Mailed DEC 24 1991

Decision 91-12-074 December 20, 1991

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U-902M) for Authority to Increase Its Authorized Level of Base Rate Revenue Under the Electric Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (ERAM) and Steam) Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (SRAM), to Adjust Its Authorized Base Cost Amount in Its Annual Cost Allocation Proceeding (ACAP), and to Reflect These Increases in Rates Effective January 1, 1992.

ORIGINAL

Application 91-03-001 (Filed March 1, 1991)

William L. Reed, Attorney at Law, and C. Richard Swanson, for San Diego Gas & Electric Company, applicant.

Electric Company, applicant.

Caryn Hough, for California Energy Commission;

Jeffrey Ogata, Attorney at Law, for California
Energy Commission; and Messrs. Grueneich,

Ellison & Schneider, by Dian Grueneich,

Attorney at Law, for California Department of
General Services, intervenors.

Maurice Brubaker and Norman Furuta, Attorney at Law for Federal Executive Agencies; David Follett, Nancy Day, and Steven Patrick, Attorneys at Law, for Southern California Gas Company; William Marcus, for JBS Energy, Inc.; Frank J. Cooley, Frank A. McNulty, and Paul F. Kubasek, Attorneys at Law, for Southern California Edison Company; William S. Shaffran and Deborah Berger, Deputy City Attorneys, for John W. Witt, City Attorney, for City of San Diego; and Michael Shames and Justin Miller, Attorneys at Law, for Utility Consumer Action Network, interested parties.

Alberto Guerrero, Attorney at Law, and Paul Chan, for the Division of Ratepayer Advocates.

Patricia Ma, for Commission Advisory and Compliance Division.

# PINAL OPINION STORY OF THE STO

Company of the Company of the Company (See Section 2017)

#### Summary

This is the final decision regarding the application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) for a modified attrition adjustment to its authorized revenues for 1992. The interim decision in this proceeding, Decision (D.) 91-10-046, adopted a settlement agreement which resolved all but one issue. The remaining issue concerns the award of a portion of energy savings from SDG&E's DSM programs to its shareholders. Shareholders will receive an award of 13.5% of energy savings, subject to a \$9 million cap. Also, although the interim decision adopted the results of operations and rates set forth in the July settlement we agreement, the Commission noted that those figures would be subject to change as a result of several pending proceedings. This was an decision incorporates the numbers adopted today by the Commission in those related cases. We also grant intervenor UCAN \$24,973.54 as compensation for its representation of residential ratepayers in this case. The state of the s

#### Comments on Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of the ALJ was filed and served on parties to the proceeding pursuant to Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and Rule 77.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules) on November 18, 1991. Comments were filed by SDG&E and DRA as permitted by Rule 77.2.

SDG&E generally supported the proposed decision but the proposed decision but recommended revision to its authorized 1992 nuclear operation and a

and the second of the second o

the state of the s

<sup>1</sup> This settlement agreement was reached on July 31, 1991 primarily by SDG&E, the City of San Diego, Utility Consumers, Action Network (UCAN), the Federal Executive Agencies, and the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA). The Natural Resources Defense Council and the California Energy Commission (CEC) joined in a sub-agreement that was limited to certain demand-side management (DSM) issues.

A. 61-62-601 | Nacy Spallwet |

maintenance (O&M) expenses and amendment of the description of its demand-side management (DSM) incentive mechanism.

SDG&E claims that the number recited in the parties' settlement agreement as SDG&E's nuclear O&M expense should be used to establish its electric department revenue requirement. The approved settlement agreement states:

"In keeping with the Commission's past practice, the Parties have agreed that SONGS O&M issues should be litigated in Edison's 1992 GRC, Application 90-12-018, with the resulting decision of the Commission reflected in SDG&E's case."

The Commission has authorized a level of nuclear O&M expense for Edison which yields a lower revenue requirement for SDG&E's share of nuclear expenses than the amount set forth in the settlement agreement. SDG&E objects that it should not be subject to the cost reductions the Commission has ordered for Edison. We observe that SDG&E holds a 20% ownership interest in all three units of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS).

Our calculation of SDG&E's nuclear O&M revenue requirement is based on SDG&E's ownership interest in plant for which we have determined a reasonable O&M expense. Granting SDG&E's request would lead to recovery of more than 100% of the reasonable O&M costs from ratepayers. Moreover, SDG&E does not claim that the adopted number is inconsistent with the terms of the settlement agreement. We adopt a nuclear O&M revenue requirement for SDG&E calculated at 20% of the SONGS nuclear O&M expense found reasonable for Edison in A.90-12-018, consistent with SDG&E's ownership interest in SONGS.

In its initial comments on the ALJ's proposed decision, DRA agreed with the proposed decision in its entirety. On December 16, 1991, DRA filed a Motion to Accept Late-Filed Response and Reply Comments on the ALJ's proposed decision. Good cause being shown, the motion should be granted. In its reply comments, DRA concurred with SDG&E's remarks on the 13.5% DSM reward/penalty factor. We accept SDG&E's clarification that the potential penalty

is not 13.5% of DSM expenditures, but 13.5% of the Total Resource Cost (TRC) value between what is achieved and the minimum level of energy savings specified for the technology.

Results of Operations and Rates

THE RESERVE OF THE SECOND OF THE PROPERTY OF T

THE SOURCE SOUND TO SOURCE STATE OF THE SOURCE

The Art of the State of the Sta

### Changes to Base Rate Revenues

The interim decision adopted a summary of earnings at proposed rates that assumed a 10.91% rate of return for SDG&E in 1992. The rate of return for SDG&E in 1992 was adopted in D.91-11-059. A 10.75% rate of return has been authorized for SDG&E in 1992.

In addition, the summary of earnings from electric dopartment operations has been revised to reflect changes in certain line items. The interim decision had approved certain nuclear-related expenses assuming that DRA's position in the Southern California Edison (Edison) general rate case (A.90-12-018) would be adopted. The method for recovery of Women and Minority Business Enterprise (WMBE) expenditures was likewise contingent upon the outcome of Edison's rate case. In our decision on A.90-12-018 being issued today, we have found it reasonable to adopt some of DRA's recommendations on nuclear-related expenses and to grant recovery of WMBE expenses through base rate revenues. These changes appear in the electric department revenues and earnings adopted in this decision.

The summary of earnings from gas department and steam department operations also have been revised to reflect the adopted 1992 rate of return.

The increases in SDG&E's 1992 base rate revenues as adopted by the interim decision and this final decision are compared below:

	<u> Interim</u>	<u>Final</u>
Electric	\$48,451,000	\$51,884,000
Gas	12,715,000	11,968,000
Steam	202,000	201,000
Combined	\$61,368,000	\$64,053,000

A.St-02-001 And Act vot ver

26.0 2014 N. 33 to soil drops

The final version of SDG&E's "Summary of Earnings at Proposed Rates - 1992 Revenues" for its combined departments, the electric department, the steam department, and the gas department, is attached to this decision as Appendix A.

The revision of base rate revenues results in the following system average increase in rates:

	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Interim	Final
Electric			3.8%. 5 3 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Gas Steam		13.6%	
Overall A			<b>3.48</b>

#### Base Rate Schedules

We adopt the changes in base rate revenues and rate schedules for SDG&E's electric, gas, and steam departments that are attached as Appendix B to this decision.

The summary of changes in base rate revenue for SDG&E's electric department details the changes in authorized margin, modified attrition adjustment, recovery of DSM rewards earned in prior years, base rate balancing accounts (Energy Rate Adjustment Mechanism, DSM, Low Income Ratepayer Assistance (LIRA), San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station amortization), and energy rate balancing accounts (Energy Cost Adjustment Clause and Annual Energy Rate). Although only the modified attrition and certain DSM expenses were reviewed for reasonableness in this proceeding, the Commission will allow recovery of previously authorized expenses through the base rate revenues for each of SDG&E's operating departments adopted in this decision.

We also adopt a summary of base rate revenue requirement . changes for SDG&E's gas department that appears in Appendix B. Through its base rates SDG&E collects its authorized gas margin costs, its DSM reward, LIRA costs, amortization of its various balancing accounts, and other transmission costs.

Still William Com

Rate Design 19,002 10 16 down brown rebledered mumixac The interim decision ratified the Settlement Agreement's allocation of electric revenue requirement on an equal percentage... of marginal cost (EPMC) basis, deferred gas rate design to SDG&E's Biennial Cost Adjustment Proceeding (BCAP), and spread increases in steam rates on an equal-cents-per-unit-of-energy basis. Rate tables were not adopted by that decision.

AND AND IN A GROWN POST bet Applied by Greekster applied by

Now that the revenue requirement for each of SDG&E's operating departments is known, the Commission will set rates to be effective January 1, 1992 for SDG&E's electric and steam departments.

The tables for the electric department show the use of EPMC allocation factors to allocate the 1992 modified attrition revenue requirement. The change in revenues is shown in terms of dollars and percentage per customer group. The 2.4% increase in gas base rate revenues will be reflected in rates adopted in SDG&E's BCAP. There, the base rate revenue adjustment will be allocated to functional groups, classified according to cost incurrence, and then collected from each customer group based on each group's share of cost incurrence.

The interim decision approved the parties' agreement on a substantial portion of SDG&E's 1992 DSM program. The parties provided that SDG&E's shareholders would be rewarded with a percentage of savings calculated to result from the implementation of certain DSM programs (shareholder reward), and that the reward

Cap on Shareholder Earnings from DSM Savings

would be subject to a maximum (earnings cap). However, they did not agree on the specific figures for the reward and cap.

On August 26, 1991, SDG&E, DRA, and UCAN agreed on the one issue that had not been resolved by the adopted Settlement Agreement. These three parties agreed that the shareholder incentive mechanism adopted in D.90-08-068 should be employed in 1992, that shareholders should continue to receive 13.5% of the

savings achieved by designated DSM programs, and that SDG&E's maximum shareholder reward from all of SDG&E's 1992 programs should not exceed \$9 million. The parties also agreed that the 13.5% of TRC value will apply to penalty calculations under the shareholder incentive mechanism. The potential penalty is 13.5% of the difference between TRC value achieved and the minimum TRC level established for each DSM technology. The parties also revised the earlier settlement agreement to limit SDG&E's expenditures on programs from which it can earn an incentive (the shared savings programs, new construction, and cost-plus programs), to \$36.4 million. This stipulation was entered as an exhibit at evidentiary hearing.

The CEC was the only party to oppose the DSM stipulation. The CEC opposes the \$9 million shareholder earnings cap, claiming that a cap on potential rewards can inhibit utility investment in cost-effective energy efficiency programs and is not supported by Commission policy.

CEC faults the cap because in its prepared testimony, DRA had proposed to cap shareholder earnings at a level DRA believed would be adequate to compensate SDG&E for lost earnings opportunities from the supply-side projects that are deferred through use of energy efficiency investment. CEC states that DRA's proposed cap focuses on utility costs, rather than energy savings achieved by the DSM measure. CEC suggests that if the Commission wished to limit shareholder earnings potential, the Commission could set earnings percentages at the minimum level necessary to ensure implementation of cost-effective DSM measures and could require rigorous measurement studies to avoid granting incentives for savings which do not actually occur.

We observe that the \$9 million cap on shareholder earnings for 1992 DSM projects was reached through settlement negotiations. The settlement agreement approved in D.91-10-046 adopts several caps for 1990 and 1991 program rewards and does not

\* (Ext. 2001) 200 - 100

#### A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL/vdl \*

specify the method by which the caps were calculated. There is no evidence that the parties embraced DRA's "lost earnings potential" methodology as the rationale behind the stipulation. We do not find the 1992 earnings cap to be inconsistent with the Commission's evolving DSM shareholder incentive policies.

er, i jako en 1900 - najativolej ana memberakon energiteksi <mark>enastikkula</mark>.

The 13.5% share of energy savings and \$9 million shareholder earnings cap, not CEC's alternative suggestion, is a reasonable means of encouraging SDG&E to create a market for DSM technology and services, to educate ratepayers about the benefits of DSM, and to undertake cost-effective DSM investment. The Commission has initiated a rulemaking to consider the issue of limits on shareholder DSM rewards on a comprehensive, statewide basis (see, Order Instituting Rulemaking 91-08-003). This rulemaking is also the appropriate forum for determining the optimal percentage of energy savings to be awarded shareholders and designing verification programs to ensure that claimed energy savings have materialized. We concur with the parties' stipulation that their 1992 DSM agreement should not be precedential. We find that the DSM stipulation is appropriate for 1992.

In the interim decision, the Commission granted SDG&E's request of \$44.8 million for 1992 DSM programs, with approval to spend up to \$50 million without prior Commission authorization. Under the terms of the approved agreement, the earnings cap applies to the authorized spending level of \$50 million. SDG&E will not seek to increase the earnings cap if it spends more than \$44.8 million. This means that if SDG&E spends more than \$44.8 million on incentive programs for which it earns a percentage of net energy savings (or in the case of cost-plus programs, a percentage of cost), and that incremental expenditure would result in more than \$9 million of shareholder earnings, the excess earnings would not be available to SDG&E shareholders.

To promote a better understanding of the DSM programs approved for SDG&E since its last general rate case, a table

- - 15 min think and 200 - 25 - 18. A

outlining the 1992 DSM program and previously authorized DSM programs, expenditures, and recovery mechanisms is attached to this decision as Appendix C.

SDG&E and DRA have prepared a set of DSM program guidelines to take into account the findings made by the Commission Advisory and Compliance Division (CACD) when it reviewed SDG&E's 1990 DSM activities. These guidelines appear at Appendix A to Exhibit 21. SDG&E urges their adoption. These guidelines will be used to review SDG&E's 1992 DSM program results.

DRA observes that during the course of its 1990 review, CACD found that some of SDG&E's files were incomplete and suggests the promulgation of standards for the contents of files. A consistent system will be even more important in 1992 because the majority of SDG&E's programs will be subject to pre-specified savings and cost assumptions, making the review primarily a verification of participation. SDG&E should propose standards that will facilitate verification by Commission staff that DSM measures have been installed and are operating. SDG&E's proposed standards will be reviewed by CACD. The CACD-approved standards will be implemented for 1992 DSM programs. However, DRA retains the responsibility for verifying the installation of energy efficiency measures on which alleged savings are based.

#### Participation by UCAN

#### Eligibility for Intervenor Compensation

UCAN is a nonprofit consumer advocacy group that has represented residential San Diego area ratepayers in proceedings before the Commission since UCAN's inception in 1983. UCAN seeks compensation for costs it incurred as an intervenor in this proceeding.

On August 30, 1991, the "UCAN Request for Finding of Eligibility and Award of Intervenor Compensation" (Request) was filed. No party has filed any response to UCAN's Request. The evidentiary record had closed on August 29, 1991. The request for

The state of the s

finding of eligibility was filed "within 45 days after the close of the evidentiary record" as required by Rule 76.54(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

ු විශ්යා රාජ්යය වන අතුර හා ගත්වියා යා වෙන්නු වර්ගලක්කය සිසිම ලක්ෂී

\* 1.5V (500) 1.0A 100+00+10.A

Rule 76.54 requires a request for a finding of eligibility for compensation to include the following: a showing that the intervenor's participation in the proceeding would pose a significant financial hardship, a statement of issues that the customer intends to raise in the proceeding, an estimate of compensation that will be sought, and a budget for the customer's presentation.

The significant financial hardship test is passed if the customer references a Commission decision finding that the customer has met its burden of showing financial hardship in the same calendar year. In D.91-03-009, we found that UCAN had demonstrated significant financial hardship under Rule 76.52(f) and that our determination of significant financial hardship would carry over to other proceedings in which UCAN participates in calendar year 1991. We find that UCAN has met the significant financial hardship requirement.

UCAN had already completed its expected participation in this proceeding when it filed the request. Its specification of issues that it had addressed will serve as its "statement of issues that it intends to raise." Since UCAN's eligibility request is combined with its request for compensation, its estimate of compensation and budget are the same as the requested amount.

The Commission finds that UCAN is eligible to claim intervenor compensation.

### Award of Intervenor Compensation

Rule 76.53 states that the Commission may award reasonable advocate's fees, reasonable expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation in a proceeding for the purpose of modifying a rate or establishing a fact or rule that may influence a rate to any customer who meets these criteria:

A.91-03-001 AED/005/005/

The customer's presentation makes a substantial contribution to the adoption of the Commission's decision.

Participation without an award of fees imposes a significant financial hardship.

The customer's presentation does not materially duplicate the contribution of any other party to the proceeding.

Rule 76.56 provides that after a final order by the Commission in a proceeding, a customer who has been found eligible for an award of compensation may file a request for an award within 30 days. UCAN's filing was made well in advance of this deadline.

