for the Southern California Edison Company (Edison). On September 28, 1988, the Commission issued D.88-09-075 correcting certain clerical errors in D.88-09-031.

On October 4, 1988, the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) filed a petition to modify D.88-09-031 to correct the subperiod incremental energy rates (IERs) adopted in that decision. Specifically, DRA's petition states that the subperiod IER values

adopted in D.88-09-031 were taken from Table B-1 of a late-filed exhibit submitted by DRA on September 1. Since that table was prepared and the decision was issued, however, DRA states that it has discovered an error in the post-processing calculations of the winter off-peak and super off-peak subperiod IER values.

DRA therefore requests that the IER table on page 72 of D.88-09-031 be modified to read as follows:

	<u>Summer</u>	(Btu/kWh)	<u>Winter</u>
Peak	15,295		
Mid-Peak	9,184		11,371
Off-Peak	8,722		8,747
Super Off-Peak			8,235

According to DRA, the modification which it requests is only to correct an error in calculating the subperiod IERs and does not represent a substantive change in DRA's recommendations. DRA further asks that the change be made expeditiously in order for the correct IER values to be reflected in Edison's November 1, 1988, update of QF energy prices.

In order to ensure the proper IER values for each period, we will grant DRA's petition and correct the table on page 72 of D.88-09-031 as requested by DRA. We note that the IER value for the winter mid-peak period (11,371) recited above reflects the typographical correction ordered by D.88-09-075.

Findings of Fact

1. In order to ensure the proper IER values for Edison's winter off-peak and super off-peak subperiods, it is necessary to correct the table at page 72 of D.88-09-031, as modified by D.88-09-075, as requested by DRA.

2. In order for Edison's November 1, 1988, update of QF energy prices to reflect the proper IER subperiod values, it is necessary to make this decision effective the date of issuance.

Conclusions of Law

1. DRA's petition for modification of D.88-09-031, as modified by D.88-09-075, should be granted.

2. The table at page 72 of D.88-09-031, as modified by D.88-09-075, should be modified to reflect the appropriate IER values for the winter off-peak and super off-peak subperiods.

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for modification of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates is granted, and the table at page 72 of D.88-09-031, as modified by D.88-09-075, shall be modified as follows:

	<u>Summer</u>	(Btu/kWh)	<u>Winter</u>
Peak	15,295		
Mid-Peak	9,184		11,371
Off-Peak	8,722		8,747
Super Off-Peak			8,235

This order is effective today.

Dated OCT 26 1988, at San Francisco, California.

STANLEY W. HULETT
President

DONALD VIAL
FREDERICK R. DUDA
JOHN B. OHANIAN
Commissioners

Commissioner G. Mitchell Wilk
being necessarily absent, did
not participate.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION
WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE
COMMISSIONERS TODAY.


Victor Weisser, Executive Director