

Decision 89 02 024 FEB 8 1989**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of GTE California )  
Incorporated, a corporation )  
(U 1002 C), for authority to )  
restructure and reprice certain )  
high speed digital private line )  
services and to institute an )  
offsetting surcharge for )  
intraLATA services. )

(EAD)

Application 88-10-017  
(Filed October 5, 1988)

(See Appendix A for appearances.)

OPINIONSummary

This decision directs GTE California Incorporated (GTEC) to file an Advice Letter together with appropriate tariff revisions, as set forth in Appendix B hereto, to become effective on February 15, 1989 which will:

1. Restructure and reprice its intraLATA high speed (1.544 mbps) digital private line service by unbundling and deaveraging its existing rates into separate rates for the end user to GTEC's Central Office (CO) link and separately, a link from GTEC's CO to the other end of the users circuit, or, alternatively, from that same CO to the point of presence (POP) for connection to an interexchange carrier (IEC) who may provide the same type of service to the other end of the users circuit, and
2. Implement a surcharge for GTEC's access and intraLATA services to offset the lower revenue associated with rate reductions of

\$1.946 million (total)<sup>1</sup> resulting from the newly revised rates for its intraLATA high speed (1.544 mbps) digital private line service and the settlement revenue loss from Pacific Bell's (Pacific) Application (A.) 88-10-012. This surcharge increment totals 0.10%.

Background

Decision (D.) 88-09-059 dated September 28, 1988, directed GTEC and other local exchange carriers (LEC) to file applications under the expedited application docket (EAD) procedure to restructure certain of their intraLATA high speed digital private line service rates and to request authority to implement an off-setting surcharge, according to provisions set forth in Appendix A to that order.

On October 5, 1988, GTEC filed A.88-10-017 under the EAD procedure in compliance with D.88-09-059. In this application, GTEC has proposed unbundling and deaveraging of its existing rates for intraLATA high speed, 1.544 mbps digital private line service<sup>2</sup> in compliance with the part of pages 8 through 10 of Appendix A of D.88-09-059 which states:

---

1 The \$1.946 million reduction in billings results in \$1.810 million of reduced revenues as set forth in Attachment 1 of Appendix B.

2 For purposes of this document, digital private line services at 1.544 megabits per second (mbps) or above are considered to be "high speed digital private line" service. As used herein, "intraLATA high speed digital private line" service is defined as the dedicated connection of two or more end user premises within a LATA for the purpose of providing intraLATA high speed digital non-switched services. Carriers may provide multiplexing service for voice and/or data at the end user's premises such that the transmission speed from or to the end user's premises is at 1.544 mbps or above. Also note that GTEC refers to this service as "Optinet High Capacity Digital Service" in its tariff schedules.

"IV. Private Line Services

"A. High Speed Digital Private Line Services

"2. Unbundling and Deaveraging of Tariffed Rates

"Pacific and GTEC California Incorporated (GTEC) shall each propose to make the changes in this section in an application to be filed by October 5, 1988 in an expedited application docket as provided in Section I.D. Other LECs with high speed digital tariff schedules shall file comparable applications, but do not have to meet the October 5, 1988 filing date.

"Each LEC shall propose that its high speed digital service tariff schedules (intraLATA private line and special access tariff schedules) be restructured to contain an element consisting of the line and end points of high speed digital service from the end user's premises to the LEC central office serving the end user (the end user-to-CO link). This element will be priced at the same rate, whether provided by the LEC to an end user as part of the LEC's end-to-end intraLATA service or whether provided by the LEC to a competitor as part of the access service connecting the competitor's network to the competitor's customer.

"The LEC shall also propose a second distinct element in the special access tariff for high speed digital services which will consist of the connection from an interexchange carrier's or competitor's point of presence (POP) to the LEC's central office serving the POP (the CO-to-POP link) for intraLATA purposes; the rate for this element will be adjusted so that the rates for such connections will be set at fully allocated or direct embedded cost. The cost methodology will be consistent with the cost methodology utilized for determining the costs of other elements of the same service.

"The LEC may propose a surcharge to offset the lower revenue associated with rate reductions for the end user-to-CO link and the CO-to-POP link. The surcharge will apply to LEC services according to the then-applicable tariff

schedule for billing surcharges pursuant to Pacific's Rule 33 or comparable tariff schedules for other LECs.

"Except for the CO-to-POP link, the LEC may, at its discretion, propose to deaverage tariffed rates and charges for high speed digital private line services. If the LEC deaverages high speed digital private line services, it must also deaverage the corresponding element in the same manner and simultaneously in the high speed digital special access tariff schedule for intraLATA purposes. The LEC's deaveraging proposal may not result in rate increases of more than 20 percent for any single service element within a tariff schedule.

"The LEC may also propose changes in its high speed digital special access tariff schedule for interLATA purposes to make the interLATA and intraLATA special access tariffs consistent."

### "3. Pricing Flexibility

"Other than the end user-to-CO link, the LECs are permitted pricing flexibility for high speed digital private line services. Pricing flexibility is not authorized for any special access services provided by the LECs. An LEC's proposal for pricing flexibility, if it desires such flexibility, shall be included in its application which it must file in an expedited application docket to propose restructuring and (at its discretion) deaveraging of high speed digital services as provided in Section IV.A.2.

"A tariffed level of each rate or charge shall be maintained. An LEC may request public and/or nonpublic floors for private line high speed digital private line service elements other than the end user-to-CO link.

"The LEC may not negotiate customer-specific rates for high speed digital services, except under the special contract guidelines in Section V. This document does not affect existing procedures established for SSEs, ICBs, and SSAs established by existing tariffs."

Workshop Analysis and  
Comments on Application

GTEC filed this application on October 5, 1988, and a timely workshop was convened by the assigned administrative law judge (ALJ) on November 4, 1988. Counsel for GTEC and representatives of 13 other parties entered appearances on behalf of one or more entities at the workshop.

At the November 4, 1988 workshop, GTEC introduced three of its experts on the subject of High Speed Digital Private Line Services to explain its proposed tariff revisions in this application. A number of parties and the ALJ questioned various elements of the tariff proposal as well as GTEC's comments and responses to protests in this proceeding. Thereafter, the parties concluded that GTEC's then-proposed tariff revisions did not fully comply with the letter, spirit, and/or intent of the modified settlement agreement entered into by certain parties and adopted by the Commission in D.88-09-059.

GTEC then offered to further revise its proposed tariff schedules for this proceeding and forward copies of the revised proposals to all appearances by November 11, 1988, for their review prior to a second workshop set for November 18, 1988.

As agreed, GTEC forwarded its revised tariff proposal to all interested parties on November 10, 1988. Then, at the second workshop on November 18, 1988, counsel for GTEC announced that it believed it had clarified the tariff provisions with regard to jointly provided circuits with Pacific to make clear that GTEC is using meet-point billing.<sup>3</sup> GTEC also stated that it had lowered the floors on the special transport facility and the high-speed

---

<sup>3</sup> Under meet-point billing, GTEC bills its rates and charges for its side of the circuit and Pacific bills its rates and charges for its side of the circuit to the point of connection where the two utilities meet.

tariff somewhat, but that the service was still priced above GTEC's fully allocated cost.

GTEC believed that these changes made moot most of the issues raised at the first workshop, except for the fact that it was still increasing the transport facility charges above those in the existing tariffs. GTEC then stated that in its opinion D.88-09-059 allowed such increases, especially since it had not incorporated a new rate design for four years. GTEC, based on comparisons it made with its existing rates, claimed that for first systems, the new rates resulted in an overall rate decrease.

Representatives of California Bankers Clearing House Association (CBCA) and Tele-Communications Association (TCA), API Alarm Systems, and Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) all raised concerns about the proposed increases in rates and charges proposed by GTEC. In addition, DRA raised concerns about customers not receiving timely notices of the proposed surcharge.

As a result of the concerns expressed by the parties, GTEC agreed to reconsider, one more time, whether to revise its proposal to avoid increases. GTEC further agreed to send final draft versions of its proposed advice letter and associated tariff revisions to all interested parties by December 12, 1988. Accordingly, the second workshop was continued to a third workshop, later set for January 4, 1989, to hear from the general public on any concerns regarding the proposed offsetting surcharge, and to reach closure on the remaining issues regarding the support for and the level of the surcharge and the extent to which any increased rate or change could be permitted under the EAD process.

Following the November 18 workshop, on December 12, 1988, GTEC submitted a further revised draft advice letter and associated tariff sheets to all appearances.

On December 16, 1988, DRA, having reviewed GTEC's December 12, 1988 proposed advice letter and associated tariff revisions, wrote to the ALJ to renew its concerns and requested

that hearings be held to develop a record on the quality of the data used by GTEC in calculating the surcharge, and its objection to GTEC's increases in nonrecurring charges for additional systems.

On December 19, 1988, the CBCHA and TCA wrote to the ALJ to restate their objections to GTEC's proposed rates as being "grossly in excess of even the overstated cost figures" provided to them on a confidential basis by GTEC.

Upon review of the remaining protests and concerns, and in an effort to mitigate the need for evidentiary hearings and still maintain the integrity of the EAD process, the assigned Commissioner on December 21, 1988, issued a ruling that

"GTEC must, prior to or at the January 4, 1989 workshop:

- "1. Justify any and all increases in rates, charges, or more restrictive classifications, practices, or rules, with reference to the 'Adopted Modified Phase I Settlement.'
- "2. Seek to provide the additional information necessary to satisfy CBCHA and TCA that the development of the proposed rates and charges for the end user to the serving office link are justified and reasonable.