UCAN's consultants prepared foundational materials upon which UCAN's direct testimony would be developed for operations and maintenance (O&M) issues; UCAN's Executive Director Shames prepared DSM materials. However, settlement was reached prior to the completion and filing of these materials as testimony. UCAN's contribution to the Commission's disposition of SDG&E's modified attrition application can be evaluated in terms of its contribution to the adopted settlement agreement. No party has objected to UCAN's request for compensation.

UCAN's contribution to the workshop and settlement process was acknowledged by the parties to the Settlement Agreement. The parties assert that UCAN engaged in discovery and was active in all aspects of the application, was responsible for revisions of the DSM programs, and contributed to the review of the revenue allocation issues. We find that UCAN made a substantial contribution to the Commission's adoption of the Settlement Agreement. Based on our determination that UCAN is eligible for intervenor compensation, we also find that UCAN's participation without an award of fees or costs would impose a significant financial hardship on the entity. No party has suggested that the interests advanced by UCAN could have been served by a common legal representative. We find that UCAN's participation did not

duplicate that of any other party. We should award compensation to UCAN for its role in devising the settlement agreement adopted by D.91-10-046 and the DSM stipulation approved herein.

లు ఎక్కుడు. కా. అయ్రంకోయాలుకు కార్యకుడ్డి సివిమ్మ చేవారు ఆడుకుండాని ఇంటితోని లోకి మహామాయ్య

UCAN seeks compensation in the amount of \$27,440.29. In accordance with Rule 76.56, UCAN has attached a detailed description of services and expenditures to its request. In support of its bill for Shames' services, UCAN submits a schedule showing date, activity, and compensable hours. Litigation costs are itemized by witness fees, travel, photocopying, postage, and telephone expense. Those costs are supported by copies of consultants' invoices. The description of services and expenditures is sufficiently detailed to show what UCAN and its consultants did to address the substantive issues in the case during each hour for which compensation is sought.

The application itemizes work performed by UCAN's attorney, Michael Shames. His work on DSM issues and UCAN's subsequent participation in the settlement agreements helped to assure the Commission that the stipulation on DSM issues was fair to ratepayers, promoted the utility's efficient administration of DSM programs, and targeted potentially cost-effective DSM programs. The hours billed for general case preparation, revenue requirement issues, and travel time reflect reasonable case preparation on the other issues presented by SDG&E's modified attrition application. Those hours appear to be reasonable and should be compensated. Thus, UCAN should receive compensation for the 161.65 hours billed by its attorney, Shames.

UCAN requests compensation of \$150 per hour for Shames' work. It claims that this fee is reasonable in light of previous

i At this point in the carry quart, (Carry) of the carry of it is a carry of the Componential of the carry of

- 93 -

awards to other intervenors with Shames' experience. 2 However. we found in D.91-06-010 that \$135 per hour was a reasonable rate to compensate Shames for work he performed in 1990 and 1991. There, the underlying proceeding established a forecast of energy costs and reviewed the reasonableness of costs booked to SDG&E's Energy Cost Adjustment Account. The issues presented in this modified attrition proceeding are generally of no greater difficulty than the issues addressed in the proceeding underlying D.91-06-010. However, in this case, two-thirds of the hours expended by Shames on regulatory issues were devoted to DSM. Because of Shames' previous advocacy on DSM issues before the Commission and his service on SDG&E's DSM Advisory Committee, he is more qualified than most lawyers who practice before the Commission to contribute to the Commission's decision on DSM. We find that a representative of his experience should be compensated at a base rate of \$135 per hour plus a \$5 per hour enhancement, for a total rate of \$140 per hour for the 103.8 hours of work performed on DSM. The previously approved rate of \$135 should be paid for the 51.6 hours spent on other issues in the attrition proceeding. UCAN requested reimbursement for one-half of the hours Shames spent in travel. We generally provide compensation at half the attorney's hourly rate if it was not possible to perform substantive work while traveling. Since UCAN requests one-half the normal compensation, we assume this to be the case. Consistent with previous intervenor and the case. compensation orders, Shames' travel time which could not be used to

ong india protection and and property of the highest Mailei.

is the first terminal of the contraction of the con

TOTAL STREET OF THE STREET STREET AND THE SECOND

The state of the s

<sup>2</sup> At this point in its request, UCAN refers to its "Request for Compensation in A.88-12-035 and D.90-09-080, D.90-12-026, and D.90-08-021." This type of reference, particularly to a pleading filed in another docket, is not helpful to the reader. The better practice is to quote the passages that the petitioner believes are persuasive.

Conclusion

work on the issues for which compensation is sought (see D.86-09-046), will be reimbursed at half his hourly rate of \$135.

- \*\* (000000000000 100-60-00000

The second of the state of the second

UCAN seeks compensation at Shames' hourly rate for 6.8 hours spent on preparing and filing the request. The filed request is primarily an invoice for services; its proparation did not require a lawyer's skill. We think this task could have been performed by someone with a lower hourly rate. We will compensate UCAN for 6.8 hours spent preparing the request for compensation at one-half the attorney's hourly rate.

The request for litigation costs includes compensation for JBS Energy, Inc. economists Marcus and Nahigian. The analysis conducted by JBS Energy, Inc. focused upon SDG&E's operating and maintenance expenses. Expert witness fees of \$75 per hour for 5.75 hours are claimed for Marcus, and fees at the rate of \$50 per hour for 58.25 hours of work are claimed for Nahigian. In D.91-06-010, we granted UCAN's request for expert witness fees at the rates of \$75 and \$50 for Marcus and Nahigian, respectively. We find that UCAN's request for reimbursement for expert witness fees is a reasonable one. UCAN should receive \$1,410 for expert witness fees. UCAN should also be reimbursed for its itemized litigation costs.

The total compensation to UCAN is \$24,973.54. SDG&E should pay this amount to UCAN within 30 days of the effective date of this order. Any later payment will be accompanied by interest accruing at the three-month commercial paper rate beginning on the the contract of the contract o 31st day. Commence of the second of the

New rates reflecting the base rate revenues adopted herein should be established effective January 1, 1992. A Market London

Shareholders should be rewarded with 13.5% of energy savings achieved by SDG&E's 1992 DSM program, subject to a \$9 million earnings cap. The same same for the same of th

UCAN should receive intervenor compensation in the amount of: \$24,973.54. While I was a subject to a like . (840-20-88.C Pindings of Pact - April 1999 to the Application of the Application of

- 1. The interim decision in this proceeding, D.91-10-046, adopted a settlement agreement which resolved all issues but the -question of what portion of energy savings from SDG&E's DSM and the control of th programs should be awarded to shareholders and whether the awards should be capped. and promotive the compact of the section of
- 2. The results of operations and rates adopted by Again and D.91-10-046 have been changed to make SDG&E's rates consistent with the outcome of several related proceedings. I perform the proceedings.
- 3. The summary of earnings for each department and for SDG&E as a whole have been revised to incorporate the 10.75% rate of return adopted for SDG&E in 1992 by the decision on SDG&E's financial attrition application (D.91-11-059).
- 4. The summary of earnings from electric department of the summary of earnings from electric department. operations have been revised to reflect changes in nuclear-related expenses and the method for recovery of WMBE expenditures ordered in the general rate case for Edison (A.90-12-018).
- 5. The increases in SDG&E's 1992 base rate revenues as adopted by this final decision are as follows: Electric -\$51,884,000; Gas - \$11,968,000; and Steam - \$201,000. The combined increase in base rate revenues is \$64,053,000 pages of a gard for the state of the
- 6. The final version of SDG&E's "Summary of Earnings at the second Adopted Rates - 1992 Revenues" for its combined departments, the electric department, the steam department, and the gas department, is attached to this decision as Appendix A.
- 7. The revision of base rate revenues results in the following average increase in rates: Electric 3.8%; Gas 2.4%; and Steam 13.5%. The overall system average increase in rates is 3.4%.
- 8. The changes in base-rate revenues and rate schedules for SDG&E's electric and steam department, attached as Appendix B to to this decision, are adopted.

9. The summary of base rate revenue requirement changes for SDG&E's gas department, in Appendix B, is also adopted.

TO THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE

- 10. Rates to be effective January 1, 1992 for SDG&E's electric and steam departments should be adopted now that the revenue requirement for each of SDG&E's operating departments is known.
- 11. The 2.4% increase in gas base rate revenues will be reflected in rates adopted in SDG&E's BCAP.
- 12. The interim decision provided that SDG&E's shareholders would be rewarded with a percentage of savings calculated to result from the implementation of certain DSM programs (shareholder reward), and that the reward would be subject to a maximum (earnings cap).
- 13. On August 26, 1991, SDG&E, DRA, and UCAN agreed that the shareholder incentive mechanism adopted in D.90-08-068 should be employed in 1992, that shareholders should continue to receive 13.5% of the savings achieved by designated DSM programs as well as a new 13.5% penalty if DSM expenditures do not result in a minimum level of energy savings, that SDG&E's maximum shareholder reward from all of SDG&E's 1992 programs will not exceed \$9 million, and that SDG&E may spend a maximum of \$36.4 million on reward-generating DSM programs.
- 14. The 13.5% share of energy savings and \$9 million shareholder earnings cap are reasonable means of encouraging SDG&E to create a market for DSM technology and services, to educate ratepayers about the benefits of DSM, and to undertake costeffective DSM investment.
  - 15. The stipulated 1992 DSM program is not precedential.
- 16. To promote a better understanding of the DSM programs approved for SDG&E since its last general rate case, a table outlining the 1992 DSM program and previously authorized DSM programs, expenditures, and recovery mechanisms is attached to this decision as Appendix C.
- 17. The review of SDG&E's 1992 DSM program will be primarily a verification of participation.

18. The DSM program guidelines prepared by SDG&E and DRA to take into account CACD findings from CACD's review of SDG&E's 1990 DSM activities are adopted and will be used to review SDG&E's 1992 DSM program results.

- 5 - 20 - 2000 Mark - 190-20-21. A

- 19. SDG&E will propose standards that will facilitate verification by Commission staff that DSM measures have been installed and are operating. CACD will review the proposed standards, and the CACD-approved standards will be implemented for 1992 DSM programs.
- 20. UCAN is a nonprofit consumer advocacy group that has represented residential San Diego area ratepayors in proceedings before the Commission since UCAN's inception in 1983. UCAN seeks compensation for costs it incurred as an intervenor in this proceeding.
- 21. On August 30, 1991, the "UCAN Request for Finding of Eligibility and Award of Intervenor Compensation" (Request) was filed. No party has filed any response to UCAN's Request.
- 22. In D.91-03-009, we found that UCAN had demonstrated significant financial hardship under Rule 76.52(f) and that our determination of significant financial hardship would carry over to other proceedings in which UCAN participates in calendar year 1991.
  - 23. UCAN is eligible to claim intervenor compensation.
- 24. Because this case was submitted on the basis of a settlement agreement, UCAN's contribution to the adoption of the Commission's decision can be evaluated in terms of its contribution to the adopted settlement agreement.
- 25. UCAN made a substantial contribution to the Commission's adoption of the Settlement Agreement. UCAN's participation without an award of fees or costs would impose a significant financial hardship on the entity. We find that UCAN's participation did not duplicate that of any other party.
- 26. UCAN is awarded compensation for its role in devising the settlement agreement adopted by D.91-10-046 and the DSM stipulation approved herein.

Surface to the Committee of the Surface of the Committee of

27. UCAN seeks compensation in the amount of \$27,440.29 based on an hourly rate of \$150 for its attorney, Michael Shames.

TO CONTRACT TO THE SHOPE SHOPE SALES

- 28. Shames' work on DSM issues, general case preparation, revenue requirement issues, and travel time reflect reasonable case preparation on the issues presented by SDG&E's modified attrition application.
- 29. UCAN should receive compensation for the 161.65 hours billed by its attorney, Shames.
- 30. We found in D.91-06-010 that \$135 per hour was a reasonable rate of compensation for attorney Shames for work performed in 1990 and 1991.
- 31. Because of Shames' previous advocacy on DSM issues, he should receive a \$5 per hour enhancement to his base rate and should be compensated at a rate of \$140 per hour for the 103.8 hours of work performed on DSM.
- 32. The previously approved rate of \$135 should be paid for the 51.6 hours Shames spent on other issues in the attrition proceeding.
- 33. Consistent with previous intervenor compensation orders, Shames' travel time, which could not be used to work on the issues for which compensation is sought, will be reimbursed at one-half of his hourly rate (\$67.50).
- 34. UCAN will be compensated at the rate of one-half of Shames' hourly rate for 6.8 hours spent on the request for intervenor compensation because preparation of the request did not require a lawyer's skill.
- 35. UCAN will be reimbursed expert witness fees at the rate of \$75 per hour for Marcus and \$50 per hour for Nahigian. The total amount of reimbursement for litigation costs is \$2,173,79.
- 36. The total intervenor compensation to UCAN is \$24,973.54.

  Conclusions of Law
- 1. It is reasonable to adopt the summary of operations shown in Appendix A.
- 2. It is reasonable to adopt the base rate revenues and rates shown in Appendix B.

3. It is reasonable to adopt the stipulation between SDG&E, UCAN, and DRA providing for a shareholder DSM reward/penalty at the rate of 13.5% of energy savings due to DSM expenditures, subject to a \$9 million cap.

- \* 159 155 \\ 155 \\ 156 \\ 1

TO CHARLESTAN OLD YOURSELLOW

್ ಮ. ಅಥವಾದ ಅಂಕ .......

4. UCAN is entitled to intervenor compensation in the amount of \$24,973.54 pursuant to Rule 76 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

### FINAL ORDER

The State of the S

## IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Within 5 days of the effective date of this decision, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) shall file an advice letter proposing tariffs to be effective January 1, 1992, that are consistent with the summary of earnings, base rate revenues, and rates adopted by this decision.
- 2. SDG&E shall implement a demand-side management (DSM) program for 1992 based on the settlement agreement adopted in D.91-10-046 and modified herein.
- 3. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, SDG&E shall draft standards to govern the contents of DSM project files. The purpose of these standards is to enable Commission staff to effectively verify the DSM client, type of load, technology used, differentiation between installations made in 1992 and previous installations, and current operation of the DSM technology. Each DSM project on which a claim for shareholder reward is based shall be documented according to these standards. The standards shall be reviewed by Commission Advisory and Compliance Division (CACD). The CACD-approved standards shall apply to shared-savings programs implemented on or after January 1, 1992.
- 4. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, SDG&E shall pay Utility Consumers' Action Network (UCAN) \$24,973.54 as intervenor compensation. If any portion of this payment is delayed, the amount remaining unpaid after 30 days shall accrue

interest at the 3-month commercial paper rate beginning on the 31st day, and that interest shall be paid to UCAN.

5. This proceeding is closed.
This order is effective today.
Dated December 20, 1991, at San Francisco, California.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ACOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY

PATRICIA M. ECKERT
President
JOHN B. OHANIAN
DANIEL Wm. FESSLER
NORMAN D. SHUMWAY
Commissioners

AL91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX A SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Summary of Earnings at Adopted Rates:	
Combined Departments	1.1
Electric Department	1.2
Gas Department	1.3
Steem Department	1.4
Summary of Updates to	2.1

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

# APPENDIX A SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992 SUMMARY OF EARNINGS AT ADOPTED RATES (\$000)

COMB	INED DEPARTMENTS	[A] SDGME Application	(B) DRA Report		(C) Settlement Agreement		[D] Adopted	
1.	Base Rate Revenues:							
2. 3. 4.	Retail Revenues Miscellaneous Revenues Non-Jurisdictional Revenues	1,068,031 20,157 1,445	1,030,506 20,157 1,445		1,043,832 20,157 1,445		1,046,539 20,157 1,445	
5.	Subtotal Base Rate Revenues	1,089,633	1,052,108		1,065,434		1,068,141	
6.	Operating Expenses:	Þ						
7. 8.	O&M (Other than DSM) Demand-Side Management a/	359,277 23,282	327,140 23,282		339,455 23,282		347,032 23,282	
9.	Subtotal OSM	382,559	350,422		362,737		370,314	
10.	Franchise Fees & Uncollectibles	23,231	22,408		22,698		22,737	
11.	Total O&M	405,790	372,830		385,435		393,072	
12.	Depreciation	201,909	201,192		201,741		201,888	
13. 14.	Taxes (Other than Income) Income Taxes	50,508 143,270	50,129 142,544		50,353 142,527		50,353 140,781	
15.	Total Taxes	193,778	192,673		192,880		191,134	
16.	Total Operating Expenses	801,477	766,695		780,056		786,094	
17.	Net Operating Income	288,156	285,413		285,378		282,047	
18. 19.	Rate Base	2,641,332	2,615,867		2,615,867		2,624,691	
20.	Rate of Return	10.91%	10_91%		10.91%		10.75%	
21.	Other Rate Base Revenues	(1,893) b	22,480	c/	13,955	¢/	13,955	c
22.	TOTAL BASE RATE	1,087,740	1,074,588	-	1,079,389	•	1,082,096	

- (D) Reflects rate of return from SDGZE's 1992 Financial Attrition proceeding (A.91-05-023), SDGZE's share of SONGS expense and rate base results from SCE's 1992 GRC proceeding (A.90-12-018), and WMSE funding.
- a/ Includes DSM programs in base rates. Excludes DSM programs in balancing account.
- b/ Excludes DSM programs in balancing account.
- c/ Includes DSM Reward, DSM balancing account, SDNGS 2 and 3 post-COO amortization, ERAM balancing account and LIRA.

