ALJ/KIM/rmn



## Decision 89 03 007 MAR 8 1989



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of City of Long Beach for Modification of Resolution No. G-2664 (February 11, 1986).

Application 86-02-044 (Filed February 28, 1986)

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

By this order, we close (Application) A.86-02-044, a petition filed by City of Long Beach (Long Beach) for modification of Resolution G-2664. The issues concerning this application were addressed by Resolution G-2668, dated March 12, 1986 and subsequent Commission decisions.

Long Beach, on February 28, 1986, filed a petition for modification of Resolution G-2664 which reduced Southern California Gas Company's (SoCal) GN-5A rate to enable it to retain electric generation (UEG) customers on its system. The resolution approved a contract between SoCal and UEG customers which effected the rate reduction.

Long Beach's petition for modification asked the Commission to address how SoCal's reduced UEG rates affect Long Beach's gas sales to Southern California Edison Company (Edison). Long Beach expressed concern that the wholesale rates it pays to SoCal do not allow Long Beach to resell gas to Edison at a profitable level.

The assigned administrative law judge requested, in a letter dated November 15, 1988, that the parties comment on whether the matter should be dismissed or set for hearings. Long Beach's reply, dated December 9, 1988, requested hearings on the matter.

SoCal, on December 16, 1988, filed a motion to dismiss A.86-02-044 on the grounds that the Commission resolved the issue

## A.86-02-044 ALJ/KIM/rmn

in Resolution G-2668, and because the issue has been "superseded by a number of subsequent Commission decisions" relating to wholesale rates applicable to Long Beach.

We agree with SoCal that the Commission addressed the rate design issue raised by City's petition for modification in Resolution G-2668. The Commission has also ruled on wholesale rates in other SoCal proceedings.

We see no reason to address this issue in a separate proceeding. Accordingly, we will deny Long Beach's petition for modification.

> IT IS ORDERED that Application 86-02-044 is closed. This order is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_MAR\_ <u>8 1089</u>\_\_\_\_ at San Francisco, California.

G. MITCHELL WILK President FREDERICK R. DUDA STANLEY W. HULFTT JOHN B. OHANIAN CONTLISSIONETS

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY.

ic.or Woiscor, Executive Director