"As an alternative, GTEC may offer to revise its proposal to eliminate the restrictive provisions and rate revisions which continue to be controversial in this application."

At the third workshop on January 4, 1989, the ALJ announced that one purpose of that workshop was to inform members of the general public of the surcharge that may be applicable in the event that GTEC's proposed high speed digital private line tariff revisions are approved. However, prior to directing GTEC to present this information, the ALJ ascertained, off the record, that no members of the general public were in attendance. Therefore, he did not direct GTEC to address the nature and impact of the surcharge at the workshop. Instead, after the workshop, he

requested that GTEC provide a one-page attachment to be appended to its final draft of the proposed advice letter and associated tariff sheets detailing the impact of the 1989 and 1990 surcharges on typical monthly customer bills of \$10, \$25, \$50, and \$100. That one-page document is included as the last page of Appendix B to this order.

In reference to the need for justification of all increases in rates and charges, or more restrictive tariffs, GTEC explained that it would propose to grandfather rates and charges for all existing circuits that would otherwise experience a rate increase. However, GTEC's proposed treatment for customers, existing or new, asking for a new long circuit, would be to apply the new rates including increases as and where applicable.

GTEC's counsel then suggested some short-term grace periods of from 30-60 days for customers to request new circuits at present rates.

The ALJ countered with a request for GTEC to study the cost of an overall grandfather provision for all customers, existing or new, to be assured of no increases from present rates until GTEC receives formal approval by this Commission on a complete new rate design for all services. After some consideration GTEC's counsel agreed to provide such a study by telefax on January 9, 1989.

With the deferral of the question of potential increases to new customers, or to existing customers seeking new circuits, the parties focused on any remaining issues. Mr. William Booth, representing CBCHA and TCA, had stated earlier during the workshop that based on assurances that GTEC will reassess the entire issue of high capacity private line rates and charges, in the supplemental rate design phase of the investigation (I.87-11-033) he would not stand in the way of GTEC's implementing the proposed rate changes.

Mr. Jerry O'Brien, representing API Alarm Systems, sought clarifying language in the tariffs regarding co-provisioned circuits, where part(s) of the circuit(s) is/are provided by Pacific and part(s) are provided by GTEC, and whose rates, charges, and conditions will apply. API was particularly concerned that the EAD process not be used to increase rates for any co-provisioned circuits. However, he concurred that if the overall rates were capped so that no existing or future customers would have a rate increase, then even with increases on portions of the circuit or circuits API would not protest, so long as it is assured that the overall rate cannot be more than under the present tariff. (Tr. p. 156.)

DRA had earlier agreed to a downward adjusted surcharge increment now totaling 0.10%, but wanted to be sure that our decision would include an interpretation of the settlement impacts on other local exchange telephone companies because of these tariff revisions. Toward that goal DRA asked for assurances that any independent telephone company would be authorized to establish a bill and keep surcharge to recover its settlement losses from GTEC's proposals herein. DRA then agreed to provide the necessary information to be contained in this decision regarding this settlements issue, after first discussing its proposed submittal with GTEC.

DRA and GTEC then agreed to further discuss the rate and/or grandfathering issue and to respond to the ALJ with their conclusions together with the settlements data and discussion by January 9, 1989.

DRA and GTEC submitted a partial response on January 9, 1989, which did not fully resolve the grandfathering issue. A more favorable determination was reached on January 11, 1989, and the promised draft advice letter and associated tariff sheets and other statements necessary to resolve all outstanding issues were forwarded to the ALJ by GTEC and DRA. Included in that material

were Tables A and B detailing the estimated settlement revenue effects on the independent telephone companies due to changes in GTEC's Tariff Schedules C-1 and G-14. Copies of Tables A and B are contained in Appendix C hereto.<sup>4</sup>

DRA has also provided text for two suggested ordering paragraphs to allow advice letter filings by the independent local exchange telephone companies to implement a bill and keep surcharge(s) for losses of revenue resulting from this order both for 1989 and 1990.

Thereafter, some minor corrections were necessary and GTEC furnished the corrected tariff sheets and the computation of the impact of the surcharge on various levels of bills on January 17, 1989.

#### Discussion

Through this EAD application, GTEC has proposed changes to its intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital private line service which will help introduce some competition over portions of circuits serving end users.

The EAD workshop process was designated for this particular filing by D.88-09-059 to assure all interested parties that the revised tariffs as proposed by GTEC fully complied with the terms and conditions of the "Adopted Modified Phase I Settlement" as set forth in Appendix A to D.88-09-059. Even though a number of issues were not fully laid to rest in the Adopted Modified Phase I Settlement of D.88-09-059<sup>5</sup> and three workshops

---

4 Pacific is omitted from Table A for 1989, because the effects of any settlement revenue on Pacific for 1989 are to be included in the agreed upon surcharges to be authorized in (EAD) A.88-10-012 for Pacific.

5 Exact rates, charges and resulting surcharges, and well defined points of connection to competitive carriers were not specific in D.88-09-059.

were necessary to reach a consensus regarding these issues, the EAD process, in the end, did provide a workable conclusion to this proceeding.

We realize that it is difficult to develop well tested cost data through the EAD process, but we also recognize, given the diverse positions of the many parties, that an evidentiary hearing could easily have required many months. In contrast the EAD process, even with the limitations and concerns noted, allows the long awaited competition in intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps private line service to begin now.

We are satisfied that the workshop process, in the end, worked reasonably well to respond to the many concerns raised by the interested parties; it provided a forum for GTEC to respond to these concerns through the changes and compromises contained in its final draft advice letter and associated tariff sheets submitted to the ALJ on January 11, 1989.

We will authorize GTEC to file an advice letter and associated tariff sheets identical to the corrected January 11, 1989 drafts set forth in Appendix B.

We are also adopting on a bill and keep basis the increment of 0.08% to be added to GTEC's currently authorized billing surcharges to offset \$1.946 million in customer billing reductions which DRA and GTEC agree GTEC will experience in 1989, as a result of this order. In addition, by our decision issued today on Pacific's A.88-10-012, which authorizes GTEC to include in its advice letter an incremental bill and keep surcharge of 0.02% to recover the 1989 settlement revenue loss from Pacific's A.88-10-012, the total incremental increase in billing surcharge is 0.10%.

The resulting increase for a GTEC customer with an average monthly bill of \$27.04 would be 3¢. Further examples of the effect of this incremental surcharge on other levels of monthly bills are contained on the last page of Appendix B to this order.

In response to those customers who wrote to express their concerns, and question the surcharge procedure authorized by D.88-09-059, we believe that the adopted 0.10% (3¢) increase in the average \$27.04 bill should be contrasted with the forthcoming approximate 6% drop in GTEC billing surcharges on May 1, 1989, which is significantly larger than the modest increase authorized today.

The 1989 settlement revenue loss for Pacific is \$0.583 million. We will authorize Pacific to recover this amount by an incremental bill and keep surcharge of 0.008% on its intrastate services, to be included in its advice letter filing required by our decision on Pacific's A.88-10-012.

We will also include in this decision, two ordering paragraphs suggested by DRA which will allow the independent telephone companies listed in Tables A and B of Appendix C to file advice letters for 1989 and 1990 respectively, to implement bill and keep surcharges to reflect the settlement revenue losses of this decision on Pacific as set forth in that appendix.

In addition, because of the similarity of service offered by GTEC and Pacific to the interexchange carriers, we will include a finding of fact based on an agreement reached by Pacific and Teleport Communications Inc. of S.F. (TCSF) and submitted to the ALJ in A.88-10-012 on January 9, 1989 as follows:

"Pacific Bell ("Pacific") and Teleport Communications Inc., of S.F. ("TCSF") have agreed to enter into discussions with the stated purpose of endeavoring, in good faith, to see if a solution suitable to both TCSF and Pacific may be reached regarding the interconnection collocation issue as is outlined in TCSF's December 16, 1988 letter to ALJ Amaroli and as commented upon by both Pacific and TCSF at the January 5, 1989 Public Witness Workshop held in this matter. Pacific and TCSF also have agreed that the fact that Pacific's advice letter and revised 175-T tariff could become effective prior to TCSF and Pacific being able to reach agreement on the

interconnection-collocation issue should not be construed as establishing any precedent which prejudices in any way, either Pacific's or TCSF's ability to raise this issue in any subsequent, appropriate Commission proceeding."

Finally, we will make this order effective today as we will for Pacific and various other carriers who have filed timely applications, in keeping with our goal to take action on all conforming requests simultaneously. To that end, we will also coordinate the effectiveness of GTEC's tariff schedules in Appendix B to become effective on February 15, 1989.

Findings of Fact

1. GTEC filed an application under the EAD process seeking approval of proposed tariff revisions to restructure and reprice its intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital private line service by unbundling and deaveraging its existing rates into separate rates for the end user to GTEC's CO link and separately, a link from GTEC's CO to the other end of the user's circuit or, alternatively from that same CO to the POP for connection to an IEC who may then provide the same type of service to complete the circuit of the end user. The proposed tariff revisions also seek to implement a uniform incremental surcharge to GTEC's current billing surcharges in Tariff Schedule A-38 to offset the lower revenue associated with any rate reductions from the newly revised rates for its intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital private line service.