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX A SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

### SUMMARY OF EARNINGS AT ADOPTED RATES (\$000)

ELEC	TRIC DEPARTMENT	SDG&E Application		[B] DRA Report		[C] Settlement Agreement		(D) Adopted	
1.	Base Rate Revenues:								
2. 3. 4.	Retail Revenues Miscellaneous Revenues Non-Jurisdictional Revenues	904,976 17,005 1,445		873,064 17,005 1,445		883,910 17,005 1,445		887,365 17,005 1,445	
5.	Subtotal Base Rate Revenues	923,426		891,514		902,360		905,815	
6.	Operating Expenses:								
7. 8.	O&M (Other than DSM) Demand-Side Management a/	296,798 18,406		269,690 18,406		279,617 18,406		287,087 18,406	
٥.	Subtotal OEM	315,204		288,096		298,023		305,493	
10.	Franchise Fees & Uncollectibles	19,619		18,932		19,163		19,241	
11.	Total CEM	334,823		307,028		317,186		324,734	
12.	Depreciation	172,803		172,173		172,722		172,869	
13. 14.	Taxes (Other than Income) Income Taxes	43,557 121,671		43,244 121,023		43,435 121,006		43,435 119,548	
15.	Total Taxes	165,228		164,267		164,441		162,983	
16.	Total Operating Expenses	672,854		643,468		654,349		660,586	
17. 18.	Not Operating Income	250,572	•	248,046	•	248,011		245,229	
19.	Rate Base	2,296,830		2,273,353		2,273,353		2,282,177	
20.	Rate of Return	10.91%		10.91%		10.91%		10.75%	
21.	Other Rate Base Revenues	16,564	b/	40,938	c/	33,733	<b>c/</b>	33,733	c
22.	TOTAL BASE RATE	939,990	,	932,452	•	936,093		939,548	

- [D] Reflects rate of return from SDGZE's 1992 Financial Attrition proceeding (A.91-05-023), SDGZE's share of SONGS expense and rate base results from SCE's 1992 GRC proceeding (A.90-12-018), and WMSE funding.
- a/ Includes DSM programs in base rates. Excludes DSM programs in balancing account.
- b/ Excludes DSM programs in balancing account.
- c/ Includes DSM Reward, DSM balancing account, SONGS 2 and 3 post-COD amortization, ERAM balancing account and LIRA.

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX A SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

### SUMMARY OF EARNINGS AT ADOPTED RATES (\$000)

CAS DEPARTMENT		EA) SDGEE Application	[8] DRA Report	[C] Settlement Agreement	(D) Adopted
1.	Base Rate Revenues:		<u> </u>		
2. 3. 4.	Retail Revenues a/ Miscellaneous Revenues Non-Jurisdictional Revenues	161,418 3,152 0	155,829 3,152 0	158,295 3,152 0	157,548 3,152 0
5.	Subtotal Base Rate Revenues	164,570	158,981	161,447	160,700
<b>.</b>	Operating Expenses:				
7. 3.	O&M (Other than DSM) Demand-Side Management b/	60,986 4,876	55,978 4,876	58,354 4,876	58,461 4,876
٠.	Subtotal O&M	65,862	60,854	63,230	63,337
	Franchise Fees & Uncollectibles	3,581	3,446	3,504	3,486
١.	Total O&M	69,443	64,300	66,734	66,823
2.	Depreciation	29,065	28,978	28,978	28,978
-	Taxes (Other than Income) Income Taxes	6,900 21,608	6,835 21,530	6,867 21,530	6,867 21,243
	Total Taxes	28,508	28,365	28,397	28,110
۶.	Total Operating Expenses	127,016	121,643	124,109	123,910
•	Net Operating Income	37,554	37,338	37,338	36,790
3. ?.	Rate Base	344,230	342,246	342,246	342,246
).	Rate of Return	10.91%	10.91%	10.91%	10_75%
•	Other Rate Base Revenues	(18,106)	(18,107)	(19,427)	(19,427)
<u>.</u>	TOTAL BASE RATE	146,464	140,874	142,020	141,273

- [D] Reflects rate of return from SDG&E's 1992 Financial Attrition proceeding (A\_91-05-023), and WMBE funding.
- a/ Includes \$14,051 of Interdepartmental.
- b/ Includes DSM programs in base rates.
- c/ Includes DSM Reward, LIRA, base rate balancing accounts and transmission.

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX A SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## SUMMARY OF EARNINGS AT ADOPTED RATES (\$000)

MASTE	DEPARTMENT	EAI SDGME Application	[B] DRA Report	[C] Settlement Agreement	(D)
1.	Base Rate Revenues:				
2. 3. 4.	Retail Revenues Miscellaneous Revenues Non-Jurisdictional Revenues	1,637	1,613	1,627 0 0	1,626 0 0
5.	Subtotal Base Rate Revenues	1,637	1,613	1,627	1,626
6.	Operating Expenses:				
7. 8.	O&M (Other than DSM) Demand-Side Management	1,493	1,472	1,484	1,484
9.	Subtotal O&M	1,493	1,472		1,484
٥.	Franchise Fees & Uncollectibles	31	30	31	31
1	Total OSM	1,524	1,502		1,515
2.	Depreciation	41	41	41	41
3. 4.	Taxes (Other than Income) Income Taxes	51 (9)	50 (9)	51 (9)	51 (9)
5.	Total Taxes	42:	.41	42	. 42
6.	Total Operating Expenses	1,607	1,584	1,598	83
7.	Net Operating Income	30	. 29	29	1,543
8. 9.	Rate Base	272	268	268	268
0.	Rate of Return	10.91%	10.91%	10.91%	10.752
1.	Other Rate Base Revenues a/	(351)	(351)	(351)	(351)
2.	TOTAL BASE RATE	1,286	1,262	1,276	1,275

<sup>[</sup>D] Reflects rate of return from SDG2E's 1992 financial attrition proceeding (A.91-05-023).

a/ SRAM balancing account rate.

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX A SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

### SUMMARY OF ADOPTED UPDATES TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT'S RESULTS (\$000)

Line	Description	Updated and Adopted Revenue Requirement
ELECTR	IC DEPARTMENT:	
1.	1992 Financial Attrition [a]	(5,688)
2.	WMBE Base Rate Funding [b]	438
3.	SONGS Expenses Items: [c]	
4.	SONGS OEM	10,269
5.	SONGS Refueling	4,561
6.	NRC Fees	71
7.	SONGS Rate Base Items: [c]	
8.	SONGS Design Basis	2,142
	SONGS 1 Adjustment	(1,548)
GAS DE	PARTMENT:	
9.	1992 Financial Attrition [a]	(856)
10.	WMBE Base Rate Funding [b]	109
STEAM	DEPARTMENT:	•
11.	1992 Financial Attrition [a]	. (1)

- [a] Consistent with results in SDG&E's 1992 Financial Attrition proceeding (A.91-05-023). Updated values are added to Settlement Agreement's results.
- [b] Consistent with decision on WM8E funding in SCE's 1992 GRC proceeding (A.90-12-018). Updated values are added to Settlement Agreement's results.
- [c] Consistent with SONGS expense and rate base results in SCE's 1992 GRC proceeding (A.90-12-018). Updated values replace those reflected in Settlement Agreement's results.

#### APPENDIX B -- RATE DESIGN SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION			PAGE
ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT			
Summary of Changes in Base Rate Revenue			1.1
Revenue Allocation Summary	1.2	•	1.3
Present & Adopted Rates:			
Residential Present & Adopted Rates	2.1	-	2.2
Commercial and Industrial Present & Adopted Rates	3.1	•	3.8
Agricultural Present & Adopted Rates			4.1
Street Light Present & Adopted Rates	5.1	•	5.5
Revenues at Present Rates:			
Residential Revenues at Present Rates	6.1		6.2
Commercial and Industrial Revenues at Present Rates	7.1	•	7.4
Agricultural Revenues at Present Rates			8.1
Street Light Revenues at Present Rates	9.1	-	9.5
Revenues at Adopted Rates:			
Residential Revenues at Adopted Rates	10.1	<u>.</u> '	10.2
Commercial and Industrial Revenues at Adopted Rates	11.1	• '	11.4
Agricultural Revenues at Adopted Rates		•	12.1
Street Light Revenues at Adopted Rates	13_1	• '	13.5
GAS DEPARTMENT			
Summary of Changes in Base Rate Revenue			14.1
STEAM DEPARTMENT			
Summary of Changes in Base Rate Revenue		•	15_1
Summary of Present and Adopted Revenue and Average Rates by Schedule		•	15.2
Present & Adopted Rates		•	15.3
Revenues at Present Rates	,	•	15.4
Devenues at Adonted Pates			15.5

### APPENDIX B

## SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

### SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN BASE RATE REVENUE (\$000)

		PRESENT	REVENUE	ADOPTED
E		REVENUE	CHANGES	REVENUE
•		(A)	(8)	(C)
• <b>-</b> I	BASE RATE REVENUES:			
<u>'</u>	Authorized Margin (5/1/91)	\$826,678	\$0	\$826,678
5	1989 DSN Reward (1991 recovery)	4,057	(4,057)	0
<b>)</b>	1990 DSM Reward (1992 recovery)	0	6,065	6,065
• 5	Modified Attrition	٥	60,687	60,687
	MODITION Attrition		00,007	00,007
•	#.ha.a.l	870 775	49.405	907 /70
	Subtotal	830,735	62,695	893,430
}		** ***	*** / ## >	
)	Sales Adjustment	10,485	(10,485)	0
	Subtotal Base Rate Revenue	\$841,220	\$52,210	\$893,430
:			,	
•	BASE RATE BALANCING ACCOUNTS:		_	
	ERAM balancing account rate	(4,602)	0	(4,602)
,	Demand Side Management Program (DSM)	21,676		21,676
>	Low-Income Rate Assistance Adj. Clause (LIRA)	(2,315)	(326)	(2,641)
•	SONGS 243 pre-COO amortization	0	0	0
	SONGS 2&3 post-COO amortization	13,213	0	13,213
			•••••	
	Subtotal Base Rate Balancing Accounts	\$27,972	(\$326)	\$27,646
	•			
	Miscellaneous Revenues	17,005	0	17,005
	Non-Jurisdictional Base Rate Revenues	1,445	, 0	1,445
				*******
	Subtotal	\$18,450	\$0	\$18,450
	•			
	Total Base Rate Revenue	\$887,642	\$51,884	\$939,526
		******	*****	
	ECAC AND AER: .			
•	Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) Balancing	0	0	0-
	Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) Offset	510,917	0 '	510,917
	Annual Energy Rate (AER)	Q.	0	0
		******		*****
	Total ECAC, ERAM, and AER	\$510,917	\$0	\$510,917
	·			
	TOTAL FOR ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT	\$1,398,559	\$51,884	\$1,450,443
				********
			\$51,884	\$1,431,993

A\_91-03-001 ALJ/ECL + CACO/PPH/2

## APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### REVENUE ALLOCATION SUMMARY (1 of 2)

		ADOPTED SALES	PRESE	NT AVG RATE	ADOP REVENUE	TED AVG RATE	CHANGE REVENU	_	
LINE NO.	CUSTOMER GROUP	(GWh) (A)	(\$000) (B)	(\$/KWh) (C)	(\$000) (D)	(\$/KWh) (E)	(\$000) (F)	% (G)	LINE NO.
1 2	Residential	5,572.545	590,758	0.10601	613,250	0_11005	22,492	3.8%	1 2
3 4	Commercial/Industrial General Service (A) GS-Demand Metered 20kW (AD)	1,810.044 1,627.452	187,407 145,759	0.10354 0.08956	194,711 151,472	0.10757	7,304 5,713	3.9% 3.9%	3 4 5
6 7	Large TOU Total Commercial/Industrial	5,475.704 8,913.200	434,849 768,015	0.07941 0.08617	450,563 796,746	0.08228 0.08939	15,714 28,731	3.6% 3.7%	6 7
8 9 10	Agriculture	157.044	13,331	0.08489	13,785	0.08778	454	3-4%	10
11	Lighting	75.466	8,005	0.10607	8,212	0.10882	207	2.6%	11 12
12 13	Total	14,718.255	1,380,109	0.09377	1,431,993	0.09729	51,884	3.8%	13

#### Notes:

(1) Presents the results of the unconstrained Equal Percentage of Marginal Cost (EPMC) revenue allocation based on adopted sales.

#### Column calculations:

- (C) column B / column A / 1,000

- (b) source: page 1.3, column F
  (E) column D / column A / 1,000
  (F) column D = column B
  (G) (column D = column B) / column B

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

### APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### REVENUE ALLOCATION SUMMARY (2 of 2)

LINE	CUSTOMER GROUP	UNADJUSTE MARG COST REVENUE (\$000) (A)	D MARGINAL CO EPMC ALLOCATION FACTOR (B)	ST REVENUE EPMC REVENUE ALLOCATION (\$000) (C)	ADJUS FACILITY CHGS (\$000) (D)	TMENTS LIRA ADJSTMT (\$000) (E)	ADOPTED REVENUE (\$000) (F)	Line No.
1 2	Residential	658,207	43.29%	619,649	1	(6,400)	613,250	1 2
3	Commercial/Industrial	54/ 474		107.013	١ .	7/0	40/ <b>7</b> 44	3
4	General Service (A)	206,031	13.55%	193,962	0	749	194,711	4
5	GS-Demand Metered 20kW (AD)	160,183	10.53%	150,799		673	151,472	?
6	Large TOU	476,187	31.32%	448,291	į Ģ	2,272	450,563	٥
7 8	Total Commercial/Industrial	842,401	55.40%	793,052	°	3,694	796,746	7 8
10	Agriculture	14,552	0.96%	13,700	20	65	13,785	9 10
11	Lighting	5,460	0.36%	5,140	3,072	0	8,212	11 12
13		1,520,621	100.00%	1,431,541	3,093	(2,641)	1,431,993	13

1. Presents the adopted revenue requirement allocated among the customer groups based on the customer group marginal costs and EPMC revenue allocation method.

#### Column Calculations:

- (8) % = Marginal cost for group per column A / Total marginal cost per column A, line 13.
   (C) Total EPMC revenue allocation per line 13 = revenue requirements per workpapers. EPMC revenue allocation for each group = total EPMC revenue allocation x column 8 % for that group.
   (F) column C + column D + column E. Adopted revenue includes Facility Charges and LIRA adjustment.

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### RESIDENTIAL -- PRESENT & ADOPTED RATES

			5/1/91 PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHANGE		
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	*	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
••••	****	*******		********	********		
1	SCHEDULE DR						1
2	Baseline Energy	\$/Kuh	0.09481	0.09888	0.00407	4.29	2
3	Non-Baseline Energy	S/Kwh	0.12480	0.12887	0.00407	3-26	3
4	Minimum Bill	\$/Day	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	4
5							5
6	SCHEDULE DR-LI						6
7	Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0.08024	0.08370	0.00346	4.31	7
8	Non-Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0.10573	0.10919	0.00346	3.27	8
9	Minimum Bill	\$/Day	0.139	0.139	0.000	0.00	9
10						•	10
11	SCHEDULE DM						11
12	Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0.09481	0.09888	0.00407	4.29	12
13	Non-Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0.12480	0.12887	0.00407	3.26	13
14	Minimum Bill	\$/Day	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	14
15							15
16	SCHEDULE DS						16
17	Baseline Energy	3/Kwh	0.09481	0.09888	0.00407	4.29	17
18	Non-Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0_12480	0.12887	0.00407	3.26	18
19	Baseline Energy L/I	S/Kwh	0.08024	0.08370	0.00346	4_31	19
20	Non-Baseline Energy L/I	\$/Kwh	0.10573	0.10919	0.00346	3.27	20
21	Unit Discount	\$/Day	0.110	0.110	0.000	0-00	21
22	Minimum Bill	3/Day	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	22
23	Minimum Bill - L/I	\$/Day	0.139	0.139	0.000	0.00	23
24							24
25							25
26	SCHEDULE DT						26
27	Baseline Energy	S/Kwh	0.09481	0.09888	0.00407	4.29	27
28	Non-Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0.12480	0.12887	0.00407	3.26	28
29	Baseline Energy L/I	S/Kwh	0.08024	0.08370	0.00346	4.31	29
30	Non-Baseline Energy L/I	\$/Kwh	0_10573	0.10919	0.00346	3.27	30
31	Space Discount	\$/0ay	0.312	0.312	0.000	0.00	31
32	Minimum Bill	\$/0ey	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	25
33 34	Minimum Bill - L/I	\$/Day	0.139	0.139	0.000	0.00	33 34

NOTE (applicable to all Present & Adopted Rates tables in this appendix):

Column C: From presently effective tariffs.

