

Decision 89 09 081 SEP 27 1989

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD J. DORNER,)
 Complainant,)
 vs.)
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY,)
 Defendant.)

ORIGINAL
 Case 87-02-042
 (Filed February 18, 1987)

OPINION

Summary of Decision

This decision dismisses the complaint.

Background

On February 18, 1987 complainant filed Case (C.) 87-02-042 requesting that Southern California Edison Company (Edison) be directed to supply electric service to complainant pursuant to Edison's domestic rate schedules. Complainant argues that: (1) he resides in a motor home, (2) has been provided electric service by Edison pursuant to its General Service Schedule GS-1 since April 7, 1986, and (3) was denied service under a domestic rate schedule. Additionally, complainant seeks damages including attorney's fees and costs associated with the complaint.

Edison filed a motion on June 6, 1989 to dismiss the complaint stating that Decision (D.) 88-09-025 determined the appropriate rate schedule for recreational vehicle (RV) parks occupied by RVs. According to Edison the decision held that: (1) all RV parks are eligible for a general service rate schedule, and (2) RV parks with qualifying RVs may be placed on a domestic rate schedule. Edison argues that D.88-09-025 did not extend domestic rates to RVs and therefore complainant should be served on a general service rate schedule.

Finally, Edison cites D.87-12-066 which requires a study in Edison's next general rate case into the need and feasibility of tariff changes to extend baseline allowances or master-metered discounts to RV tenants and RV park owners. Since rate design issues for RV tenants and RV parks will be reviewed on a generic basis in Edison's next general rate case, Edison suggests that complainant's issues be addressed in that proceeding.

Discussion

D.88-09-025 allows RV parks to elect service under Edison's domestic rate Schedule DM if at least 50% of the spaces are rented to tenants who rent their space on a month-to-month basis and occupy the same space for at least nine months a year as a permanent residence. Edison's recent Advice Letter 844-E, effective September 3, 1989, now provides RVs on a single premises served directly by Edison with the same option as RV parks. If an RV owner on a single premise meets the above criteria, Edison will provide service under its domestic rate schedules.

The complaint does not allege that Edison has incorrectly applied its tariffs as they existed at the time the complaint was filed nor does it identify the damages requested. Therefore, we find no cause for granting the relief sought and will dismiss the complaint.

Findings of Fact

1. On February 18, 1987 complainant filed C.87-02-042 requesting that Edison be directed to supply electric service to complainant pursuant to Edison's domestic rate schedules.
2. Complainant resides in a motor home, has been provided electric service pursuant to Edison's General Service Schedule GS-1 since April 7, 1986, and was denied service under a domestic rate schedule.
3. Edison filed a motion on June 6, 1989 to dismiss the complaint stating that D.88-09-025 determined the appropriate rate schedule for RV parks occupied by RVs.

4. D.88-09-025 held that: (1) all RV parks are eligible for a general service rate schedule, and (2) RV parks with qualifying RVs may be placed on a domestic rate schedule.

5. D.88-09-025 did not extend domestic rates to RVs.

6. D.87-12-066 requires a study in Edison's next general rate case into the need and feasibility of tariff changes to extend baseline allowances or master-metered discounts to RV tenants and RV park owners.

7. D.88-09-025 allows RV parks to elect service under Edison's domestic rate Schedule DM if at least 50% of the spaces are rented to tenants who rent their space on a month-to-month basis and occupy the same space for at least nine months a year as a permanent residence.

8. Edison's Advice Letter 844-E, effective September 3, 1989, now provides RVs on a single premises served directly by Edison with the same option as RV parks.

9. Complainant does not allege that Edison has incorrectly applied its tariffs or identify the damages requested.

Conclusion of Law

The relief sought should not be granted and the complaint should be dismissed.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Case 87-02-042 is dismissed.

This order becomes effective 30 days from today.

Dated SEP 27 1989, at San Francisco, California.

G. MITCHELL WILK
President
STANLEY W. HULETT
JOHN B. OHANIAN
PATRICIA M. ECKERT
Commissioners

Commissioner Frederick R. Duda,
being necessarily absent, did
not participate.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION
WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE
COMMISSIONERS TODAY.

Wesley Franklin

WESLEY FRANKLIN, Acting Executive Director