2. At three workshops held on November 4, November 18, 1988, and January 4, 1989, the interested parties were afforded the opportunity to ask questions and raise issues concerning GTEC's proposed tariff revisions to be certain that the revisions were in full conformance with the "Adopted Modified Phase I Settlement" as set forth in Appendix A of D.88-09-059.

3. Throughout the workshop process, GTEC accepted the many comments and numerous protests presented by interested parties and made the necessary changes to its proposed tariff revisions to

accommodate these concerns. In instances where the parties were not in total agreement, compromises were reached through the workshop process which allowed withdrawal of all remaining protests by or shortly after the workshop of January 4, 1989.

4. To resolve an interconnection issue, which arose in A.88-10-012, Pacific and TCSF agreed to enter into good faith discussions to see if a solution suitable to both TCSF and Pacific may be reached regarding the interconnection collocation issue. Similarly, no discussion or solution has been reached on this issue between GTEC and the parties to this proceeding. Therefore, no precedent will be established by this order which prejudices in any way, either GTEC or any other party's ability to raise this issue in any subsequent Commission proceeding.

5. The final draft version of GTEC's advice letter and associated tariff revisions, set forth in Appendix B to this order, will not increase any rate or charge or otherwise become more restrictive for any existing or future customer to GTEC's intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital service. These tariff revisions will, however, include a 0.08% incremental increase to be added to GTEC's currently authorized intrastate billing surcharges.

6. The 0.08% incremental bill and keep surcharge adopted herein will offset customer billing reductions of \$1.946 million which GTEC will experience in 1989, as a direct result of the changes being authorized by this order.

7. Our decision today on Pacific's A.88-10-012 authorizes GTEC to include in its advice letter filing an incremental bill and keep surcharge of 0.02% to recover the settlement revenue loss from Pacific's A.88-10-012. The total incremental increase in billing surcharge is 0.10%.

8. It is reasonable to allow the independent telephone companies listed in Tables A and B of Appendix C, hereto, to file advice letters for implementing bill-and-keep surcharges to reflect

settlement revenue losses resulting from this order on GTEC in 1989 and 1990.

9. It is reasonable for Pacific to implement an incremental bill and keep surcharge to reflect 1989 settlement revenue losses, resulting from this order on GTEC, which Pacific should include in its advice letter to be billed pursuant to our decision on Pacific's A.88-10-012.

10. In D.88-09-059, we concluded that competition to provide intraLATA high speed digital private line services as provided in the adopted modified settlement in Phase I of I.87-11-033 is in the public interest and should be authorized. The proposed tariff revisions set forth in Appendix B to this order when implemented will allow such competition to begin.

11. In D.88-09-059, we concluded that it is reasonable to coordinate the effectiveness of any authorization granted to interexchange carriers to provide intraLATA high speed digital private line services with the effectiveness of local exchange carrier pricing flexibility for such services. Requiring the tariff revisions set forth in Appendix B to this order to become effective on the same date as that for granting authorization of pending applications of interexchange carriers will help achieve that result.

12. The workshop activities in this proceeding were concluded by January 17, 1989, upon receipt by the assigned ALJ, of corrections to GTEC's final proposed advice letter with associated tariff sheets and a surcharge impact comparison sheet set forth in Appendix B, and Tables A and B set forth in Appendix C and two suggested ordering paragraphs from DRA.

Conclusions of Law

1. GTEC's January 13, 1989 final draft advice letter and proposed tariff revisions to restructure its intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital private line service including a 0.08% surcharge as contained in Appendix B, as previously discussed, are reasonable and necessary to allow competition to begin in the offering of this intraLATA service, and therefore should be approved.

2. Since competition in the offering of intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital service cannot begin without contemporaneous implementation of the tariff revisions set forth in Conclusion of Law 1 above, we should require rather than merely authorize GTEC to file such tariff revisions.

3. The fact that GTEC's advice letter and associated revisions to its Tariff Schedule C-1, as set forth in Appendix B hereto, will be adopted should not be construed as establishing any precedent which prejudices any party from raising the interconnection-collocation issue in an appropriate proceeding before this Commission in the future.

4. In order to require GTEC to make these services available on February 15, 1989, this order should be made effective today.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. GTE California Incorporated (GTEC) is hereby directed to file an advice letter and associated tariff sheets identical to Appendix B to this order, to:

- a. Restructure and reprice its intraLATA high speed (1.544 mbps) digital private line service by unbundling and deaveraging its existing rates into separate rates for the end user to GTEC's Central Office (CO) link and separately, a link from GTEC's CO to the other end of the users circuit, or, alternatively, from that same CO to the point of presence (POP) for connection to

an interexchange carrier (IEC) who may provide the same type of service to the other end of the users circuit, and

- b. Implement a 0.10% bill and keep surcharge increment to be added to GTEC's current surcharges in Tariff Schedule A-38 to offset the lower revenue associated with any rate reductions resulting from the newly revised rates for its intraLATA high speed (1.544 mbps) digital private line service being adopted herein, and as required for compliance with D.88-09-059, dated September 28, 1988, including settlement effects.

2. The advice letter and associated tariff sheets described in Ordering Paragraph 1, above, shall be filed in compliance with the provisions of General Order (GO) 96-A after the effective date of this order. The revised schedules shall apply only to service rendered on and after their effective date which shall be at least 5 days after filing, but not earlier or later than February 15, 1989.

3. GTEC's intraLATA high speed 1.544 mbps digital private line service is a utility service and is subject to the user fee as a percentage of gross intrastate revenue under Public Utilities Code §§ 431 through 435.

4. Pacific Bell is authorized to file in its comparable advice letter filing, pursuant to our decision for its A.88-10-012, also being issued today, an incremental bill and keep surcharge of 0.008% on intrastate access, intraLATA toll and exchange services to offset the 1989 lost settlement revenue of \$0.583 million resulting from this order.

5. Those telephone companies listed in Table A of Appendix C of this order for which a negative settlement revenue effect is shown, are hereby authorized to file an advice letter to adjust their tariff schedule(s) to implement a bill and keep surcharge(s) for calendar year 1989 to reflect the net settlement revenue losses

of today's decision on GTEC as shown in Table A of this order. The bill and keep surcharge(s) shall be based on the estimated 1989 customer billing bases for intrastate access, intraLATA toll and exchange services. The advice letter filing with revised tariff schedules shall conform with the provisions of GO 96-A. The telephone companies listed in Table A of this order shall not recover from the intrastate High Cost Fund the settlement revenue losses shown in Table A. Local exchange companies listed in Table A shall notify affected customers by bill insert in the first bill to which the revised customer billing surcharge applies. This tariff revision shall become effective 5 days after filing and shall apply to services rendered on and after the effective date of the tariff revision.

6. Those telephone companies listed in Table B of Appendix C of this order for which a negative settlement revenue effect is shown, are hereby authorized to file an advice letter to adjust the tariff schedule(s) to implement a bill and keep surcharge(s) for calendar year 1990, to reflect the net settlement revenue losses of today's decision on GTEC as shown in Table B of this order. The bill and keep surcharge(s) shall be based on the estimated 1990 customer billing bases for intrastate access, intraLATA toll and exchange services. The advice letter filing with revised tariff schedules shall conform with the provisions of GO 96-A. Such filing shall be made on or before November 21, 1989 and shall become effective on January 1, 1990. The telephone companies listed in Table B of this order shall not recover from the intrastate High Cost Fund the settlement revenue losses shown in Table B. Local exchange companies listed in Table B shall notify affected customers by bill insert in the first bill to which the revised customer billing surcharge applies.

7. The Executive Director shall cause a copy of this order to be mailed to the manager of regulatory affairs (or equivalent representative) of each local exchange telephone company listed in Table B of Appendix C.

8. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated FEB 8 1989, at San Francisco, California.

G. MITCHELL WILK  
President  
FREDERICK R. DUDA  
STANLEY W. HULETT  
JOHN B. CHANTIAN  
Commissioners

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION  
WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE  
COMMISSIONERS TODAY

*Victor Weiss*  
Victor Weiss, Executive Director

APPENDIX A

List of Appearances

Applicant: Kenneth Okel, Richard Potter, and Peter K. Plaut, Attorneys at Law, for GTE California Incorporated.

Protestant: Phyllis A. Whitten, Attorney at Law, for US Sprint Communications Company.

Interested Parties: Mark Barmore, Attorney at Law, for Toward Utility Rate Normalization; Messrs. Davis, Young & Mendelson, by Jeffrey F. Beck, Attorney at Law, for CP National, Evans Telephone Company, Happy Valley Telephone Company, Hornitos Telephone Company, Kerman Telephone Company, Pinnacles Telephone Company, Sierra Telephone Company, The Siskiyou Telephone Company, Tuolumne Telephone Company, The Volcano Telephone Company, and Winterhaven Telephone Company; Messrs. Jackson, Tufts, Cole & Black, by William H. Booth, Attorney at Law, for California Bankers Clearing House Association and Telecommunications Association; Douglas Bradbury, for Kiewit Communications; Randolph Deutsch, Attorney at Law, for AT&T Communications of California, Inc.; William G. Irving, for County of Los Angeles; Messrs. Kilpatrick, Johnston & Adler, by Robert G. Johnston, Attorney at Law, for Wang Communications, Inc.; James L. Lewis, Attorney at Law, and Patrick Chow, Manager, Rates and Tariffs, for MCI Telecommunications Corporation; Ronald McClain, Attorney at Law, for Pacific Bell; Jerry O'Brien and Diane Martinez, for API Alarm Systems; and Earl Nicholas Selby, Attorney at Law, for Bay Area Teleport.