Column D: A.91-03-001 rates modified to reflect ECAC and Rate Window decisions, D.91-04-063

and D\_91-04-026, respectively, and this Decision.

Column E: Column D - Column C

Column Fz (Column E - Column C) / Column C

L/I represents Low-Income

#### APPENDIX B

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### RESIDENTIAL -- PRESENT & ADOPTED RATES

			5/1/91		CHANGE		
			PRESENT	ADOPTED	*********		
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	×	LINE
NO.	(A)	(8)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
****	*********	*******				*******	****
1	SCHEDULE D-SMF	•					1
2	Customer Charge	\$/Month	20-00	20.00	0.00	0.00	2
3	On-Peak Demand	S/KH	9.35	9.69	0.34	3.64	3
4	Baseline Energy	\$/Kwh	0.07927	0.08250	0.00323	4.07	4
5	Non-Beseline Energy	S/Kuh	0_10435	0.10752	0.00317	3.04	5
6	Baseline Energy L/I	S/Kwh	0.06738	0.07013	0.00275	4.08	6
7	Non-Baseline Energy L/I	\$/Kwh	0.08869	0.09140	0.00271	2-06	7
8	Unit Discount	\$/Kwh	0.110	0.110	0.000	0.00	8
9	Space Discount	S/Kwh	0.312	0.312	0.000	0.00	9
10							10
11	SCHEDULE D-ATOU						11
12	Minimum Bill	\$/Day	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	12
13 .	Metering Charge	\$/Day	0_06	0.06	0.00	0.00	13
14	Energy: Baseline/On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.14797	0.15432	0.00635	4.29	14
15	Energy: Baseline/Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.07398	0.07716	0.00318	4.30	15
16	Energy: Non-BL/On-Peak	\$/Kuh	0.19478	0.20112	0.00634	3.25	16
17	Energy: Non-BL/Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.09739	0.10056	0.00317	3.25	17
18	Baseline Adjustment	S/Kwh	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00	18
19				·			. 19
20	SCHEDULE D-UTOU						20
21	Minimum Bill	3/Day	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	21
22	Metering Charge	\$/Day	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	22
23	Energy: Baseline/On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.10222	0.10661	0.00439	4.29	23
24	Energy: Baseline/Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0-05111	0.05330	0.00219	4.28	24
25	Energy: Non-BL/On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.13456	0.13894	0.00438	3.26	25 .
26	Energy: Non-BL/Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.06728	0.06947	0.00219	3.26	26
27	Baseline Adjustment	\$/Kwh	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00	27
28		, `					28
29	SCHEDULE DR-TOU						29
30	Minimum Bill	\$/Day	0_164	0.164	0.000	0-00	30
31	Metering Charge	\$/Day	. 3.28	3.28	0.00	0.00	31
35	On-Peak Energy: Summer	\$/Kwh	0.30826	0.31683	0_00857	2.78	32
33	Off-Peak Energy: Summer	\$/Kwh	0.08210	0.08437	0.00227	2.76	33
34	On-Peak Energy: Winter	\$/Kwh	0.12298	0.12639	0.00341	2.77	34
35	Off-Peak Energy: Winter	\$/Kwh	0.08210	0.08437	0.00227	2.76	35
36	Baseline Adjustment	\$/Kwh	0.03000	0.03000	0.00000	0-00	36
37							37
38	SCHEDULE DR-TOU-2						38
39	Minimum Bill	3/Day	0.164	0.164	0.000	0.00	39
40	Metering Charge	\$/Day	3.28	3.28	0.00	0.00	40
41	, On-Peak Energy: Summer	\$/Kwh	0.26283	0.27208	0.00925	3.52	41
42	Off-Peak Energy: Summer	\$/Kwh	0.07005	0.07250	0.00245	3.50	42
43	On-Peak Energy: Winter	S/Kwh	0.10490	0.10858	0.00368	3.51	43
44	Off-Peak Energy: Winter	\$/Kwh	0.07005	0.07250	0_00245	3.50	44

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \*
CACD/PPM/2

#### APPENDIX B

## SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91 PRESENT		CHANGE		
				ADOPTED			
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	TRUÇMA	×	LINE
NO.	(A)	(8)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
	***************************************	••••				********	
1	SCHEDULE A						1
2	Customer Charge	\$/Month	500	5.00	0.00	0.00	2
3	Energy Charge	\$/Kwh	0.09938	0.10338	0.00400	4.02	3
4							4
5	SCHEDULE AD						5
6	Customer Charge	\$/Month	15.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	6
7	Demand Charge	S/KW	6.48	6.74	0.26	4.01	7
8	Energy Charge	S/Kwh	0.06691	0.06955	0.00264	3-95	8
9	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Summer	S/Kwh	0.74	0.74	0.00	0.00	9
10	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Winter	\$/Kwh	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	10

#### APPENDIX B

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91				
			PRESENT	ADOPTED			
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	*	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
		*******		•••••		*******	
1	SCHEDULE AL-TOU (Default Times)						1
2	Service Charge	\$/Month	30.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	2
3	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Summer	S/Kwh	0.74	0.74	0_00	0-00	3
4	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Winter	S/Kwh	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	4
5	Average Rate Limiter	\$/Kwh	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.00	5
6	Non-Coincident Demand	,					6
7	Secondary	\$/KH	3.58	3.71	0.13	3.63	7
8	Primary	\$/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	8
9	Transmission	S/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	9
10	On-Peak Demand: Summer						10
11	Secondary	S/KW	16.92	17.54	0.62	3.66	11
12	Primery	S/KW	16.92	17.54	0.62	3.66	12
13	Transmission	\$/KW	10.64	11.03	0.39	3.67	13
14	On-Peak Demand: Winter				****	•••	14
15	Secondary	S/KW	3.94	4_08	0.14	3,55	15
16	Primary	S/KW	3.94	4.08	0.14	3.55	16
17	Transmission	\$/KW	1.58	1.64	0.06	3.80	17
18	On-Peak Energy: Summer	-7			<b>V</b>	••••	18
19	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.08199	0.08497	0.00298	3.63	19
20	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.07671	0.07950	0.00279	3.64	20
21	Transmission	S/Kwh	0-07441	0.07712	0.00271	3.64	21
22	Semi-Peak Energy: Summer	_,,,			*****		22
23	Secondary	3/Kwh	0.05302	0.05495	0.00193	3.64	23
24	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.05050	0.05234	0.00184	3.64	24
25	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.04899	0.05077	0.00178	3.63	25
26	Off-Peak Energy: Summer			******		•	26
27	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.04010	0.04156	. 0.00146	3.64	. 27
28	Primary	S/KWh	0.03753	0.03890	0.00137	3.65	28
29	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03640	0.03773	0.00133	3.65	29
30	On-Peak Energy: Winter			***************************************	***************************************	0.05	30
31	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.07352	0.07620	0.00268	3.65	31
32	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.06876	0.07126	0.00250	3.64	32
33	Trensmission	\$/Kwh	0.06669	0.06912	0.00243	3.64	33
34	Semi-Peak Energy: Winter	-,	***************************************	***************************************		2.0	34
35	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.04637	0.04806	0.00169	3.64	35
36	Primary	5/Kuh	0.04305	0.04462	0.00157	3.65	36
37	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.04176	0.04328	0.00152	3.64	37
38	Off-Peak Energy: Winter					J	38
39	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.03902	0.04044	0.00142	3.64	39
40	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03550	0.03679	0.00129	3.63	40
41	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03443	0.03568	0.00125	3.63	41
~•		-7 111111	3000	*********	2444. <b>48</b>	0.00	71

## APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91		CHANGE		
		111176	PRESENT	ADOPTED RATE	AMOUNT	%	LINE
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES		·	(F)	NO.
NO.	(A)	(8)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(,,	NV.
1	SCHEDULE AL-TOU (Optional Times)	**********					1
2	Service Charge	\$/Month	30.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	ż
3	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Summer	S/Kwh	0.74	0.74	0.00	0.00	3
4	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Winter	\$/Kwh	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	4
5	Average Rate Limiter	\$/Kwh	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.00	5
6	Non-Coincident Demand	<i>~</i> / ~~	7.05	V103	****	5355	6
7	Secondary	\$/KW	3.58	3.71	0.13	3.63	7
8	Primary	\$/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	8
9	Transmission	\$/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	9
10	On-Peak Demand: Summer	<b>-7</b> , 14-	,,,,,		***		10
11	Secondary	S/KW	19-00	19.69	0.69	3.63	11
12	Primary	S/KW	19-00	19.69	0.69	3.63	12
13	Transmission	S/KW	11.95	12.38	0.43	3.60	13
14	On-Peak Demand: Winter	-,					14
15	Secondary	S/KW	3-94	4.08	0.14	3.55	15
16	Primary	S/KW	3.94	4.08	0.14	3.55	16
17	Transmission	\$/KW	1.58	1-64	0.06	3.80	17
18	On-Peak Energy: Summer						18
19	Secondary	S/Kwh	0.09208	0.09543	0.00335	3.64	19
20	Primary	S/Kwh	0.08616	0.08929	0.00313	3.63	20
21	Transmission	S/Kwh	0.08357	0.08661	0.00304	3.64	21
22	Semi-Peak Energy: Summer	•					22
23	Secondary	S/Kwh	0.05954	0.06171	0.00217	3.64	23
24	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.05671	0.05878	0.00207	3.65	24
25	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0-05502	0.05702	0.00200	3.64	25
26	Off-Peak Energy: Summer						26
27	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.04010	0.04156	0.00146	3.64	27
28	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03753	0.03890	0.00137	3.65	28
29	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03640	0.03773	0.00133	3.65	29
30	On-Peak Energy: Winter						30
31	Secondary	S/Kwh	0.07352	0.07620	0.00268	3.65	31
32	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.06876	0.07126	0.00250	3.64	32
33	Transmission	S/Kwh	0.06669	0.06912	0.00243	3.64	<b>3</b> 3
34	Semi-Peak Energy: Winter						34
35	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.04637	0.04806	0.00169	3.64	35
36	Primery	\$/Kwh	0.04305	0-04462	0.00157	3.65	36
37	Transmission	\$/KWh	0.04176	0.04328	0.00152	3.64	37
38	Off-Peak Energy: Winter		•				38
39	Secondary	S/Kwh	0.03902	0.04044	0.00142	3.64	30
40	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03550	0.03679	0.00129	3.63	40
41	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03443	0.03568	0.00125	3.63	41

## APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91 PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHANGE		
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	*	LINE
NO.	(A)	<b>(B)</b>	(C)	(0)	(E)	<b>(f)</b>	NQ.
	SCHEDULE A-6 TOU (Default Times)	*******			******		7
1		\$/Month	600.00	600.00	0.00	0.00	2
2	Service Charge	•	0.74	0.74	0.00	0.00	3
3	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Summer	\$/Kwh	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	4
4	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Winter	S/Kwh S/Kwh	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	5
5	Average Rate Limiter	3/KWN	0.33	0.35	0.00	0.00	6
6	Non-Coincident Demand	S/KV	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	7
7	Primary		1.20	1.24	0.10	3.33	8
8	Transmission	S/KW	1,40	1.44	0.04	3.33	9
9	On-Peak Demand: Summer	S/KW	20.16	20.89	0.73	3.62	10
10	Primary	S/KW	12.92	13.39	0.47	3.64	11
11	Transmission	3/KW	14.74	10.07	0.47	5.04	12
12	On-Peak Demand: Winter	and all all a	/ ***	/ 00	0.17	3.61	13
13	Primary	\$/KW	4.71	4.88		,	14
14	Transmission	S/KW	2.09	2.17	80.0	3.83	15
15	On-Peak Energy: Summer	an 214 L	0.07671	0-07950	0.00279	3.64	16
16	Primary	S/Kwh		0.07712	0.00279	3.64	17
17	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.07441	0.07712	0.00277	3.04	18
18	Semi-Peak Energy: Summer	***		A 0505/	0.0040/	• 47	19
19	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.05050	0.05234	0.00184 0.00178	3.64	20
20	Transmission	S/Xwh	0.04899	0.05077	0.00178	3.63	21
21	Off-Peak Energy: Summer				0.00477	* 4"	22
22	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03753	0.03890	0.00137	3.65	
23	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03640	0.03773	0.00133	3.65	23
24	On-Peak Energy: Winter					<b>-</b>	24
25	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.06876	0.07126	0.00250	3.64	25
26	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0-06669	0.06912	0.00243	3.64	26
27	Semi-Peak Energy: Winter	•		1			27
28	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.04305	0.04462	0.00157	3.65	28
29	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.04176	0.04328	0_00152	3.64	29
30	Off-Peak Energy: Winter						30
31	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03550	0.03679	0.00129	3.63	31
32	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03443	0.03568	0.00125	3.63	32

## SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91				
			PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHAI		
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	×	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(2)	(D)	(E)	(F)	NO.
	****************						
1	SCHEDULE A-6 TOU (Optional Times)						7
2	Service Charge	\$/Month	600.00	600.00	0.00	0.00	2
3	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Summer	\$/Kwh	0.74	0.74	0.00	0.00	3
4	On-Peak Rate Limiter: Winter	S/Kwh	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00	4
5	Average Rate Limiter	\$/Kwh	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.00	5
6	Non-Coincident Demand						6
7	Primary	\$/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	7
8	Transmission	S/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	8
9	On-Peak Demand: Summer	·					9
10	Primary	\$/KW	22.64	23.47	0.83	3.67	10
11	Transmission	S/KW	14.51	15.04	0.53	3.65	11
12	On-Peak Demand: Winter	-,					12
13	Primary	\$/KW	4.71	4.88	0.17	3.61	13
14	Transmission	S/KW	2.09	2.17	0.08	3-83	14
15	On-Peak Energy: Summer					•	15
16	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.08616	0.08929	0.00313	3.63	16
17	Transmission	S/Kwh	0.08357	0.08661	0.00304	3.64	17
18	Semi-Peak Energy: Summer						18
19	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.05671	0.05878	0.00207	3.65	19
20	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.05502	0.05702	0.00200	3.64	20
21	Off-Peak Energy: Summer			•			21
22	Primary	\$/Kuh	0.03753	0.03890	0.00137	3.65	22
23	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03640	0.03773	0.00133	3.65	23
24	On-Peak Energy: Winter			•			24
25	Primary	S/Kwh	0.06876	0.07126	0.00250	3.64	25
26	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.06669	0.06912	0.00243	3.64	26
27	Semi-Peak Energy: Winter						27
28	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.04305	0.04462	0.00157	3.65	28
29	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.04176	0.04328	0.00152	3.64	29
30	Off-Peak Energy: Winter			•		*	30
31	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03550	0.03679	0.00129	3.63	31
32	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03443	0.03568	0.00125	3.63	32
33							33
34	SCHEDULE AO-TOU						34
35	Customer Charge	\$/Month	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	35
36	Non-Coincident Demand	S/KW	8.49	8.82	0.33	3.89	36
37	On-Peak Demand: Summer	S/KH	15.09	15.67	0.58	3-83	37
38	On-Peak Demand: Winter	\$/KW	4.06	4.22	0.16	3.94	38
39	Energy: On-Peak	S/Kwh	0.04486	0.04658	0.00172	3.83	39
40	Energy: Semi-Peak	S/Kwh	0.03753	0.03897	0.00144	3.84	40
41	Energy: Off-Peak	S/Kwh	0.03354	0.03482	0.00128	3.82	41.
	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	•					

# APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO CAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91		CHANGE		
			PRESENT	ADOPTED			
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	*	LINE
NO.	<b>(A)</b>	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	<b>(F)</b>	NO.
••••		********					1
1	SCHEDULE AOG-TOU	di dia santi	250.00	250.00	0.00	0.00	2
2	Customer Charge	\$/Month	250.00		0.53	3.89	3
3	Non-Coincident Demand	S/KW	8.49	8.82			3 4
4	On-Peak Demand: Summer	\$/KU	17.98	18-67	0.69	3.84	
5	On-Peak Demand: Winter	S/KW	4.84	5.03	0.19	3.83	5
6	Energy: On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.04486	0.04658	0.00172	3.83	6
7	Energy: Semi-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.03753	0.03897	0.00144	3.84	7
8	Energy: Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.03354	0.03482	0.00128	3.82	8
9							9
10	SCHEDULE AY-TOU						10
11	Service Charge	\$/Month	30.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	11
12	On-Peak Rate Limiter	\$/Kwh	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.00	12
13	Average Rate Limiter	\$/Kwh	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.00	13
14	Non-Coincident Demand		•				14
15	Secondary	\$/KW	3.58	3.71	0.13	3.63	15
16	Primary	S/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	16
17	Transmission	S/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	17
18	On-Peak Demand						18
19	Secondary	S/KW	9.71	10.20	0.49	5.05	19
20	Primary	\$/KW	9.71	10.20	0.49	5.05	20
21	Transmission	S/KW	5.56	5.85	0.29	5.22	21
22	On-Peak Energy						22
23	Secondary	\$/Kwh .	0.07987	0.08286	0.00299	3_74	23
24	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.07461	0.07737	0_00276	3.70	24
25	Transmission	S/Kwh	0.07238	0.07488	0.00250	3.45	25
26	Semi-Peak Energy						26
27	Secondary	.S/Kwh	0.04922	0.05109	0.00187	3.80	27
28	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.04614	0.04790	0.00176	3.81	28
29	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.04527	0.04695	0_00168	3.71	29
30	Off-Peak Energy						30
31	Secondary	\$/Kwh	0.03998	0.04145	0.00147	3.68	31
32	Primary	\$/Kwh	0.03682	0.03818	0.00136	3.69	32
33	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.03584	0.03715	0.00131	3.66	33
34			•				34
35	SCHEDULE S						35
36	Contracted Demand			•			36
37	Secondary	\$/Kuh	2.86	2.97	0.10	3.63	37
38	Primary	S/Kwh	2.28	2.36	0.08	3.51	38
39	Transmission	\$/Kwh	0.96	0.99	0.03	3.33	39

# APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Perfod: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91		CHANGE			
			PRESENT	ADOPTED		~		
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	*	LINE	
NO_	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	<b>(#)</b>	NO.	
•							1	
1	SCHEDULE A-E1		/00 00	600.00	0.00	0.00	2	
2	Customer Charge	S/Month	600.00	14.44	0.00	0.00	3	
3	Contract Demand	\$/KW	14.44		0.00	0.00	4	
4	Semi-Peak Demand	S/KW	0.50	0.50	0.0000	0.00	5	
5	Energy: On-Peak	S/KWh	8.70791	8.70791			6	
6	Energy: Semi-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.04806	0.05272	0.00466	9.70	7	
7	Energy: Off-Peak	S/Kwh	0.03441	0.03441	0.00000	. 0.00	. 8	
8							. 0	
9	SCHEDULE A-EZ			/44 44				
10	Customer Charge	\$/Month	600.00	600.00	0.00	0.00	10	
11	Contract Demand	\$/KW	10.75	11.26	0.51	4.74	11	
12	Non-Coincident Demand						12	
13	Secondary	\$/KW	3.58	3.71	0.13	3.63	13	
14	Primary	\$/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	14	
15	Transmission	\$/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	15	
16	Energy: On-Peak	\$/Kwh	4.57078	4.78835	0.21757	4.76	16	
17	Energy: Semi-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.06806	0.07201	0.00395	5.80	17	
18	Energy: Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.03441	0.03507	0.00066	1.92	18	
19						•	19	
20	SCHEDULE R-TOU-3			•			20	
21	Customer Charge	S/Month	600.00	600.00	0.00	0.00	21	
22	Contract Demand	S/KW	10.75	11.26	0.51	4.74	22	
23	Non-Coincident Demand						23	
24	Secondary	S/KW	3.58	3.71	0.13	3.63	24	
25	Primary	S/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	25	
26	Transmission	\$/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	26	
27	Energy: Super-Peak	S/Kwh	1.28685	1.35899	0.07214	5.61	27	
28	Energy: On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.10408	0.10992	0.00584	5.61	28	
29	Energy: Semi-Peak	S/Kuh	0.04978	0.05257	0.00279	5.60	29	
30	Energy: Off-Peak	S/Kwh	0.03441	0.03507	0.00066	1.92	30	
31	<del>- •</del>						31	
32	SCHEDULE R-TOU-4						32	
33	Customer Charge	\$/Month	600.00	600.00	0.00	0.00	33	
34	Contract Demand	S/KW	10.75	11.26	0.51	4.74	34	
35	Non-Coincident Demand						35	
36	Secondary	\$/KW	3.58	3.71	0.13	3.63	36	
37	Primary	\$/KW	2.85	2.95	0.10	3.51	37	
38	Transmission	\$/KW	1.20	1.24	0.04	3.33	38	
39	Energy: Super-Peak	S/Kwh	0.50340	0.53162	0.02822	5.61	39	
40	Energy: On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.08319	0.08786	0.00467	5.61	40	
41	Energy: Semi-Peak	S/Kwh	0.04490	0-04741	0.00251	5.59	41	
42	Energy: Off-Peak	\$/Kuh	0.03441	0.03507	0.00066	1.92	42	
	with the second second		****					

# APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

	,	UNITS (B)	5/1/91	ADOPTED RATE (D)	CHANGE		
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)		PRESENT RATES (C)		AMOUNT (E)	% (F)	LINE NO.
1	SCHEDULE I-1				200022220		1
2	Rate A: Utility Control	\$/KW	3.43	3.43	0.00	0.00	2
3	Rate B: Customer Control	\$/KW	2.29	2.29	0.00	0.00	3
4	Rate C	-,	4				4
5	Utility Control	\$/KW	3.43	3.43	0.00	0.00	5
6	Customer Control	S/KW	2.29	2.29	0.00	0.00	6
7		•					7
8	SCHEDULE I-2						8
9	Rate A: 1 YR Cancellation						9
10	Guaranteed Load	\$/KW	5-60	5.60	0.00	0.00	10
11	Each Interruption	\$/KW	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	77
12	Rate A: 5 YR Cancellation						12
13	Guaranteed Load	S/KW	7.06	7.06	0.00	0.00	13
14	Each Interruption	\$/KW	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	14
15	Rate B: 1 YR Cancellation						15
16	Guaranteed Lood	\$/KW	5-15	5.15	0.00	0-00	16
17	Each Interruption	S/KH	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	17
18	Rate B: 5 YR Cancellation						18
19	Guaranteed Load	\$/KH	6.47	6.47	0.00	0.00	19
20	Each Interrupt(on	S/KW	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	20 -
21	Rate C: 1 YR Cancellation						21
22	Guaranteed Load	S/KW	4.15	4.15	0-00	0.00	22
23	Each Interruption	S/KW	0.28	0.28	0-00	0.00	23
24	Rate C: 5 YR Cancellation						24
25	Guaranteed Load	\$/KW	5.24	5.24	0.00	0-00	25
26	Each Interruption	S/KW	0-28	0.28	0.00	0.00	26
27	Rate D: 1 YR Cancellation						27
28	Guaranteed Load	\$/KH	3.80	3.80	0.00	0.00	28
,29	Each Interruption	\$/KH	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	29
20	Rate D: YR Cancellation						30
31	Guaranteed Loed	\$/KU	4.80	4.80	0.00	0.00	31
32	Each Interruption	\$/KW	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	32

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## AGRICULTURAL -- PRESENT & ADOPTED RATES

			5/1/91		E		
			PRESENT	ADOPTED	**********		
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATES	RATE	AMOUNT	×	LINE
NO.	CAS	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	くずン	NO.
		********	*********			******	
1	SCHEDULE PA						1
2	Customer Charge	\$/Month	8.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	2
3	Energy	S/Kwh	_ 0.08238	0.08526	0.00288	3.50	3.
4							4
5	SCHEDULE PA-TOU						5.
6	Metering Charge	\$/Month	10.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	6
7	Customer Charge	\$/Month	8.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	7
8	Energy: On-Peak	S/Kwh	0.14650	0.15356	0.00706	4.82	8
9	Energy: Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.06737	0.06945	0.00208	3-09	9
10							10
11	SCHEDULE PA-T-1						11
12	Customer Charge	\$/Month	30.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	12
13	Demand: On-Peak						13
14	Option A	S/KW	11.13	11.53	0.40	3.59	14
15	Option B	S/KW	9.78	10_13	0.35	3.58	15
16	Option C	S/KU	9.57	9.92	0.35	3.66	16
17	Option D	\$/KW	9.97	10.33	0.36	3.61	17
18	Option E	S/KW	9.77	10.12	0.35	3.58	18
19	Option F	S/KW	9.35	9.69	0.34	3.64	19
20	Demand: Semi-Peak	S/KW	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	20
21	Energy: On-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.08626	0.09179	0.00553	6.41	21
22	Energy: Semi-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.06409	0.06726	0.00317	4.95	22
23	Energy: Off-Peak	\$/Kwh	0.04205	0.04287	0.00082	1.95	23

CACD/PPM/2

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91 Present	ADOPTED	CHA	NGE	
	DESCRI		RATE	rate	******		
LINE	WATTS	LUMENS	<b>(\$/Lamp)</b>	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	*	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
				*********	*******	*******	*
1	•	y Vapor, Class A		,			1
2	175	7,000	10.01	10.24	0.23	2.30	2
3	250	10,000	13.26	13.56	0.30	2.26	3
4	400	20,000	18.12	18.60	0.48	2.65	4
5	700	35,000	34.52	35.67	1.15	3.33	5
6	-	y Vapor, Class C, 1					6
7	175	7,000	18.65	18.88	0.23	1.23	7
8	250	10,000	24.75	25.07	0.32	1.29	8
9	400	20,000	29.62	30.11	0.49	1.65	9
10	•	y Vapor, Class C, 2	•				10
71	175	7,000	28.40	28.85	0.45	1.58	11
12	400	20,000	48.34	49-31	0.97	2.01	12
13	LS-1, HPSV,	Class A					13
14	70	5,800	6.54	6.65	0.11	1.68	14
15	100	9,500	7.56	7.71	0.15	1.98	15
16	150	16,000	8.95	9.16	0.21	2.35	16
17	200	22,000	10.77	11.02	0.25	2.32	17
18	250	30,000	13.58	13.90	0.32	2.36	18
19	400	50,000	16.95	17-45	0.50	2.95	· 19
20	1,000	140,000	35.28	36.43	1.15	3.26	20
21	LS-1, HPSV, (	Class B, 1-Lamp					21
22	70	5,800	7.22	7.33	0.11	1.52	22
23	100	9,500	8.24	8.39	0.15	1.82	23
24	150	16,000	9.64	9.84	0.20	2.07	24
25	200	22,000	11.64	11.90	0.26	2.23	25
26	250	30,000	14.46	14.79	0.33	2.28	26
27	400	50,000	17.92	18.42	0.50	2.79	27
28	1,000	140,000	36.33	37.48	1.15	3.17	28
29 ,	LS-1, HPSV, (	lass 8, 2-Lamp					29
30	70	5,800	12.56	12.78	0.22	1.75	30.
31	100	9,500	14.61	14-91	0.30	2.05	31
32	150	16,000	17.40	17.80	0.40	2.30	32
33	200	22,000	21.27	21.79	0.52	2.44	33
34	250	30,000	26.91	27.56	0.65	2.42	34
35	400	50,000	33.57	34.57	1.00	2.98	35
36	1,000	140,000	70.35	72.65	2.30	3.27	36
37	LS-1, MPSV, C	Class C, 1-Lamp					37
38	70	5,800	15.17	15.29	0.12	0.79	38
39	100	9,500	16.20	16.35	0.15	0.93	39
40	150	16,000	17.61	17.82	0.21	1.19	40
41	200	22,000	22.26	22.52	0.26	1.17	41
42	250	30,000	25-07	25.41	0.34	1.36	42
43	400	50,000	29.90	30.41	0.51	1.71	43
44	1,000	140,000	49.20	50.36	1.16	2.36	44

APPENDIX 8

CACD/PPM/2

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91				
			PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHAN	GE	•
	DESCRIP	TION	RATE	RATE		********	
LINE	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	×	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	NO.
	******						*
1	LS-1, HPSV, C	lass C, 2-Lamp					1
2	70	5,800	21.44	21.66	0.22	1.03	2
3	100	9,500	23.48	23.79	0.31	1.32	3
4	150	16,000	26-29	26.70	0.41	1.56	4
5	200	22,000	33.60	34.13	0.53	1.58	5
6	250	30,000	39.23	39.90	0.67	1.71	6
7	400	50,000	, 44.90	45.90	1.00	2.23	7
8	1,000	140,000	82.98	85.29	2.31	2.78	8
9	LS-1, LPSV, C	lass A					9
10	35	4,800	7.99	8.07	0.08	1.00	10
11	55	8,000	8.64	8.73	0.09	1.04	11
12	90	13,500	10.65	10.80	0.15	1.41	12
13	135	22,500	13-15	13.36	0.21	1.60	13
14	180	33,000	14.28	14.53	0.25	1.75	14
15	LS-1, LPSV, C	lass 8, 1-Lamp					15
16	35	4,800	8.68	8.76	0.08	0.92	16
17	55	8,000	9.44	9.53	0.09	0.95	17
18	90	13,500	11.45	11.61	0.16	1.40	18
19	135	22,500	14.14	14.36	0.22	1.56	19
20	180	33,000	15.28	15.52	0.24	1.57	20 '
21	LS-1, LPSV. C	lass B, 2-Lamp					21
22	35	4,800	15.49	15.64	0.15	0.97	22
23	55	8,000	16.89	17.07	0.18	1.07	23
24	90	13,500	20.92	21.22	0.30	1.43	24
25	135	22,500	26.17	26.60	0.43	1-64	- 25
26	180	33,000	28.44	28.93	0.49	1.72	. 26
27		lass C, 1-Lamp					27
28	35	4,800	16.64	16.72	0.08	0.48	28
29	55	8,000	17.39	17.49	0.10	0.58	29
30	90	13,500	19.42	19.58	0.16	0.82	30
31	135	22,500	24.76	24.98	0.22	0.89	31
32	180	33,000	25.89	26.15	0.26	1.00	32
33		lass C, 2-Lamp					33
34	35	4,800	24.36	· 24.52	0.16	0.66	34
35	55	8,000	25.76	25.96	0.20	0.78	35
36	90	13,500	29.81	30.12	0.31	1_04	36
37	135	22,500	38.50	38.94	0.44	1.14	37
38	150	33,000	40.77	41.27	0.50	1.23	38
	. • •						

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

APPENDIX B

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91 PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHAN	CE	
	DESCRI		RATE	RATE			_
LINE	WATTS	Lumens	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	*	LINE
NO.	<b>(A)</b>	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
1	LS-1, Facili	ties and Rates, CL	ass A				1
2	Center Susp		4.77	4.78	0.01	0.21	2
3		d Wood Pole					3
4	30-foot		2.39	2.39	0.00	0.00	4
5	35-foot		2.69	2.69	0.00	0.00	5.
6	Reactor Bal	Last Discount					6.
7	175		(0.98)	(0.98)	0.00	0.00	7
8	250		(0.38)	(0.38)	0.00	0.00	8
9							9
10	LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, Rate A					10
11	175	7,000	5.25	5.46	0.21	4_00	11
12	250	10,000	7.30	7.60	0.30	4_11	12
13	400	20,000	11,49	11.97	0.48	4.18	13
14	700	35,000	19.49	20.30	0.81	4.16	14
15	1,000	55,000	27.54	28.67	1.13	4-10	15
16	=	y Vapor, Rate B, E	nergy & Limited M				16
17	175	7,000	5.85	6.07	0.22	3.76	17
18	250	10,000	7.90	8.20	0.30	3.80	18
19	400	20,000	12.10	12.58	0.48	3.97	19
20	LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, Surcharge	for series service	¢e .			20
21	175	7,000	0.40	0.40	0.00	0_00	21
22	250	10,000	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	22
23	400	20,000	0.72	0.72	0.00	0.00	23
24	700	35,000	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.00	24
25	LS-2, HPSV,	Rate A					25
26	50	3,300	1.45	1.51	0.06	4.14	26
27	70	5,800	2.52	2.63	0.11	4.37	27
28	100	9,500	3.52	3.67	0.15	4.26	28
29	150	16,000	4.82	5.02	0.20	4.15	29
30	200	22,000	6.15	6.40	0.25	4.07	30
31	250	30,000	7.82	8.14	0.32	4.09	31
32	310	37,000	9.57	9.97	0.40	4.18	32
33	400	50,000	11.89	12.39	0.50	4.21	33
34	1,000	140,000	27.54	28.67	1.13	4.10	34
35	LS-2, HPSV,	Rate B, Energy & Li	imited Maintenance	•			35
36	50	3,300	2.13	2.19	0.06	2.82	36
37	70	5,800	3.20	3.30	0.10	3.13	37
38	100	9,500	4.20	4.34	0.14	3.33	38
39	150	16,000	5.52	5.72	0.20	3.62	39
40	200	22,000	6.84	7.09	0.25	3.65	40
41	250	30,000	8.51	8.84	0.33	3.88	41
42	310	37,000	10.27	10.67	0.40	3.89	42
43	400	50,000	12.60	13.09	0.49	3-89	43
44	7,000	140,000	28.40	29.53	1.13	3.98	44

APPENDIX 8

CACD/PPM/2

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91		- Guan	^#	
			PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHAN		
	DESCRIP		RATE (\$/Lamp)	RATE (\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	×	LINE
LINE NO.	WATTS (A)	LUMENS (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	NO.
		***********		********		*******	
1	LS-2, HPSV, R	Reduction for 120-y	volt Reactor Ball	ast			1
2	70	5,800	(0.40)	(0.40)	0.00	0.00	2
3	100	9,500	(0.53)	(0.53)	0.00	0.00	3.
4	150	16,000	(0.49)	(0.49)	0.00	0.00	4
5	LS-2, HPSV, S	Surcharge for Serie	s Service				5
6	50	3,300	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.00	6
7	. 70	5,800	(0.22)	(0.22)	0.00	0.00	7
8	100	9,500	(0.23)	(0.23)	0.00	0.00	8
9	150	16,000	0.02	0.02	0-00	0.00	9
10	200	22,000	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.00	10
11	LS-2, LPSV, R	Rate A					11
12	35	4,800	1.63	1.69	0.06	3.68	12
13	55	8,000	2.13	2.22	0.09	4.23	13
14	90	13,500	3.52	3.66	0.14	3.98	14
15	135	22,500	5.00	5.20	0.20	4-00	15
16	180	33,000	5.70	5.94	0.24	4-21	16
17	LS-2, LPSV, S	Surcharge for serie					17
18	35	4,800	(0.23)	(0.23)	0.00	0.00	18
19	55	8,000	(0.13)	(0.13)	0.00	0.00	19
20	90	13,500	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.00	20
21	135	22,500	0.80	0.80	0-00	0.00	21
22	180	23,000	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.00	22
23	LS-2, Indende	escent Lamps, Rate	A, Energy Only				23
24		1,000	1.77	1.84	0.07	3.95	24
25		2,500	3.93	4.09	0.16	4.07	25
26		4,000	5.92	6-16	0.24	4.05	26
27		6,000	8.69	9.04	0.35	4.03	27
28		10,000	14.75	15.36	0.61	4,14	28
29	LS-2, Incdent	t Lamps, Rate B, Er					29
30		4,000	7.88	8.12	0.24	3.05	30
31		6,000	10.69	11.05	0.36	3.37	31
32							22 25
33	LS-3	an an atricks	0 07457	0,07921	0.00268	3,50	33 34
34	Energy Charg		0.07653				_
35	Min Charge	(\$/month)	5.81	5.81	0.00	0.00	35 36
36	At all Management						36 37
37		/ Vapor, Rate A, Si	•	10.09	0.21	2.13	38
38	175	7,000	9.88			2.40	20
20	400	20,000	19.97	20.45	0.48	£.40	
40		Rate A, Street Ligh		- 50	^ ==	9 66	40
41	100	9,500	8.35	8.50	0.15	1.80	41
42	150	16,000	9.76	9.96	0.20	2.05	42 / ¥
43	250 /00	30,000	14.87	15.20	0.33	2.22	43 44
44	400	50,000	17.97	18.47	0.50	2.78	
45	7,000	140,000	36.97	38.11	1.14	3.08	45

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \*

APPENDIX B

CACD/PPH/2

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992 STREET LIGHT -- PRESENT AND ADOPTED RATES

			5/1/91 PRESENT	ADOPTED	CHA	NGE	
	DESCRI	PTION	RATE	RATE	***********	*****	
LINE	WATTS	Lumens	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	(\$/Lamp)	×	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	NO.
					**********		
1	OL-1, HPSV, 1	Rate B, Directional	l Luminaire				1
2	250	30,000	17.57	17.88	0.31	1_76	2
2 3	400	50,000	21.63	22.10	0.47	2.17	3
4	1,000	140,000	37.93	39.01	1.08	2.85	4
4 5	OL-1, LPSV, 1	Rate A, Street Ligi	ht Luminaire				5
6	55	8,000	8.75	8.84	0.09	1_03	6
7	90	13,000	10.78	10.94	0.16	1.48	7
8	135	22,500	13.31	13.53	0.22	1.65	8
Þ	180	33,000	14.46	14.71	0.25	1.73	9
10	OL-1, Pole						10
11	30 ft wood	pole	3.16	3_16	0.00	0.00	11
12	35 ft wood	pole	3.55	3.55	0.00	0.00	12
13							13
14	DWL, facilit	ies Charges					14
15	S of Util i	nvst.	0.0186	0.0186	0.0000	0.00	15
16	DWL, Energy	and Lamp Maintenan	ce Charge				16
17	50 Watt HPS		3.21	3.27	0.06	1.87	17
18	DUL, Min. Ch	erge .	151.33	151.46	0.13	0.09	18

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### RESIDENTIAL -- REVENUES AT PRESENT RATES

LINE NO.	description (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	5/1/91 PRESENT RATES (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	EMPLOYEE DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SDFFD (%) (f)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE NO.
1								1
2	SCHEDULE DR							2
3	Baseline Energy	2,648,117,000	0.09481	251,067,973	0.1615	0.699	252,414,629	3
4	Non-Baseline Energy	2,149,196,000	0.12480	268,219,661	0.1615	0.699	269,658,314	4
5	Minimum Bill	7,319,000	0.16400	1,200,316	0.1615	0.699	1,206,754	5.
6								6
7	Subtotal						523,279,697	7
8								8
9	SCHEDULE DR-LI							9
10	Baseline Energy	390,035,000	0.08024	31,296,408	0.1615	0.699	31,464,273	10
11	Non-Baseline Energy	125,203,000	0.10573	13,237,713	0.1615	0.699	13,308,716	11
12 13	Minimum Bill	829,000	0.13900	115,231	0-1615	0.699	115,849	12 13
14	Subtotal			•			44.888.839	14
15	Subtotat						44,000,009	15
16	SCHEDULE DM							16
17	Baseline Energy	58,827,000	0.09481	5,577,388	0.0000	0.823	5,623,290	17
18	Non-Baseline Energy	44,741,000	0.12480	5,583,677	0.0000	0.823	5,629,630	18
19	Minimum Bill	0	0.16400	0	0.0000	0.823	0	19
20					•			20
21	Subtotal						11,252,920	21.
22							,	22
23	SCHEDULE DS					,		23
24	Baseline Energy	14,197,000	0.09481	1,346,018	0.0000	0.896.	1,358,078	24
25	Non-Baseline Energy	2,197,000	0.12480	. 274,186	0.0000	0.896	276,642	25
26	Baseline Energy L/I	1,522,000	0.08024	122,125	0.0000	0.896	123,220	26
27	Non-Baseline Energy L/I		0.10573	24,847	0.0000	0.896	25,069	27
28	Unit Discount	2,033,000	(0.11000)	(223,630)		0.896	(225,634)	28
29	Minimum Bill	0	0.16400	0	0.0000	0.896	0	29
30								30
31	Subtotal						1,557,375	31

Notes (applicable to all Revenues at Present Rates tables in this appendix):

Column B: From Settlement Agreement workpapers.

Column C: From presently effective tariffs.

Column D: Column B \* Column C.

Column E: From 'Workpaper Clarifying Derivation of Employee Discount Factor', A.91-03-001.

Column F: From SDFFD 'Development of Annual Percentage Factors' workpaper, A.91-03-001.

Column G: Column D \* (1 - Column E) \* (1 + Column F).

L/I represents Low-Income.

## APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Perfod: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## RESIDENTIAL -- REVENUES AT PRESENT RATES

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	PRESENT RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SDFFD (%) (F)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (G)	
1								1
2	SCHEDULE DT							2
3	Baseline Energy	96,252,000	0.09481	9,125,652	0.0000	0.201	9,143,995	3
4	Non-Baseline Energy	28,589,000	0.12480	3,567,907	0.0000	0.201	3,575,079	4
5	Baseline Energy L/I	10,358,000	0.08024	831,126	0.0000	0.201	832,796	5
6	Non-Baseline Energy L/I	3,076,000	0.10573	325,225	0.0000	0.201	325,879	6
7	Space Discount	13,108,000	(0.31200)	(4,089,696)	0.0000	0.201	(4,097,916)	7
8	Minimum Bill	0	0.16400	0	0.0000	0.201	. 0	8
ý							·	9
10	Subtotal						9,779,833	10
11								11
12	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL						590,758,664	12

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPH/2

# APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	5/1/91 PRESENT RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (0)	VOLTAGE DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SDFFD (%) (f)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE
1	SCHEDULE A							1
2	Customer Charge	1,183,467	5.00	5,917,335	0.0000	0.865	5,968,520	2
3	Energy Charge	1,810,044,000	0.09938	179,882,173	0_0000	0.865	181,438,154	3
4								4
Š								5.
6	TOTAL SCHEDULE A						187,406,673	6

## APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	5/1/91 PRESENT RATE (S/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	VOLTAGE DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SDFF0 (%) (F)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE NO.
1	SCHEDULE AD							1
2	Customer Charge	66,396	15.00	995,940	0.1090	1.002	1,004,823	2
3	Demand Charge	5,322,000	6.48	34,486,560	0.1090	1.002	34,794,148	3
4	Energy Charge	1,627,452,000	0.03250	52,892,190	0_1090	1.002	53,363,940	4
5	Energy ECAC/AER	1,627,452,000	0.03441	56,000,623	0.0000	1.002	56,561,750	5
6	Total Energy		0.06691					6
7								7
8								8
9	Subtotal Schedule AD				•		145,724,660	9
10	Standby Revenues Adjustme	ent					33,517	10
11	TOTAL SCHEDULE AD						145,758,177	11
Be 241						********		

# APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91 PRESENT	SUB-TOTAL			TOTAL	
		BILLING	RATE	REVENUE		SDFFD	REVENUE	
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	(S/UNIT)	(\$)		(%)	(\$)	LINE
NO.	<b>(A)</b>	(B)	(C)	<b>(D)</b>	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
1	SCHEDULE AL-TOU	•••••			••••••			1
2	Customer Charge	92,819	30.00	2,784,570		1.227	2,818,737	2
3	Non-Coincident Demand	72,017	50.00	2,100,510		10447	2,010,131	3
4	Secondary	7,137,000	3.58	25,550,460		1.227	25,863,964	4
5	Primary	5,038,000	2.85	14,358,300		1.227	14,534,476	5.
6	On-Peak Demand: Summer						,	6
7	Secondary	2,851,000	16.92	48,238,920		1.227	48,830,812	7
8	Primary	2,036,000	16.92	34,449,120		1.227	34,871,811	8
9	On-Peak Demand: Winter							9
10	Secondary	3,417,000	3.94	13,462,980		1.227	13,628,171	10
71	Primary	2,445,000	3.94	9,633,300		1.227	9,751,501	11
12	On-Peak Energy: Summer	•						12
13	Secondary	299,312,000	0.08199	24,540,591		1.227	24,841,704	13
14	Primary	217,867,000	0.07671	16,712,578		1.227	16,917,641	14
15	Semi-Peak Energy: Summer	•						15
16	Secondary	348,179,000	0.05302	18,460,451		1.227	18,686,960	16
17	Primary	276,914,000	0.05050	13,984,157		1.227	14,155,743	17
18	Off-Peak Energy: Summer	•					0	18
19	Secondary	490,709,000	0.04010	19,677,431		1.227	19,918,873	19
20	Primary	403,155,000	0.03753	15,130,407		1.227	15,316,057	20
21	On-Peak Energy: Winter	•						21
22	Secondary	168,227,000	0.07352	12,368,049		1.227	12,519,805	22
23	Primary	128,799,000	0.06876	8,856,219	•	1.227	8,964,885	23
24	Semi-Peak Energy: Winter			•	•			24
25	Secondary	654,508,000	0.04637	30,349,536		1.227	30,721,925	25
26	Primary	523,081,000	0.04305	22,518,637		1,227	22,794,941	26
27	Off-Peak Energy: Winter						•	27
28	Secondary	625,594,000	0.03902	24,410,678		1.227	24,710,197	28
29	Primary	528,338,000	0.03550	18,755,999		1.227	18,986,135	29
30								30
31	Subtotal Schedule AL-TOU						378,834,336	31
32	Standby Revenues Adjustm	ent					590,982	32
33	Rate Limiter Adjustment						(909,202)	33
34	TOTAL SCHEDULE AL-TOU						378,516,115	34

APPENDIX 8
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT
Forecast Perfod: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

		BILLING	5/1/91 PRESENT RATE	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE		SDFFD	TOTAL REVENUE	
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	(\$/UNIT)	(\$)		(X)	(\$)	LIN
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	<b>(D)</b>	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
••••						******		1
1	SCHEDULE A6-TOU	609	600.00	365,400		1.235	369,913	2
2	Customer Charge	009	800.00	303,400		رديده ا	307,713	3
4	Non-Coincident Demand Primary	1,671,000	2.85	4,762,350		1.235	4,821,165	-
5	Transmission	211,000	1.20	253,200		1.235	256,327	5
6	On-Peak Demand: Summ	•	, , , , ,	400,400				6
7	Primary	486,000	20.16	9,797,760		1.235	9,918,762	7
8	Transmission	52,000	12.92	671,840		1.235	680_137	8
9	On-Peak Demand: Wint							ç
10	Primary	591,000	4.71	2,783,610		1.235	2,817,988	10
11	Transmission	69,000	2.09	144,210		1.235	145,991	11
12	On-Peak Energy: Summ			•			•	12
13	Primary	66,729,000	0.07671	5,118,782		1.235	5,181,999	13
14	Transmission	8,385,000	0.07441	623,928		1.235	631,633	14
15	Semi-Peak Energy: Summ			•				1:
16	Primary	88,738,000	0.05050	4,481,269		1.235	4,536,613	16
17	Transmission	12,577,000	0.04899	616,147		1.235	623,757	17
18	Off-Peak Energy: Summ	er						18
19	Primary	147,082,000	0.03753	5,519,987		1.235	5,588,159	19
20	Transmission	25,853,000	0.03640	941,049		1.235	952,671	20
21	On-Peak Energy: Wint	er						21
22	Primary	42,934,000	0.06876	2,952,142		1.235	2,988,601	22
23	Transmission	5,540,000	0.06669	369,463		1.235	374,025	23
24	Semi-Peak Energy: Wint							24
25	Primary	167,120,000	0.04305	7,194,516		1.235	7,283,368	25
26	Transmission	18,005,000	0.04176	751,889		1.235	761,175	26
27	Off-Peak Energy: Wint	er						27
28	Primary	201,282,000	0.03550	7,145,511		1.235	7,233,758	28
29	Transmission	26,776,000	0.03443	921,898		1.235	933,283	29
30								30
31	Subtotal Schedule A6-T	<b>o</b> u					56,099,325	31
32	Standby Revenues Adjus	tment					290,595	32
33	Rate Limiter Adjustmen	t					(56,660)	33
34	TOTAL SCHEDULE A6-TOU						56,333,259	34
35			*********				***********	35
36	TOTAL AL AND A6 (pre-ad)						434,933,661	34
37	TOTAL AL AND A6 (W/ adju	stment)					434,849,375	37
38								38
39							mag a	39
40	TOTAL COMMERCIAL AND IND	USTRIAL					768,014,225	40

# APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## AGRICULTURAL -- REVENUES AT PRESENT RATES

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (8)	PRESENT RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	(E)	SDFFD (%) (F)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE NO.
	course of						***************************************	1
1	SCHEDULE PA	/1 500	8.00	332,736		0.336	333,854	2
2	Customer Charge	41,592		-			•	_
3 4	Energy	155,654,000	0.08238	12,822,777		0.336	12,865,861	3. 4
5	Subtotal						13,199,715	5
6							•	6
7	SCHEDULE PA-TOU							7
8	Metering Charge	680	8.00	5,440		0.000	5,440	8
9	Customer Charge	680	10.00	6,800		0.000	6,800	9
10	Energy: On-Peak	316,000	0.14650	46,294		0.000	46,294	10
11	Energy: Off-Peak	1,074,000	0.06737	72,355		0.000	72,355	11
12		• •						12
13	Subtotal						130,889	13
14								14
15	TOTAL AGRICULTURAL						13,330,604	15

APPENDIX B
SAN DIEGO CAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT
Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