Division of Ratepayer Advocates: James E. Scarff, Jason Zeller, Norman Low, and Robert Berry.

Commission Advisory and Compliance Division: Kevin P. Coughlan.

(END OF APPENDIX A)



APPENDIX B  
Page 1

Executive Offices

**DRAFT**

1/13/89

**GTE California Incorporated**

One GTE Place  
Thousand Oaks, California 91362-3811  
805 372-6000 — Datatel 805 372-8282

In Reply Refer To

3400/3410A  
R2-1H1

U 1002 C

Advice No. 5184

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

GTE California Incorporated hereby transmits for filing the following changes in its tariff schedule:

Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. A-38  
(Billing Adjustment)

46th Revised Sheet A  
35th Revised Sheet 1

Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. A-38  
(Billing Adjustment)

47th Revised Sheet A  
36th Revised Sheet 1

Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. C-1  
(Facilities for Intrastate Access)

41st Revised Sheet A  
31st Revised Sheet B  
23rd Revised Sheet C  
9th Revised Sheet 0  
3rd Revised Sheet 1  
4th Revised Sheet 30  
3rd Revised Sheet 33  
11th Revised Sheet 161  
3rd Revised Sheet 161.1  
Original Sheet 161.2  
9th Revised Sheet 170  
8th Revised Sheet 190  
8th Revised Sheet 191  
8th Revised Sheet 192  
9th Revised Sheet 193  
7th Revised Sheet 194  
Original Sheet 194.1

Public Utilities Commission - A.5184  
Page 2

**DRAFT**

1/13/89

Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. GG  
(Private Line Services and Channels  
Preliminary Statement)

31st Revised Sheet A  
15th Revised Sheet 1

Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. G-14  
(Private Line Services and Channels  
Optinet High Capacity Digital Service)

4th Revised Sheet A  
2nd Revised Sheet 11  
3rd Revised Sheet 12  
4th Revised Sheet 13  
Original Sheet 14

This filing is in accordance with Decision Nos. 88-08-059 and 88-09-059 which allow local exchange carriers to file an application for pricing flexibility of certain elements of High Speed Digital Private Line Services and offset lower revenues associated with rate reductions via a billing surcharge. These decisions also allow for an intraLATA special access line to connect an interexchange carrier's point of presence (POP) to the local exchange carrier's central office serving the POP location.

Pursuant to these decisions, this Advice reflects the combined impact of .10% on GTEC's billing surcharges. This .10% includes: .08% which is the estimated billing loss and intercompany settlement revenue impacts due to changes in GTEC's tariffs for HICAP Private Line Service, and .02% for the estimated intercompany settlement losses to GTEC resulting from Pacific Bell's HICAP tariff changes. This annual incremental billing surcharge of .10% shall be applied to all services from February 15, 1989 - April 30, 1989. Effective May 1, 1989, GTEC's billing surcharges shall be further adjusted to reflect the effects of all previously filed changes to the billing surcharges and the continuing effect of the .10%. ~~Therefore, this Advice supersedes the changes to GTEC's billing surcharges as previously identified in Advice 5187.~~

We have added a statement to the attached tariff schedules which will preclude an increase in the total bill of any existing and/or new customers of HICAP Private Line Services.

It is respectfully requested that this filing become effective on February 15, 1989.

**DRAFT**

1/13/89

Public Utilities Commission - A.5184  
Page 3

Copies of this Advice have been mailed to those interested utilities and/or parties indicated in our letter to the Public Utilities Commission dated June 5, 1985, and those parties who made an appearance at the workshops held in San Francisco on November 4, and 18, 1988. Recipients of this Advice may request the cost support information associated with this filing under protective agreements that prohibit the disclosure of proprietary and confidential information to actual or potential competitors of this Utility.

Very truly yours,

GTE CALIFORNIA INCORPORATED

KEITH M. KRAMER  
Vice President - Revenue Requirements

Attachments

BILLING ADJUSTMENT

LIST OF EFFECTIVE SHEETS

Sheet 1 through 2 of this schedule are effective as of the date shown on the sheet. The original sheet contains all material that is in effect on the date hereof.

Sheet

Revision

A  
1  
2

46th \*  
35th \*  
20th

JAN 11 1989  
**DRAFT**

\* Denotes Change

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184  
Decision Nos.

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution No.

BILLING ADJUSTMENT

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to intrastate billing on each customer's and/or carrier's bill for services rendered on or after February 15, 1989 as authorized by the Public Utilities Commission. General shall not backbill any customer in the event it cannot because of billing limitations impose the revised billing adjustment as ordered by the Commission. (T)

TERRITORY

Within the exchange areas of all exchanges as said areas are defined on maps filed as part of the tariff schedules.

RATES

Monthly Percentage

Adjustment Factor (See Special Condition 1)	(10.30)	(I)
Adjustment Factor (See Special Condition 2)	6.23	(I)
Adjustment Factor (See Special Condition 3)	1.95	(I)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The monthly percentage factor applies to all services provided under Tariff Schedule C-1, Facilities for Intrastate Access.
2. The monthly percentage factor applies to all recurring and nonrecurring rates and charges for service or equipment provided under all of the Utility's Tariff Schedules except the following:
  - a. A-1 - Semipublic Message Rate - RATES 7.a.
  - b. A-21 - Public Telephone Service - ALL
  - c. A-38a - Surcharge to Fund Public Utilities Commission Reimbursement
  - d. B-1 - Message Toll Telephone Service - Coin-Sent Paid

BILLING ADJUSTMENT

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to intrastate billing on each customer's and/or carrier's bill for services rendered on or after May 1, 1989 as authorized by the Public Utilities Commission. General shall not backbill any customer in the event it cannot because of billing limitations impose the revised billing adjustment as ordered by the Commission. (T)

TERRITORY

Within the exchange areas of all exchanges as said areas are defined on maps filed as part of the tariff schedules. JAN 11 1989

RATES

Monthly Percentage

Adjustment Factor (See Special Condition 1)	(16.66)	(I)
Adjustment Factor (See Special Condition 2)	.46	(I)
Adjustment Factor (See Special Condition 3)	(3.83)	(I)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The monthly percentage factor applies to all services provided under Tariff Schedule C-1, Facilities for Intrastate Access.
2. The monthly percentage factor applies to all recurring and nonrecurring rates and charges for service or equipment provided under all of the Utility's Tariff Schedules except the following:
  - a. A-1 - Semipublic Message Rate - RATES 7.a.
  - b. A-21 - Public Telephone Service - ALL
  - c. A-38a - Surcharge to Fund Public Utilities Commission Reimbursement
  - d. B-1 - Message Toll Telephone Service - Coin-Sent Paid

BILLING ADJUSTMENT

LIST OF EFFECTIVE SHEETS

Sheet 1 through 2 of this schedule are effective as of the date shown on the sheet. The original sheet contains all material that is in effect on the date hereof.

Sheet

A  
1  
2

Revision

47th \*  
36th \*  
20th

**DRAFT**  
JAN 11 1989

\* Denotes Change

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184  
Decision Nos.

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

List of Effective Sheets

Sheets 1 through 293 of the schedule are effective as of the date shown on each sheet. Original or revised sheets contain all material including changes from the original schedule that are in effect on the date hereof.

Sheet	Original or Number of Revision	Sheet	Original or Number of Revision	Sheet	Original or Number of Revision
A	41st *	11	2nd	39	4th
B	31st *	12	2nd	40	2nd
C	23rd *	13	3rd	41	2nd
C.1	10th	14	3rd	42	5th
D	2nd	15	3rd	43	2nd
E	4th	16	4th	44	2nd
F	2nd	16.1	1st	45	2nd
G	2nd	16.2	1st	46	2nd
H	3rd	16.3	1st	47	2nd
I	5th	17	2nd	48	2nd
J	4th	17.1	1st	49	2nd
K	7th	18	2nd	50	2nd
L	5th	18.1	1st	51	2nd
M	3rd	19	2nd	52	2nd
N	9th	20	2nd	53	2nd
O	9th *	21	3rd	54	2nd
P	6th	22	3rd	55	2nd
Q	6th	23	2nd	56	2nd
R	4th	24	2nd	57	2nd
S	5th	25	2nd	58	2nd
T	4th	26	3rd	59	2nd
U	3rd	26.1	Original	60	2nd
V	3rd	27	2nd	61	2nd
V.1	Original	28	2nd	62	2nd
W	2nd	29	3rd	63	2nd
X	5th	30	4th *	64	2nd
1	3rd *	31	2nd	65	2nd
2	2nd	32	3rd	66	2nd
3	2nd	33	3rd *	67	2nd
4	3rd	34	3rd	68	2nd
5	2nd	35	2nd	69	2nd
6	3rd	36	3rd	70	2nd
7	2nd	37	4th	71	2nd
8	3rd	38	5th	72	2nd
9	3rd	38.1	2nd	73	2nd
10	2nd				

\* Denotes Change

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No.

Issued By  
 Keith M. Kramer  
 Vice President  
 Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
 Effective

Resolution No.

**DRAFT**  
 JAN 11 1989

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS					
Sheet	Original or Number of Revision	Sheet	Original or Number of Revision	Sheet	Original or Number of Revision
74	2nd	108	2nd	141	5th
75	2nd	109	2nd	142	4th
76	2nd	110	2nd	143	6th
77	2nd	110.1	1st	144	3rd
78	2nd	111	2nd	145	4th
79	2nd	112	4th	146	4th
80	3rd	113	3rd	147	4th
81	2nd	114	2nd	148	3rd
82	2nd	115	2nd	149	3rd
83	2nd	116	2nd	150	3rd
84	2nd	116.1	1st	151	3rd
84.1	1st	117	2nd	152	2nd
85	2nd	118	4th	152.1	1st
86	2nd	119	4th	153	4th
87	2nd	120	4th	153.1	3rd
88	4th	121	2nd	153.1.1	Original
88.1	2nd	122	2nd	153.2	Original
89	11th	123	2nd	153.3	Original
90	6th	124	2nd	153.3.1	Original
90.1	4th	125	3rd	153.4	Original
90.2	Original	126	5th	153.4.1	Original
91	6th	127	2nd	153.4.2	Original
91.1	2nd	128	2nd	153.5	Original
91.2	Original	129	4th	153.6	1st
92	2nd	130	3rd	154	6th
93	2nd	131	3rd	155	6th
94	2nd	132	5th	156	4th
95	2nd	133	4th	157	3rd
96	2nd	134	3rd	158	5th
97	2nd	135	5th	158.1	Original
98	3rd	135.1	2nd	159	6th
99	2nd	135.2	1st	159.1	Original
99.1	1st	135.3	1st	160	6th
100	4th	135.4	1st	160.1	Original
100.1	Original	135.5	Original	161	11th *
101	4th	135.6	Original	161.1	3rd *
102	4th	135.7	Original	161.2	Original *
103	2nd	135.8	Original	162	10th
104	2nd	136	3rd	162.1	1st
105	2nd	137	3rd	163	7th
106	2nd	138	3rd	164	7th
106.1	1st	139	3rd	165	6th
107	3rd	140	3rd	166	6th
				167	7th

\* Denotes change

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No.

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>	<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>	<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>
167.1	2nd	197	8th	217.1	Original
168	6th	198	8th	218	2nd
169	6th	199	9th	219	2nd
170	9th *	200	3rd	220	2nd
170.1	1st	201	2nd	221	3rd
171	5th	202	2nd	222	2nd
172	5th	203	2nd	222.1	1st
173	5th	204	2nd	223	2nd
174	5th	205	2nd	223.1	4th
175	6th	206	2nd	223.2	2nd
176	5th	207	2nd	224	3rd
177	5th	207.1	Original	225	2nd
178	5th	207.2	Original	225.1	1st
179	5th	207.3	Original	226	2nd
180	6th	207.4	Original	227	2nd
181	6th	207.5	Original	228	2nd
182	7th	207.6	Original	229	2nd
182.1	6th	207.7	Original	229.1	1st
183	7th	207.8	Original	230	2nd
183.1	Original	207.9	Original	231	3rd
183.2	Original	207.10	Original	231.1	Original
183.3	Original	207.11	Original	231.2	Original
183.4	Original	207.12	Original	232	2nd
184	7th	207.13	Original	233	5th
184.1	Original	207.14	Original	234	3rd
184.2	Original	207.15	Original	235	3rd
184.3	Original	208	4th	236	3rd
184.4	Original	208.1	2nd	236.1	3rd
185	7th	209	2nd	237	5th
186	6th	210	2nd	237.1	1st
187	6th	210.1	1st	238	5th
187.1	2nd	211	2nd	239	5th
188	8th	212	2nd	240	5th
189	6th	213	2nd	241	4th
190	8th *	214	2nd	242	5th
191	8th *	214.1	Original	242.1	Original
192	8th *	214.2	Original	243	5th
193	9th *	215	2nd	244	4th
194	7th *	215.1	1st	244.1	Original
194.1	Original *	216	2nd	245	4th
195	8th	217	3rd	246	4th
196	9th				

**DRAFT**  
 JAN 11 1989

\* Denotes change

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No.

Issued By  
 Keith M. Kramer  
 Vice President  
 Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
 Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Sheet</u>
RATES		159
III. SPECIAL ACCESS		161
A. General		161
1. Rate Elements		161.1 (T)
a. Special Transport		161.1
b. Special Access Line		162
c.		
d. Supplemental Features		163
e. Multiplexing Arrangements		163
f. Special Transport Termination		163
2. Special Access Configurations		164
a. Two Point Service		164
b. Multipoint Service		164
3. Special Facilities Routing		165
4. Design Layout Report		165
5. Acceptance Testing		166
B. Description of Special Access		167
1. Voiceband		167.1
2. Program Audio		168
3. Video Band		169
4. Wideband Analog		169

**DRAFT**  
JAN 11 1989

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

APPLICABILITY

1. Applicable to regulations, rates and charges for Switched Facilities for Intrastate Access, hereinafter referred to as Switched Access, and Special Facilities for Intrastate Access, hereinafter referred to as Special Access, or jointly, as FIA, provided by the Utility to InterLATA Customers, which include carriers, end users, and any others subscribing to the services provided in this tariff. This tariff is also applicable to Ancillary and Miscellaneous services. This tariff does not apply to other services offered by the Utility. (T)
2. Regulations, rates and charges in this tariff apply to FIA and shall not serve as a substitute for IC tariff offerings of services to end users. The provision of such FIA by the Utility does not constitute a joint undertaking with the customer for the furnishing of any service.
3. In addition to the provisions set forth above, this schedule is applicable to High Capacity Digital service for intraLATA use by an IC in accordance with CPUC Decision 88-08-059 and Decision 88-09-059. (N)  
(N)

**DRAFT**  
JAN 11 1989

TERRITORY

Within the serving area of the Utility.

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

GENERAL REGULATIONS

JAN 11 1989  
**DRAFT**

E. Definitions - Continued

Facility Mile

The term "Facility Mile" denotes the unit of distance, measured in actual route miles, of a High Capacity Digital DS-1 special access line provisioned between a Utility serving wire center and an IC POP location.

(N)

(N)

Firm Order Confirmation Date

The term "Firm Order Confirmation (FOC) Date" denotes the date that the Utility will provide the schedule of dates for the provisioning activities associated with the customer's request for service.

First Point of Switching

The term "First Point of Switching" denotes either the first Utility location at which switching occurs on the terminating path of a call proceeding from the CDL to the terminating end office or the last Utility location at which switching occurs on the originating path of a call proceeding from the originating end office to the CDL.

Four-Wire to Two-Wire Conversion

The term "Four-Wire to Two-Wire Conversion" denotes an arrangement which converts a four-wire transmission path to a two-wire transmission path to allow a four-wire facility to terminate in a two-wire entity such as a central office switch trunk circuit or switching system.

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

GENERAL REGULATIONS

JAN 11 1989  
**DRAFT**

E. Definitions - Continued

Installed Cost

The term "Installed Cost" denotes the total investment (estimated or actual) by the Utility to provide facilities for the offered services.

InterLATA Area Carrier (IC)

(T)

The term "InterLATA Carrier" denotes any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or governmental agency or any other entity including resellers and enhanced service providers authorized by the California Public Utilities Commission to provide intrastate interLATA telecommunication services for its use or for the use of its customers. Additionally it includes carriers authorized by the California Public Utilities Commission to offer intraLATA high speed digital services consistent with the terms and conditions of Decision No. 88-08-059 and Decision 88-09-059.

(T)

(N)

(N)

Intermodulation Distortion

The term "Intermodulation Distortion" denotes a measure of the nonlinearity of a circuit. It is measured using four tones, and evaluating the ratios (in dBs) of the transmitted composite four-tone signal power to the second-order products of the tones (R2), and the third-order products of the tones (R3).

Intrastate Communications

The term "Intrastate Communications" denotes any communications subject to oversight by the California Public Utilities Commission by the laws of the State of California.

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access

A. General

Special Access provides a transmission path to connect customer designated locations\* within a LATA for telecommunication purposes. Special Access provided to a customer may be connected directly to customer facilities and/or may be connected to access facilities of another telephone company or companies in the joint provision of Special Access, or may be connected to Switched Access as set forth in Section II preceding. (T)

The provision of Switched Access and Special Access in combination is normally for, but not limited to, the use of WATS or WATS-type access. When Special Access is connected to Switched Access, the terms, conditions and rates for the facilities between the end users CDL and the WATS Serving Office are as set forth in this section of the tariff; the terms, conditions and rates for the facilities between the WATS Serving Office and the IC's CDL, as well as the Switching Functionalities (e.g., end user access codes, screening) are as set forth in Section II of this tariff.

Special Access can be provided in either analog or digital format. Analog formats are differentiated by spectrum and bandwidth. Digital formats are differentiated by bit rate. The specific types of Special Access provided are described in this section. Special Access Service may be subject to Special Construction Charges under the conditions set forth in the Special Construction Section of this tariff. (N)

High Capacity Digital Service operating at 1.544 Mbps or above as set forth following may be provided for intraLATA service connecting two end user premises within the same LATA consistent with all of the terms and conditions contained in CPUC Decision 88-08-059 and 88-09-059. This tariff does not permit the connection of facilities for transport from or to the end user's premises for intraLATA service of either analog or digital transmissions at speeds less than 1.544 Mbps. For purposes of this tariff one premises must be an IC Point of Presence.