				5/1/91 PRESENT	SUB-TOTAL	SOFFO	TOTAL	
		DESCRIPTI	ON	RATE	REVENUE	FACTOR	REVENUE	
LINE	# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	<b>(%)</b>	(\$)	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
							**********	
7	LS-1, Mercur	y Vapor,	Class A					1
2	7,858	175	7,000	10.01	78,659	0.059	78,705	2
3	123	250	10,000	13.26	1,631	0.059	1,632	3
4	2,074	400	20,000	18.12	37,581	0.059	37,603	4
5	56	700	35,000	34.52	1,933	0.059	1,934	5
6	LS-1, Mercur	y Vapor,	Class C, 1-L	amp				6.
7	448	175	7,000	18.65	8,355	0.059	8,360	7
8	1	250	10,000	24.75	25	0.059	25	8
9	314	400	20,000	29.62	9,301	0.059	9,306	9
10	LS-1, Mercur	y Vapor,	Class C, 2-L	.amp				10
11	34	175	7,000	28.40	966	0.059	966	11
12	1	400	20,000	48.34	48	0.059	48	12
13	LS-1, HPSV,	Class A					,	13
14	19,280	70	5,800	6.54	126,091	0.059	126,166	14
15	146,977	100	9,500	7.56	1,111,146	0.059	1,111,802	15
16	5,593	150	16,000	8.95	50,057	0.059	50,087	16
17	146	200	22,000	10.77	1,572	0.059	1,573	17
18	19,269	250	30,000	13.58	261,673	0.059	261,827	18
19	168	400	50,000	16.95	2,848	0.059	2,849	, 19
20	1	1,000	140,000	35.28	35	0.059	35	20
21	LS-1, HPSV,	Class B,	1-Lamp					21
22	7,656	70	5,800	7.22	55,276	0.059	55,309	22
23	17,969	100	9,500	8.24	148,065	0.059	148,152	23
24	1,995	150	16,000	9.64	19,232	0.059	19,243	24
25	527	200	22,000	11.64	6,134	0.059	6,138	25
26	4,192	250	30,000	14.46	60,616	0.059	60,652	26
27	90	400	50,000	17.92	1,613	0.059	1,614	27
28	1	1,000	140,000	36.33	36	0.059	, 36	28
29	LS-1, HPSV,	Class B,	2-Lamp				i i	29
30	179	70	5,800	12.56	2,248	0.059	2,250	30
31	1,121	100	9,500	14.61	16,378	0.059	16,387	31
32	1,199	150	16,000	17.40	20,863	0.059	20,875	32
33	1	200	22,000	21.27	21	0.059	21:	33
34	34	250	30,000	26.91	915	0.059	915	34
35	1	400	50,000	33.57	34	0.059	34	35
36	1	1,000	140,000	70.35	70	0.059	7 <del>0</del>	36
37	LS-1, HPSV,	Claim C,	1-Lamp					37
38	13,877	70	5,800	15_17	210,514	0.059	210,638	38
39	52,326	100	9,500	16.20	847,681	0.059	848,181	39
40	4,147	150	16,000	17.61	73,029	0.059	73,072	40
41	7	200	22,000	22.26	22	0.059	22	41
42	5,268	250	30,000	25.07	132,069	0.059	132,147	42
43	1,569	400	50,000	29.90	46,913	0.059	46,941	43
44	1	1,000	140,000	49.20	49	0.059	49	44

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

		DESCRIPT	ŤÔN	5/1/91 PRESENT RATE	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE	SOFFO FACTOR	TOTAL REVENUE	
LINE	# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(8)	(F)	(G)	NO.
	\A/	(0)	(6)		\ <b>-</b> /	******		
1	LS-1, HPSV,	Class C	Pel emo					1
2	448	_		21.44	9,605	0.059	9,611	ž
3	919	-	- •	23.48	21,578	0.059	21,591	3
4	235			26.29	6,178	0.059	6,182	4
5	1	200		33.60	34	0.059	34	5
6	504	250		39.23	19,772	0.059	19,784	6
7	1	400	50,000	44.90	45.	0.059	45	7
8	,	1,000	•	82.98	83	0.059	83	8
9	LS-1, LPSV,		140,000	02.70	<b>~</b>	<b>4.4</b> 37	•	9
10	_	35	4,800	7,99	8	0.059	8	10
-	7	55	•	8.64	4,838	0.059	4,841	11
11 12	560 370	90		10.65	3,941	0.059	3,943	12
13	112			13.15	1,473	0.059	1,474	13
			33,000	14.28	27,532	0.059	27,548	14
14	1,928			14.20	21,332	0.059	21,540	15
15	LS-1, LPSV,			- 40	<b>\$</b>	0.059	•	16
16	1	35	4,800	8.68		0.059	2,607	17
17	276			9.44	2,605			18
18	242		•	11.45	2,771 3,408	0.059 0.059	2,773 3,410	19
19	241 241	135		14.14 15.28	3,682	0.059	3,410	20
20		180	33,000	17.48	3,002	0.039	3,000	21
21	LS-1, LPSV,		2-Lamp	A# 40		0.059		22
22	1	35	4,800	15.49	15	0.059	15 17	
23		55		16.89 20.92	17 21	0.059	21	23 24
24		90	13,500		26	0.059	26	25
25	1	135	-	26.17		0.059	28 28	
26	7	150	33,000	28.44	28	0.054	40	26
27	LS-1, LPSV,				.=			27
28	. 1	35		16.64	17	0.059	17	28
29	359	55		17.39	6,243	0.059	6,247	29
30	280	90	13,500	19.42	5,438	0.059	5,441	20
31	247	135	22,500	24.76	6,116	0.059	6,119	31
32	269	180	33,000	25.89	6,964	0.059	6,969	35
22	LS-1, LPSV			-, -,				33
34	1	35		24.36	24	0.059	24	34
35	1	55		25.76	26	0.059	26	35
36	1	90	13,500	29.81	30	0.059	30	36 77
37	7	135	22,500	38.50	39	0.059	39	37
38	1	180	33,000	40.77	41	0.059	41	38

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

# LAMPS (A)	DESCRIPTION WATTS (B)	LUMENS (C)	5/1/91 PRESENT RATE (\$/Lamp) (0)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (E)	SD FFD FACTOR (%) (F)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (G)
LS-1, Facili	ties and R	tes. Class	A			
	Center Su		4.77	57	0.059	57
	Non-Stand	ard Wood Po	le			••
9,264	30-foot		2.39	22,141	0.059	22,154
1,680	35-foot		2.69	4,519	0.059	4,522
•	Recator B	allast Disc	ount			
3,139	175		(0.98)	(3,076)	0.059	(3,078)
11	250		(0.38)	(4)	0.059	(4)
Subtotal Rev	venue LS-1			3,489,943		3,492,002
	•••••	•••••			•••••	
LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, R	ate A				
22,621	175	7,000	5.25	.118,760	0.673	119,560
471	250	10,000	7.30	3,438	0.673	3,461
11,546	400	20,000	11.49	132,664	0.673	133,556
482	700	35,000	19.49	9,394	0.673	9,457
45	1,000	55,000	27.54	1,239	0.673	1,248
LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, R	ate 8, Ener	gy & Limited	d Maintenance		
6,401	175	7,000	5.85	37,446	0.673	37,698
22	250	10,000	7.90	174	0.673	175
1,625	400	20,000	12.10	19,663	0.673	19,795
LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, S	urcharge fo	r series se	rvice		
804	175	7,000	0.40	322	0.673	324
1	250	10,000	0.50	1	0.673	1
3,900	400	20,000	0.72	2,808	0.673	2,827
312	700	35,000	1.32	412	0.673	415
LS-2, HPSV,	Rate A	•				
1,334	50	3,300	1-45	. 1,934	0.673	1,947
46,452	70	5,800	2.52	117,059	0.673	117,847
85,808	100	9,500	3.52	302,044	0.673	304,077
23,697	150	16,000	4.82	114,220	0.673	114,988
26,622	200	22,000	6.15	163,725	0.673	164,827
48,010	250	30,000	7-82	375,438	0.673	377,965
3,441	310	37,000	9.57	32,930	0.673	33,152
3,654	400	50,000	11.89	43,446	0.673	43,738
1	1,000	140,000	27.54	28	0.673	28

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

	DESCRIPTION	ON	PRESENT RATE	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE	SDFFD FACTOR:	TOTAL REVENUE	
# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
LS-2, HPSV,	Rate B, E	nergy & Limi	ted Mainten	nhce			
1	50	3,300	2.13	2.	0.673	2	
796	70	5,800	3.20	2,547	0.673	2,564	
1,087	100	9,500	4.20	4,565	0.673	4,596	
2,376		16,000	5.52	13,116	0.673	13,204	
1	200	22,000	6.84	7	0.673	7	
572	250	30,000	8.51	4,868	0.673	4,900	
7	310	37,000	10.27	10	0.673	10	
1	400	50,000	12.60	13	0.673	13	
1	1,000	140,000	28.40	28	0.673	29	
LS-2, HPSV.			t Reactor Ba	llast			
20,782		5,800	(0.40)	(8,313)	0.673	(8,369)	
18,888		9,500	(0.53)	(10,011)	0.673	(10,078)	
8,048	150	16,000	(0.49)	(3,944)	0.673	(3,970)	
LS-2, HPSV,		for Series	Service				
1	50	3,300	0.45	0	0.673	0	
1	70	5,800	(0-22)	(0)	0.673	(0)	
336	100	9,500	(0.23)	(77)	0.673	(78)	
156		16,000	0.02	3	0.673	3	
132		22,000	0.48	63	0.673	64	
LS-2, LPSV,							
22,183		4,800	1.63	36,158	0.673	36,402	
259,621	55	8,000	2.13	552,993	0.673	556,714	
70,832		13,500	3.52	249,329	0.673	251,007	
57,796		22,500	5.00	288,980	0.673	290,925	
16,680	180	33,000	5.70	95,076	0.673	95,716	
LS-2, LPSV,				,,,,,,,	41010	75,110	
15,108		4,800	(0.23)	(3,475)	0.673	(3,498)	
13,788		8,000	(0.13)	(1,792)		(1,805)	
1,5%		13,500	0.45	718		723	
16,572		22,500	0.80	13,258	0.673	13,347	
120	180	33,000	0.51	61	0.673	62	
			Energy Only	=	0.070	-	
				873	0.673	878	
493		1,000	1.77 3.93	86	0.673	87	
22		2,500		6	0.673	6	
1		4,000	5,92			1,470	
168		6,000	8.69	1,460	0.673	·	
34		10,000	14.75	502	0.673	505	
-	nt Lamps,			ed Maintenanc		۵	
1		4,000	7.88 10.49	8 716	0.673	. 8 721	
67		6,000	10.69	716	0.673	721	
	venue LS-2			2,714,979		2,733,250	

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

			5/1/91			
			PRESENT	SUB-TOTAL	Soffd	TOTAL
	DESCRIPTIO	N	RATE	REVENUE	FACTOR	REVENUE
# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)
(A)	<b>(B)</b>	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(G)
	•••••		******		******	
LS-3						
5,479,000	Energy Ch	arce .	\$0.07653	419,308	1.165	424,193
1	Minimum C		\$5.81	6	1-165	6
•	P1111111111111111111111111111111111111	iidi St	->.01	Ū	15105	•
Subtotal Rev	renue LS-3			419,314		424,199 <sup>-</sup>
	********		**********		,	
						•
OL-1, Mercur					A 4***	44
1	175	7,000	9.88	10	0.673	10
1	400	20,000	19.97	20	0.673	20
OL-1, HPSV,				/40 472	0.479	/41 710
54,926	100	9,500	8.35	458,632	0.673	461,719
3,531	150	16,000	9.76	34,463	0.673	34,694
31,386	250	30,000	14.87	466,710	0.673	469,851
1,569	400	50,000	17.97	28,195	0.673	28,385
1	1,000	140,000	36.97	37	0.673	37
OL-1, HPSV,				** ***	بخور ن	no me
1,681	250	30,000	17.57	29,535	0.673	29,734
560	400	50,000	21.63	12,113	0.673	12,194
168	1,000	140,000	37.93	6,372	0.673	6,415
OL-1, LPSV,						_
0	55	8,000	8.75	. 0	0.673	0.
0	90	13,000	10.78	0	0.673	0.
0	135	22,500	13.31	0	0.673	0
0	180	33,000	14.46	0	0.673	0
OL-1, Pole						
	30.ft woo	d pole	3.16	44,366	0.673	44,665
18,000	35 ft woo	d pole	3.55	63,900	0.673	64,330
Subtotal Rev	maria Al -4			1,144,353		1,152,054
200forut Kea						1,132,437
DWL, facilit						•
8,500,000	S of Util	invet.	\$0.01860	158,100	0.465	158,835
DWL, Energy			arge			
13,732	50 Watt H	PSV	\$3.21	44,080	0.465	44,285
DWL, Min. Ch	arge					
1			\$151.33	151	0.465	152
Subtotal Rev	innin Att			202,331		203,272
SUDJUGAL KEY	erue UNL			ا <i>للو</i> عاب 		447,414
		ENUES		7,970,919		3,004,777

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

# APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

#### RESIDENTIAL -- REVENUES AT ADOPTED RATES

		BILLING	ADOPTED RATE	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE	EMPLOYEE DISCOUNT FACTOR	SOFFD	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE	
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	(S/UNIT)	(\$)	<b>(%)</b>	(%)	(\$)	LINE
NQ.	(A)	(8)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
				***********				
1								1
2	SCHEDULE DR			041 745 400			D/F 3/4 57/	2
3	Baseline Energy	2,648,117,000	0.09888	261,845,809	0.1615	0.699	263,250,274	3
4	Non-Baseline Energy	2,149,196,000	0.12887	276,966,889	0.1615	0.699	278,452,459	4
5	Minimum Bill	7,319,000	0.16400	1,200,316	0_1615	0.699	1,206,754	5
6							#15 AAA 165	6
7	Subtotal						542,909,487	7
8								8
9	SCHEOULE DR-LI						Mm AND	9
10	Baseline Energy	390,035,000	0.08370	32,645,930	0.1615	0.699	32,821,033	10
11	Non-Baseline Energy	125,203,000	0.10919	13,670,916	0.1615	0.699	13,744,242	11
12	Minimum Bill	829,000	0.13900	115,231	0.1615	0.699	115,849	12
13								13
14	Subtotal						46,681,124	14
15								15
16	SCHEDULE DM							16
17	Baseline Energy	58,827,000	0.09888	5,816,814	0.0000	0.823	5,864,686	17
18	Non-Baseline Energy	44,741,000	0.12887	5,765,773	0-0000	0.823	5,813,225	18
19	Minimum Bill	0	0.16400	0	0.0000	0.823	. 0	19
20								20
21	Subtotal						11,677,911	21
22								22
23	SCHEDULE DS							23
24	Baseline Energy	14,197,000	0.09888	1,403,799	0.0000	0.896	1,416,377	24
25	Non-Baseline Energy	2,197,000	0_12887	283,127	0.0000	0.896	285,664	25
26	Baseline Energy L/I	1,522,000	0.08370	127,391	0.0000	0.896	128,533	26
27	Non-Baseline Energy L/I	235,000	0.10919	25,660	0.0000	0.896	25,890	27
28	Unit Discount	2,033,000	(0.11000)	(223,630)		0.896	(225,634)	28
29	Minimum Bill	0	0.16400	0	0.0000	0.896	0	29
30								30
31	Subtotal						1,630,830	31

Notes (applicable to all Revenues at Adopted Rates tables in this appendix):

Column B: From Settlement Agreement workpapers.

Column C: From rate design workpapers.

Column D: Column B \* Column C.

Column E: From 'Workpaper Clarifying Derivation of Employee Discount Factor', A.91-03-001.

Column F: From SDFFD 'Development of Annual Percentage Factors' workpaper, A.91-03-001.

Column G: Column D \* (1 - Column E) \* (1 + Column F).

L/I represents Low-Income.