Facilities and services offered hereunder are not available for intraLATA switched services (including without limitations, MTS, MTS-like, WATS, WATS-like). Shared use of an IntraLATA High Capacity circuit with Switched Access services (e.g., Feature Groups A, B, C or D) for intraLATA purposes is not permitted. (N)

Material omitted now shown on 3rd Revised Sheet 161.1. (N)

\* Utility Centrex CO-like switches are considered to be customer designated locations for the purposes of this tariff.

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5124

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access

A. General - Continued

Special Access Services provided by more than one telephone company are services where one end of the Special Transport facility is in the operating territory of one telephone company and the other end of the facility is in the operating territory of a different telephone company. (T)

Each telephone company will provide and bill at its own applicable rates and charges for the Special Transport within its operating territory to the meet point with the other telephone company(s). The billing percentage will be determined by the telephone companies involved in providing the service and listed in the NECA Tariff FCC No. 4.

The customer must supply a copy of the ASR to both telephone companies involved in the provision of the Special Access service. (T)

1. Rate Elements (L)

There are five rate elements which apply to Special Access  
They are:

Special Transport  
Special Transport Termination  
Special Access Line  
Supplemental Features  
Multiplexing Arrangements (L)

a. Special Transport

The Special Transport rate element provides the transmission facilities between the serving wire centers associated with two customer designated locations, between a serving wire center associated with a CDL and a Utility Hub Wire Center, between two Utility Hub Wire Centers or between a serving wire center associated with an end user's CDL and a WATS Serving Office. This rate element is distance sensitive and varies with type of capability (i.e., analog or digital) and type of facility (e.g., Voiceband, Wideband, Data Service, etc.). (D)

Material omitted now shown on Original Sheet 161.2  
(L) Material formerly shown on 10th Revised Sheet 161. (D)

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

Cancelling

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access

A. 1. a. - Continued

Special Transport may be used in conjunction with Switched Access for the purpose of provisioning Originating Only, Terminating Only, or Combined Originating/Terminating Access as set forth following. Special Transport, employed in this manner, provides the facility for the closed-end between the wire center serving the end user's CDL (where WATS Serving Office functions are not available) and the WATS Serving Office.

When the necessary WATS Serving Office functions are not provided at the wire center which serves the end user CDL, the Utility will designate the wire center where the WATS Serving Office functions are available. The charge associated with the Special Transport may be waived as set forth in Section II preceding.

JAN 11 1988  
**DRAFT**

(L)

(L)

(L) Material formerly shown on 2nd Revised Sheet 161.1

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

B. Description of Special Access - Continued

6. High Capacity Digital

These facilities are two-point and are furnished between CDL's or between a CDL and a Utility designated Hub Wire Center where multiplexing is offered. High Capacity facilities may be used to provide Special Access Lines as set forth preceding. A High Capacity to voice multiplexing arrangement is required at the Hub Wire Center.

a. DS1 facilities provide for the transmission of isochronous serial data at a rate of 1.544 Mbps. \*

(C)

b. DS1C facilities provide for the transmission of isochronous serial data at a rate of 3.152 Mbps.

c. DS2 facilities provide for the transmission of isochronous serial data at a rate of 6.312 Mbps.

d. DS3 facilities provide for the transmission of isochronous serial data at a rate of 44.736 Mbps.

e. FT3C facilities provide for the transmission of isochronous serial data at a rate of 89.472 Mbps.

7. Digital Data Service

Facilities for Digital Data Service are furnished for the simultaneous two-way transmission of synchronous data presently utilized for the following data speeds:

a. 2.4 kbps

b. 4.8 kbps

c. 9.6 kbps

d. 56 kbps

\* Available as an interLATA and limited intraLATA service offering per CPUC Decision 88-08-059.

(N)  
(N)

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059

88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective

Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

RATES - Continued

B. Special Transport

1. Mileage is measured between wire centers serving customer designated premises or a customer designated premises wire center and a Hub wire center.

	<u>Monthly Rate</u>	<u>Daily Rate</u>
2. Per channel, per airline mile		
a. Two-wire voiceband	\$-11.93 (11242) -(11361)	-
b. Four-wire voiceband	23.86 (11243) (11364)	-
c. 3.5 kHz audio	11.93 (11242)	\$ 1.19
d. 5 kHz audio	23.86 (11244)	2.39
e. 8 kHz audio	26.84 (11245)	2.68
f. 15 kHz audio	29.83 (11246)	2.98
g. 19.2 kbps	*	-
h. 50 kbps	*	-
i. 230.4 kbps	*	-
j. 56 kbps	*	-

Material omitted now shown on 8th Revised Sheet 191.

\* Rates based on individual case basis.

The Utility does not guarantee the present or future availability of metallic facilities.

(D)  
(D)  
Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184  
Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution No.

**DRAFT**

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

RATES - Continued

B. 2. - Continued

k. High Capacity Digital DS1  
(1.544 Mbps)

Monthly Rate  
Per Airline Mile

(T) (L)

1) InterLATA

First 9 miles

\$42.00  
(11779)(11780)

(C) (L)

Each additional mile

30.00\*  
(11762)(11248)

2) IntraLATA #

First 9 miles

42.00  
(11403)(11410)

Each additional mile

30.00\*  
(11781)(11782)

l. High Capacity Digital DS1C  
(3.152 Mbps)

1) InterLATA

42.00  
(11344)(11764)

2) IntraLATA #

42.00  
(11405)(11411)

(C)

m. DDS 2.4 kbps

22.30  
(11249)

n. DDS 4.8 kbps

22.30  
(11315)

o. DDS 9.6 kbps

22.30  
(11316)

p. DDS 56 kbps

22.30  
(11317)

Material omitted now shown on 8th Revised Sheet 192.

(L) Material formerly shown in different form on 7th Revised Sheet 190.

# Per CPUC Decision 88-08-059.

\* Effective until otherwise modified or revised by further order of the CPUC. (C)

Continued

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

RATES - Continued

C. Special Transport Termination

1. High Capacity Digital DS1  
 (1.544 Mbps)

a. InterLATA

Monthly  
 Rate -  
 \$71.00 (I)  
 (11320)

b. IntraLATA #

71.00 (N)  
 (11404)

2. High Capacity Digital DS1C  
 (3.152 Mbps)

a) InterLATA

71.00 (I)  
 (11368)

b) IntraLATA #

71.00 (N)  
 (11406)

D. Special Access Line

1. Two-wire, each line

a. For use with voiceband facilities

13.21  
 (11230)  
 (11362)

2. Four-wire, each line

a. For use with voiceband facilities

27.97  
 (11231)  
 (11365)

b. Digital Data Service

(1) 2.4, 4.8, 9.6 Kbps

79.81  
 (11233)

(2) 56 Kbps

79.81 (L)  
 (11307)

# Per CPUC Decision 88-08-059.

(L) Material formerly shown on 7th Revised Sheet 191.

Material omitted now shown on 9th Revised Sheet 193, 7th Revised Sheet 194,  
 and Original Sheet 194.1.

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
 88-09-059

Issued By  
 Keith M. Kramer  
 Vice President  
 Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
 Effective  
 Resolution No.

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

RATES - Continued

D. Special Access Lines - Continued

	<u>NRC</u>	<u>Monthly Rate</u>	<u>Daily Rate</u>	(L)
3. Program Audio				
a. 3.5 kHz	-	\$13.21 (11230)	\$1.32	
b. 5 kHz	-	27.97 (11226)	2.80	
c. 8 kHz	-	31.66 (11341)	3.17	
d. 15 kHz	-	35.35 (11342)	3.54	
4. Wideband Data Special Access Line, each line				
a. For use with 19.2 kbps	-	*	-	
b. For use with 50 kbps	-	*	-	
c. For use with 230.4 kbps	-	*	-	
d. For use with 56 kbps	-	*	-	

\* Rates based on individual case basis.

(L) Material formerly shown on 7th Revised Sheet 192.

(N)

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-08-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Administration

Date Filed  
Effective

Continued

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

RATES - Continued

D. Special Access Lines - Continued

5. High Capacity Digital DSL,  
(1.544 Mbps)

a. First System

1) InterLATA

a) End User Location

\$1,500.00

Monthly  
Rate  
\$378.00  
(11232)

(L)

b) IC POP Location, #

600.00

Each .15 facility  
mile or fraction  
thereof, up to and  
including .675  
facility mile.

84.00  
(11240)

(C)

Over .675 facility  
mile.

378.00  
(11409)

(C)

2) IntraLATA #

a) End User Location

1,500.00

378.00  
(11400)

(N)

b) IC POP Location, #

600.00

Each .15 facility  
mile or fraction  
thereof, up to and  
including .675  
facility mile.

84.00  
(11401)

Over .675 facility  
mile.

378.00  
(11402)

(N)

(L) Material formerly shown in different form on 7th Revised Sheet 192.  
# Per CPUC Decision 88-08-059.

(L)

(N)

(N)

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution

Cancelling

FACILITIES FOR INTRASTATE ACCESS

III. Special Access - Continued

RATES - Continued

D. 5. - Continued

JAN 11 1989  
**DRAFT**

	<u>NRC</u>	<u>Monthly Rate</u>	(L)
b. Each Additional System			
1) InterLATA			(C)
a) End User Location	\$300.00	\$378.00 (11369)	
b) IC POP Location, #	300.00		
Each .15 facility mile or fraction thereof, up to and including .675 facility mile.		84.00 (11392)	
Over .675 facility mile.		378.00 (11409)	(C)
2) IntraLATA #			
a) End User Location	300.00	378.00 (11407)	(N)
b) IC POP Location, #	300.00		
Each .15 facility mile or fraction thereof, up to and including .675 facility mile.		84.00 (11408)	
Over .675 facility mile.		378.00 (11402)	(X) (L)
# Per CPUC Decision 88-08-059.			(N)
(L) Material formerly shown in different form on 7th Revised Sheet 192.			(N)

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184

Decision No. 88-08-059  
88-09-059

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS

LIST OF EFFECTIVE SHEETS

**DRAFT**

Sheets 1 through 60 of this schedule are effective as of the date shown on each sheet. Original or revised sheets contain all material including changes from the original schedule that are in effect on the date hereof.

<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>	<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>	<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>
A	31st *	23	3rd	44	7th
B	4th	24	5th	45	5th
C	6th	25	2nd	46	4th
1	15th *	26	2nd	47	6th
2	5th	27	3rd	48	6th
3	5th	28	4th	49	5th
4	4th	29	1st	50	5th
5	3rd	30	1st	51	4th
6	3rd	31	1st	52	4th
7	4th	32	3rd	53	4th
8	4th	33	2nd	54	4th
9	3rd	34	2nd	55	4th
10	6th	35	3rd	56	6th
11	4th	35.1	3rd	57	4th
12	3rd	36	2nd	58	5th
12.1	Original	37	4th	59	5th
12.2	Original	38	3rd	60	4th
12.3	Original	39	1st		
13	3rd	40	1st		
14	4th	41	1st		
15	4th	42	1st		
16	3rd	43	1st		
17	4th	43.1	2nd		
18	4th	43.2	2nd		
19	3rd	43.3	3rd		
20	3rd	43.4	2nd		
21	4th	43.5	Original#		
22	3rd	43.6	Original#		

\* Denotes change  
# Withdrawn

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184  
Decision No.

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution No.

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

**DRAFT**

JAN 11 1989

General Telephone Company of California hereinafter referred to as the Utility, furnishes private line channels within its operating territory as shown in the following separate schedules which include a description of the channels along with rates and conditions.

The conditions under which the separate schedules will apply are governed as set forth below:

- A. When any portion of a channel for the following listed services is furnished by Pacific Bell, the rates and rules of that utility will apply.

Private Line Telephone Service

Speaker-Microphone Service

Private Line Teletypewriter Service

Channels for Data Transmission

Channels for Program Transmission in Connection with Loudspeakers,

Sound Reproduction or Sound Recording

Channels for One-Way Speech Network in Connection with Loudspeakers

Wideband Services

Digital Data Service

Channels for Remote Metering, Supervisory Control and Miscellaneous

Signaling Purposes

Alarm transport Service

Loudspeaker Paging System Service

Switched 56 Service

Channels for the Transmission of Closed Circuit Television Signals

Channels for the Remote Operation of Private Mobile Radiotelephone Systems

(D)

- B. When a private line channel is jointly furnished by the Utility with a connecting utility (other than covered in Paragraph A. above), the applicable schedules of the respective utilities will apply to the portion furnished by each utility.

- C. When a private line channel is furnished over wholly owned lines of the Utility, the Utility's applicable schedule will apply.

Continued

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS  
OPTINET HIGH CAPACITY DIGITAL SERVICE

JAN 14 1989  
**DRAFT**

LIST OF EFFECTIVE SHEETS

Sheets A through 13 of this schedule are effective as of the date shown on each sheet. Original or revised sheets contain all material including changes from the original schedule that are in effect on the date hereof.

<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Original or Number of Revision</u>
A	4th *
B	Original
1	1st
2	1st
3	1st
4	1st
5	2nd
6	Original
7	Original
8	1st
9	Original
10	1st
11	2nd *
12	3rd *
13	4th *
14	Original *

\* Denotes change

Continued

Advice Letter No. 5184  
Decision No.

Issued By  
Keith M. Kramer  
Vice President  
Revenue Requirements

Date Filed  
Effective  
Resolution No.

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS

OPTINET HIGH CAPACITY DIGITAL SERVICE

**DRAFT**

JAN 11 1989

E. PAYMENT OF CHARGES - Continued

3. Maintenance Visit Charge

The customer shall be responsible for payment of a visit charge, as set forth in Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. V-1., for visits by the Utility to the premises of the customer where the service difficulty is found to be the result of customer-provided facilities or equipment.

4. Allowance for Interruptions

Provisions concerning allowance for interruptions in service are set forth in Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. D&R, Rule No. 26.

5. Mileage Measurements

Mileage calculations for Special Transport Facilities, as referred to in F.3. following, are determined in accordance with the National Exchange Carrier Association Tariff FCC No. 4.

6. Services Provided By More Than One Telephone Company

When a high capacity digital service channel is jointly furnished by more than one telephone company, the tariff schedule of each Utility will apply only to its portion of the channel furnished. Each utility will bill the customer for that portion of the channel it furnishes.

In situations like this, it will not be necessary for customers to contact both utilities when ordering service. The Utility contacted by the customer will be responsible for notification of the other Utility.

(N)

(N)

Continued

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS

OPTINET HIGH CAPACITY DIGITAL SERVICE

F. RATES AND CHARGES

**DRAFT**

1. General

The rates and charges specified in this section apply to all HCDS Optinet services except Special Construction covered in Section D.5.b.

JAN 11 1989

The rates set forth in F.3 following may be raised (not to exceed the maximum as stated in F. 6. following) or lowered by the Utility with at least 30 days prior notice to the C.P.U.C. before the effective date.

(N)  
|  
(N)

2. Optinet 1.5 Special Access Line (SAL)

The rates set forth below apply for each Special Access Line between the Serving Wire Center and the CDL. (See Note 1)

	<u>NRC</u>	<u>MR</u>	
a. Special Access Line -			
1st line - each	\$1,500.00	\$378.00	(R)
		(98051)	
b. Special Access Line -			
2nd line - each *	300.00	378.00	(R)
		(98052)	
			(D)
			(D)

Note 1: When both CDLs are served by the same Serving Wire Center, two Special Access Lines are required. A Serving Wire Center may be a CDL, when rate F.5.a. is applicable.

\* Reduced rates and charges apply for additional lines when installed in conjunction with the first line between the same locations. (T)  
(T)

Continued

**DRAFT**

1/13/89

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS

OPTINET HIGH CAPACITY DIGITAL SERVICE

F. RATES AND CHARGES - Continued

3. Optinet 1.5 Special Transport Facility

	<u>Monthly Rate</u>		
	<u>Fixed</u>	<u>Per Airline Mile</u>	
Each Special Transport Facility between the Serving Wire Centers of each CDL or point of connection.	\$71.00 * (98061)		(T)
a. First 10 miles		\$42.00 (98062)	(I) (T)
b. Each additional mile		30.00## (98055)	(T)

4. Automatic Protection Switching (APS)  
 (Does not include the redundant SAL)

	<u>NRC</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>Change Charge</u>
a. Per 1 for 1 protection - each end of the protected facility.	\$1,000.00 (98056)	\$155.00	N/A
b. Per additional termination of a facility at the same point as the primary facility - each end.	30.00 (98057)	60.00	N/A

5. Multiplexing Arrangement DS1 to Voice/Data

a. DS1 to 24 voice/data channels # (Serving Wire Center Provisioned)	2,000.00 (98058)	285.00	N/A
b. Change or addition of circuit packs each** - Voice/Data Circuit Pack	N/A	N/A	\$50.00 (98059)
c. DS1 to 24 voice/data channels (network termination equipment at customer designated location)	4,000.00	397.00	N/A

\* Each Serving Wire Center affected.

\*\* Note: A change charge is applicable for each circuit pack that is changed added subsequent to the initial installation of a multiplexing arrangement.

# Rates and charges for a voice/data private line channel is required to extend this service to the customer's premises.

## Effective until otherwise modified or revised by further order of the CPUC. (N)  
 Continued

**DRAFT**

PRIVATE LINE SERVICES AND CHANNELS  
OPTINET HIGH CAPACITY DIGITAL SERVICE

**DRAFT**

F. RATES AND CHARGES - Continued

JAN 11 1989

6. Flexible Rates

(N)

This section contains flexible rates for HCDS Optinet Services as listed below. The current rates will be set forth on the following basis.

a. Optinet 1.5 Special Transport Facility

	Monthly Rate			
	Fixed *		Per	
	Maximum	Minimum	Airline Mile Maximum	Minimum
Each Special Transport Facility between the Serving Wire Centers of each CDL or point of connection	\$100.00	\$61.00	\$50.00	\$33.00

(N)

\* Each Serving Wire Centers affected

(N)

01/17/89

A-88-10-017 /ALJ/GAW/jt

# GTE CALIFORNIA INCORPORATED

## DS1 RATE COMPARISON

(2 POINT/10 MILE CIRCUIT - 1ST SYSTEM)

	CURRENT		PROPOSED	
	RECURRING	NON-RECURRING	RECURRING	NON-RECURRING
<b>CPUC G-14</b>				
2-SAL (EU-SWC)	\$1050.00	\$5000.00	\$ 756.00	\$3000.00
TRANSPORT FACILITY				
2-FIXED	100.00	—	142.00	—
10-VARIABLE	300.00	—	420.00 <sup>#</sup>	—
TOTAL	\$1450.00	\$5000.00	\$1318.00	\$3000.00
<b>CPUC C-1</b>				
1-SAL (EU-SWC)	\$ 492.00	\$2000.00	\$ 378.00	\$1500.00
1-SAL (POP-SWC)	492.00	2000.00	84.00*	600.00
TRANSPORT				
2-TERMINATION	100.00	—	142.00	—
10-FACILITY	300.00	—	408.00 <sup>##</sup>	—
TOTAL	\$1384.00	\$4000.00	\$1012.00	\$2100.00
<b>FCC-1</b>				
2-SAL	\$1012.74	\$3834.90		
TRANSPORT				
2-TERMINATION	141.50	—		
10-FACILITY	393.00	—		
TOTAL	\$1547.24	\$3834.90		

NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

\* COST BASED ON AN AVERAGE CIRCUIT LENGTH OF .18 MILES, EACH .18 FACILITY MILES OR FRACTION THEREOF, UP TO AND INCLUDING .175 FACILITY MILES, WILL BE CHARGED \$84 PER MONTH. POP-SWC LOOPS OVER .175 FACILITY MILES WILL BE CHARGED AT \$270 PER MONTH.

# SEE OPTION 1.8 MILEAGE AT TOP OF SHEET 10, SCHEDULE G-14.

## SEE COST MILEAGE AT TOP OF SHEET 10, SCHEDULE G-14.

01/10/89

# GTE CALIFORNIA INCORPORATED

## DS1 RATE COMPARISON

(2 POINT/10 MILE CIRCUIT - ADD'L SYSTEM)

A.88-10-017 /ALJ/GAA/jt

APPENDIX B  
Page 33

	CURRENT		PROPOSED	
	RECURRING	NON-RECURRING	RECURRING	NON-RECURRING
<b>CPUC G-14</b>				
2-SAL (EU-SWC)	\$ 850.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 756.00	\$ 600.00
TRANSPORT FACILITY				
2-FIXED	100.00	--	142.00	--
10-VARIABLE	300.00	--	420.00 <sup>#</sup>	--
TOTAL	<u>\$1250.00</u>	<u>\$ 600.00</u>	<u>\$1318.00</u>	<u>\$ 600.00</u>
<b>CPUC C-1</b>				
1-SAL (EU-SWC)	\$ 337.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 378.00	\$ 300.00
1-SAL (POP-SWC)	337.00	125.00	84.00*	300.00
TRANSPORT				
2-TERMINATION	100.00	--	142.00	--
10-FACILITY	300.00	--	408.00 <sup>#</sup>	--
TOTAL	<u>\$1074.00</u>	<u>\$ 250.00</u>	<u>\$1012.00</u>	<u>\$ 600.00</u>
<b>FCC-1</b>				
2-SAL	\$ 674.54	\$ 227.16		
TRANSPORT				
2-TERMINATION	141.50	--		
10-FACILITY	393.00	--		
TOTAL	<u>\$1209.04</u>	<u>\$ 227.16</u>		

NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

\* COST BASED ON AN AVERAGE CIRCUIT LENGTH OF .18 MILES EACH .18 FACILITY MILES OR FRACTION THEREOF, UP TO AND INCLUDING .075 FACILITY MILES, WILL BE CHARGED \$84 PER MONTH. POP-SWC LOOPS OVER .075 FACILITY MILES WILL BE CAPPED AT \$378 PER MONTH.

<sup>#</sup> SEE OPTINET 1.8 MILEAGE AT TOP OF SHEET 13, SCHEDULE G-14.

AP SEE COST MILEAGE AT TOP OF SHEET 18A, SCHEDULE G-14.

## GTE CALIFORNIA

## IMPACT ON GTEC'S AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL TELEPHONE BILL

GTEC's high speed digital private line services application (A. 88-10-017) will reduce certain high speed digital rates by \$1.8 million \*\*\* in 1989 with an offsetting increase to the present surcharge which is applicable to all tariffed services.

The following illustrates the impact to an average residential customer:

	<u>Current</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Change</u>
Monthly Service *	\$10.80	\$10.80	0
Usage Charges:			
Local Messages/ZUM calls	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.71	0
Service Area calls	\$13.51	\$13.51	0
Rate Surcharge ****	<u>\$ 1.02</u>	<u>\$ 1.05</u>	<u>\$ .03</u>
Average Monthly Charges	\$27.04	\$27.07	\$ .03

The following shows additional examples of how a customer's bill ranging from \$10.00 to \$100.00 could be impacted by this surcharge increase:

1989

- \$10.00 Bill \* x 0.10% = \$.01 increase
- \$20.00 Bill \* x 0.10% = \$.02 increase
- \$25.00 Bill \* x 0.10% = \$.03 increase
- \$50.00 Bill \* x 0.10% = \$.05 increase
- \$100.00 Bill \* x 0.10% = \$.10 increase

\* Includes residential customers flat rate and measured and Universal Lifeline customers - flat rate and measured.

\*\* A 1990 Billing Surcharge with an effective 1/1/90 date may be required if a rate design decision is not rendered in 1989.

338

\*\*\* \$1.8 million is GTEC's revenue reduction which is approximately .08%. \$1.472 million has been added to the surcharge request due to the settlement impact of Pacific Bell's Application No. 88-10-012 which is approximately .02%.

\*\*\*\* Current surcharge effective as of 1/1/89.

TABLE - A

GTE CALIFORNIA (GTEC)  
ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT REVENUE EFFECTS ON TELEPHONE COMPANIES  
DUE TO CHANGES IN GTEC'S TARIFFS FOR NUCAP SERVICE (SCHEDULES G-14 & C-1)  
1989

(WHOLE \$)

INDEPENDENT COMPANIES	INTRALATA MTS	INTRALATA PL	:	TOTAL
-----	-----	-----	:	-----
	(a)	(b)	:	(c)
1 CALAVERAS	(389)	(35)	:	(394)
2 CAPAY	(20)	0	:	(20)
3 CAL-OREGON (with DORIS)	(63)	(39)	:	(102)
4 CAL PAC NATIONAL	(453)	(78)	:	(531)
5 CITIZENS	(2,049)	(1,656)	:	(3,705)
6 CONTINENTAL	(11,924)	(4,646)	:	(16,570)
7 DUCOR	(46)	(5)	:	(51)
8 EVANS (with LIVINGSTON)	(295)	(137)	:	(432)
9 FORESTHILL	(63)	(3)	:	(66)
10 HAPPY VALLEY	(59)	(11)	:	(70)
11 HORNITOS	(77)	0	:	(77)
12 KERNAN	(62)	(13)	:	(75)
13 PINNACLES	(4)	(1)	:	(5)
14 PONDEROSA	(479)	(167)	:	(646)
15 ROSEVILLE	(1,139)	(305)	:	(1,444)
16 SIERRA (with MARIPOSA)	(330)	(147)	:	(477)
17 SISKIYOU	(180)	(234)	:	(414)
18 TUOLUMNE	(426)	(9)	:	(435)
19 VOLCANO	(169)	(2)	:	(171)
20 WEST COAST	(260)	(39)	:	(299)
	-----	-----	:	-----
21 TOTAL OF COLUMNS	(\$18,187)	(\$7,497)	:	(\$25,684)

TABLE - 8

GTE CALIFORNIA (GTEC)  
ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT REVENUE EFFECTS ON TELEPHONE COMPANIES  
DUE TO CHANGES IN GTEC'S TARIFFS FOR NUCAP SERVICE (SCHEDULES C-14 & C-1)  
1990

(WHOLE \$)

INDEPENDENT COMPANIES	INTRALATA MTS	INTRALATA PL	:	TOTAL
-----	-----	-----	:	-----
	(a)	(b)	:	(c)
1 CALAVERAS	(39)	(33)	:	(512)
2 CAPAY	(2)	0	:	(2)
3 CAL-OREGON (with DORIS)	(6)	(20)	:	(26)
4 CAL PAC NATIONAL	(45)	(39)	:	(84)
5 CITIZENS	(205)	(828)	:	(1,033)
6 CONTINENTAL	(1,192)	(2,323)	:	(3,515)
7 DUCOR	(5)	(3)	:	(8)
8 EVANS (with LIVINGSTON)	(30)	(68)	:	(98)
9 FORESTHILL	(6)	(2)	:	(8)
10 PACIFIC	(23,580)	(173,364)	:	(196,944)
11 HAPPY VALLEY	(6)	(6)	:	(12)
12 HORNITOS	(8)	0	:	(8)
13 KERNAN	(6)	(7)	:	(13)
14 PINNACLES	0	(1)	:	(1)
15 PONDEROSA	(48)	(84)	:	(132)
16 ROSEVILLE	(114)	(153)	:	(267)
17 SIERRA (with MARIPOSA)	(33)	(73)	:	(106)
18 SISKIYOU	(18)	(117)	:	(135)
19 TUOLUMNE	(43)	(4)	:	(47)
20 VOLCANO	(17)	(1)	:	(18)
21 WEST COAST	(26)	(20)	:	(46)
22 TOTAL OF COLUMNS	(325,399)	(3177,116)	:	(3202,515)

(END OF APPENDIX C)