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL \* CACD/PPM/2

# APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## RESIDENTIAL -- REVENUES AT ADOPTED RATES

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	ADOPTED RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	EMPLOYEE DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SDFF0- (%) (F)	TOTAL - ADOPTED REVENUE (\$)- (G)	LINE NO.
1					•			1
2	SCHEDULE DT							2
3	Baseline Energy	96,252,000	0.09888	9,517,398	0.0000	0.201	9,536,528	3
4	Non-Baseline Energy	28,589,000	0.12887	3,684,264	0.0000	0.201	3,691,670	4
5	Baseline Energy L/I	10,358,000	0.08370	866,965	0.0000	0.201	868,707	5.
6	Non-Baseline Energy L/I	3,076,000	0.10919	335,868	0.0000	0.201	336,544	6
7	Space Discount	13,108,000	(0.31200)	(4,089,696)	0.0000	0.201	(4,097,916)	7
8	Minimum Bill	0	0.16400	0	0.0000	0.201	0	8
9								9
10	Subtotal						10,335,532	10
11						•••••		17
.12	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL						613,234,885	12

A\_91-03-001 ALJ/ECL + CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX B

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	ADOPTED RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL RÉVÉNUE (\$) (0)	VOLTAGE DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SOFFO (%) (F)	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE NO.
1	SCHEDULE A							1
2	Customer Charge	1,183,467	5.00	5,917,335	0.0000	0.865	5,968,520	2
3	Energy Charge	1,810,044,000	0.10338	187,122,349	0.0000	0.865	188,740,957	3
4								4
5	***************************************							5
6	TOTAL SCHEDULE A						194,709,477	6

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	ADOPTED RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	VOLTAGE DISCOUNT FACTOR (%) (E)	SDFFD (%) (f)	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE
1	SCHEDULE AD							1
2	Customer Charge	66,396	15.00	995,940	0.1090	1.002	1,004,823	2
3	Demand Charge	5,322,000	6.74	35,870,280	0_1090	1.002	36,190,210	3
4	Energy Charge	1,627,452,000	0.03514	57,188,663	0.1090	1.002	57,698,733	4
, 5	Energy ECAC/AER	1,627,452,000	0.03441	56,000,623	0.0000	1.002	56,561,750	5
6 7	Total. Energy		0.06955					7
8								8
Ģ	Subtotal Schedule AD						151,455,516	9
10	Standby Revenues Adjustme	ent					33,517	10
11	TOTAL SCHEDULE AD						151,489,032	11
	, wasa postuusoo aay 2 24 a 27					-44200up		*****

APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (8)	ADOPTED RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	(E)	SDFFD (%) (F)	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE NO.
1	SCHEDULE AL-TOU	** ***		5 mar 200		4 227	2 040 222	1
2	Customer Charge	92,819	30.00	2,784,570		1.227	2,818,737	2
3	Non-Coincident Demand						04 007 450	3 4
4	Secondary	7,137,000	3.71	26,478,270		1.227	26,803,158	-
5	Primery	5,038,000	2.95	14,862,100		1.227	15,044,458	5.
6	On-Peak Demand: Summ					. 227	. FA (00 400	6. 7
7	Secondary	2,851,000	17.54	50,006,540		1.227	50,620,120	
8	Primary	2,036,000	17.54	35,711,440		1.227	36,149,619	8
9	On-Peak Demand: Wint					•		9
10	Secondary	3,417,000	4.08	13,941,360		1.227	14,112,420	10
11	Primary	2,445,000	4.08	9,975,600		1.227	10,098,001	11
12	On-Peak Energy: Summ							12
13	Secondary	299,312,000	0.08497	25,432,541		1.227	25,744,598	13
14	Primory	217,867,000	0.07950	17,320,427		1.227	17,532,948	14
15	Semi-Peak Energy: Summ							15
16	Secondary	348,179,000	0.05495	19,132,436		1.227	19,367,191	16
17	Primary	276,914,000	0.05234	14,493,679		1.227	14,671,516	17
18	Off-Peak Energy: Sum	ner						18
19	Secondary	490,709,000	0.04156	20,393,866		1.227	20,644,099	19
20	Primary	403,155,000	0.03890	15,682,729		1.227	15,875,157	20
21	On-Peak Energy: Wint	ter		,				21
22	Secondary	168,227,000	0.07620	12,818,897		1.227	12,976,185	22
23	Primary	128,799,000	0.07126	9,178,217		1.227	9,290,833	23
24	Semi-Peak Energy: Wint	ter						24
25	Secondary	654,508,000	0.04806	31,455,654		1.227	31,841,615	25
26	Primary	523,081,000	0.04462	23,339,874		1.227	23,626,254	26
27	Off-Peak Energy: Wint	tor						27
28	Secondary	625,594,000	0.04044	25,299,021		1.227	25,609,440	28
29	Primary	528,338,000	0.03679	19,437,555		1.227	19,676,054	29
30	, , , , ,	*****		• •				30
31	Subtotal Schedule AL-1	rou		•			392,502,405	31
32	Standby Revenues Adjus	* *					612,304	32
33	Rate Limiter Adjustmen						(942,006)	33
34	TOTAL SCHEDULE AL-TOU	••					392,172,703	34.

## APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

•		BILLING	ADOPTED RATE	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE		SOFFO	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE	
LINE	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	(\$/UNIT)	(\$)		(%)	· (\$)	LINE
NO.	(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
	•••••••				*******	******	***********	
1	SCHEDULE A6-TOU							1
ż	Customer Charge	609	600.00	365,400		1.235	369,913	2
3	Non-Coincident Demand						·	3
4	Primary	1,671,000	2.95	4,929,450		1.235	4,990,329	4
5	Transmission	211,000	1,24	261,640		1.235	264,871	5
6	On-Peak Demand: Summ						•	6
7	Primary	486,000	20.89	10,152,540		1.235	10,277,924	7
8	Transmission	52,000	13.39	696,280		1.235	704,879	8
9	On-Peak Demand: Wint	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4.0,4-0				9
10	Primary	591,000	4.88	2,884,080		1.235	2,919,698	10
11	Transmission	69,000	2.17	149,730		1.235	151,579	11
12	On-Peak Energy: Summ	•						12
13	Primary	66,729,000	0.07950	5,304,956		1.235	5,370,472	13
14	Transmission	8,385,000	0.07712	646,651		1.235	654,637	14
15	Semi-Peak Energy: Summ	• •	****				.,,	15
16	Primary	88,738,000	0.05234	4,644,547		1.235	4,701,907	16
17	Transmission	12,577,000	0.05077	638,534		1.235	646,420	17
18	Off-Peak Energy: Summ	• •	4105011	,		••••	V-10,-124	18
19	Primary	147,082,000	0.03890	5,721,490		1.235	5,792,150	19
20	Transmission	25,853,000	0.03773	975,434	•	1.235	987,480	20
21	On-Peak Energy: Wint	• •		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,	21
22	Primary	42,934,000	0.07126	3,059,477		1.235	3,097,261	22
23	Transmission	5,540,000	0.06912	382,925		1.235	387,654	23
24	Semi-Peak Energy: Wint		•••••	55-27-35			20.700	24
ප	Primary	167,120,000	0.04462	7,456,894		1.235	7,548,987	25
26	Transmission	18,005,000	0.04328	779,256		1.235	788,880	26
27	Off-Peak Energy: Wint		***************************************	,				27
28	Primary	201,282,000	0.03679	7,405,165		1.235	7,496,619	28
29	Transmission	26,776,000	0.03568	955,368		1.235	967,166	29
30	11 B) MIII 20 1 Q11	20,710,000	0.00500	,,,,,,,,			701,100	30
31	Subtotal Schedule A6-T	'nu					58,118,828	31
32	Standby Revenues Adjus						301,056	32
33	Rate Limiter Adjustmen						(58,700)	33
34	TOTAL SCHEDULE A6-TOU						58,361,183	34
35	Antennance de la la						**********	35
36	TOTAL AL AND A6 (pre-ad)	ustment)	•		_		450,621,233	36
37	TOTAL AL AND AG (W/ adju	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					450,533,886	37
38	IAIVE WE WAN WA /W. BOTA				**		~~~,~~,~~,	38
39								39
40	TOTAL COMMERCIAL AND IND	HISTOTAL					796,732,396	40
~∪	ININE COMMERCIAL AND THE	A2147F					770,132,370	

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## AGRICULTURAL - REVENUES AT ADOPTED RATES

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	ADOPTED RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (D)	(E)	SDFFD (X) (F)	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE (\$) (G)	LINE NO.
1	SCHEDULE PA							1
2	Customer Charge	41,592	8.00	332,736		0.336 •	333,854	2
3	Energy	155,654,000	0.08526	13,271,060		0.336	13,315,651	3 4
5	Subtotal			•			13,649,505	5 6
7	SCHEDULE PA-TOU							7
8	Motering Charge	680	8.00	5,440		0.000	5,440	8
9	Customer Charge	680	10.00	6,800		0.000	6,800	9
10	Energy: On-Peak	316,000	0.15356	48,525		0.000	48,525	10
11 12	Energy: Off-Peak	1,074,000	0.06945	74,589		0.000	74,589	11 12
13 14	Subtotal						135,354	13- 14
15	TOTAL AGRICULTURAL						13,784,859	15

CACD/PPM/2

### APPENDIX B

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

				ADOPTED	SUB-TOTAL	SDFFD	TOTAL	
		DESCRIPT	ION	RATE	REVENUE	FACTOR	REVENUE	
LINE	# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
				•••••	**********			
1	LS-1, Mercur	y Vapor,	Class A					1
2	7,858	175	7,000	\$10.24	<b>5</b> 80,430	0.059%	\$80,478	2
3	123	250	10,000	\$13.56	\$1,668	0.059%	\$1,669	3
4	2,074	400	20,000	\$18.60	\$38,584	0.059%	\$38,607	4
5	56	700	35,000	\$35.67	\$1,998	0.059%	\$1,999	5
6	LS-1, Mercur	y Vapor,	Class C, 1-L	.amp				6
7	448	175	7,000	\$18.38	<b>58,459</b>	0.059%	\$8,464	7
8	1	250	10,000	\$25.07	\$25	0.059%	\$25	8
9	314	400	20,000	\$30.11	\$9,456	0.059%	\$9,461	9
10	LS-1, Mercur	y Vapor,	Class C, 2-L			_		10
11	34	175	7,000	\$28.85	\$981	0.059%	\$982	11
12	1	400	20,000	549.31	\$49	0.059%	\$49	12
13	LS-1, MPSV,	Class A						13
14	19,280	70	5,800	\$6.65	\$128,117	0.059%	\$128,192	14
15	146,977	100	9,500	\$7.71	\$1,133,168	0.059%	\$1,133,837	15
16	5,593	150	16,000	\$9.16	\$51,215	0.059%	\$51,245	16
17	146	200	22,000	\$11.02	\$1,610	0.059%	\$1,611	17
18	19,269	250	30,000	<b>\$13.90</b>	\$267,924	0.059%	\$268,082	18
19	168	400	50,000	\$17.45	\$2,931	0.059%	\$2,933	19
20	1	1000	140,000	\$36.43	\$36	0.059%	\$36	20
21	LS-1, MPSV,		1-Lamp					21
22	7,656	70	5,800	\$7.33	\$56,116	0.059%	<b>\$</b> 56,149	22
23	17,969	100	9,500	\$8.39	\$150,838	0.059%	\$150,927	23
24	1,995	150	16,000	\$9.84	. \$19,637	0.059%	\$19,648	24
ප	527	200	22,000	\$11.90	· \$6,273	0.059%	\$6,276	25 '
26	4,192	250	30,000	\$14.79	\$61,994	0.059%	\$62,030	26
27	90	400	50,000	\$18.42	\$1,658	0.059%	\$1,659	27
28	1	1000	140,000	\$37.48	\$37	0.059%	<b>\$38</b>	28
29	LS-1, HPSV,	Class B,	2-Lamp					29
30	179	70	5,800	\$12.78	\$2,287	0.059%	\$2,289	30
31	1,121	100	9,500	<b>\$</b> 14 <b>.9</b> 1	\$16,712	0.059%	\$16,722	31
32	1,199	150	16,000	\$17.80	\$21,347	0.059%	\$21,360	32
33	1	200	22,000	\$21.79	\$22	0.059%	\$22	33
34	34	250	30,000	\$27.56	\$937	0.059%	\$938	34
35	1	400	50,000	\$34.57	\$35	0.059%	\$35	35
36	1	1000	140,000	\$72.65	\$73	0.059%	\$73	36
37	LS-1, HPSV,	Class C,	1-Lamp	•				37
38	13,877	70	5,800	\$15.29	\$212,142	0.059%	\$212,267	38
39	52,326	100	9,500	\$16.35	\$855,639	0.059%	\$856,144	39
40	4,147	150	16,000	\$17.82	\$73,887	0.059%	\$73,930	40
41	1	200	22,000	\$22.52	\$23	0.059%	\$23	41
42	5,268	250	30,000	\$25.41	\$133,858	0.059%	\$133,937	42
43	1,569	400	50,000	\$30.41	\$47,711	0.059%	\$47,739	43
44	1	1000	140,000	\$50.36	<b>350</b>	0.059%	\$50	44

CACD/PPH/2

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

				ADOPTED	SUB-TOTAL	SDFFD	TOTAL	
		DESCRIPT		RATE	REVENUE	FACTOR	REVENUE	
LINE	# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	LINE
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(G)	NO.
••••			A					
1	LS-1, HPSV.			****	40 707		e0 700	1. 2
2	448	-	5,800	\$21.66	\$9,703	0.059%	\$9,709	3
3	919		9,500	\$23.79	\$21,862		\$21,875	
4	235		16,000	\$26.70	\$6,275	0.059%	\$6,278	4
5	1		22,000	\$34.13	\$34	0.059%	\$34	5
6	504		30,000	\$39.90	\$20,110	0.059%	\$20,122	6
7	1	400	50,000	\$45.90	\$46	0.059%	\$46	7
8			140,000	\$85.29	\$85	0.059%	\$85	. 8
9	LS-1, LPSV,							
10		35	4,800	\$8.07	\$8	0.059%	\$8	10
11	560	55	8,000	\$8.73	\$4,890	0.059%	\$4,893	11
12	370		13,500	\$10.80	\$3,997	0.059%	23,999	12
13	112		22,500	\$13.36	\$1,497	0.059%	\$1,497	13
14	1,928		33,000	\$14.53	\$28,005	0.059%	<b>5</b> 28,022	.14
15	LS-1, LPSV,	Class 8,			,			15
16	1	35	4,800	\$8.76	\$9	0.059%	<b>5</b> 9	16
17	, 276	55	8,000	\$9.53	\$2,630	0.059%	<b>32,632</b>	17
18	242	90	13,500	\$11.61	- \$2,809	0.059%	<b>±2</b> ,810	18
19	241	135	22,500	\$14.36	\$3,460	0.059%	\$3,463	19
20	241	150	33,000	\$15.52	\$3,741	0.059%	\$3,743	20
21	LS-1, LPSV,	Class B,	2-Lamp					21
22 .	1	35	4,800	\$15.64	\$16	0.059%	\$16	22
23	1	55	8,000	\$17.07	\$17	0.059%	\$17	23
24	1	90	13,500	\$21.22	\$21	0.059%	\$21	24
25	1	135	22,500	\$26.60	\$27	0.059%	\$27	25
26	1	180	33,000	\$28.93	329	0.059%	\$29	26
27	LS-1, LPSV,	Class C,	1-Lamp					27
28	1	35	4,800	\$16.72	\$17	0.059%	\$17	28
29	359	55	8,000	\$17.49	\$6,279	0.059%	56,283	29
30	280	90	13,500	\$19.58	\$5,483	0.059%	35,486	30
31	247	135	22,500	\$24.98	\$6,171	0.059%	\$6,174	31
32	269	180	33,000	\$26.15	\$7,034	0.059%	\$7,038	32
22	LS-1, LPSV,	Class C,	2-Lamp					33
34	1	35	4,800	\$24.52	\$25	0.059%	\$25	34
35	1	. 55	8,000	\$25.96	\$26	0.059%	\$26	35
36	1	90	13,500	\$30.12	\$30	0.059%	\$30	36
37	1	135	22,500	\$38.94	\$39	0.059%	\$39	37
38	1		33,000	\$41.27	\$41	0.059%	\$41	38

CACD/PPH/2

## APPENDIX B

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

		DESCRIPTIO	N	ADOPTED RATE	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE	SD F FD FACTOR	TOTAL REVENUE	
LINE	# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	LINE
NO.	(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	<b>(F)</b>	(G)	NO.
1	LS-1, Facili	ties and R	ates, Class	A				1
2	12	Center Su	spension	\$4.78	\$57	0.059%	\$57	2
3		Non-Stand	ard Wood Po	le				3
4	9,264	30-foot		\$5.39	\$22,178	0.059%	\$22,192	4
5	1,680	35-foot		\$2.69	\$4,521	0.059%	\$4,523	5
6		Recator B	allast Disc	ount				6
7	3,139	175		(\$0.98)	(\$3,066)		(\$3,068)	7
8	11	250		(\$0.38)	(\$4)	0.059%	(\$4)	8
9	Subtotal Rev	enue LS-1			<b>\$3,546,028</b>		<b>\$3,548,120</b>	9
10	*******					•••••		10
11			•					11
12	LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, R	ate A					12
13	22,621	175	7,000	\$5.46	\$123,605	0.673%	\$124,437	13
14	471	250	10,000	\$7.60	\$3,579	0.673%	\$3,603	14
15	11,546	400	20,000	\$11.97	\$138,196	0.673%	\$139,126	15
16	482	700	35,000	\$20.30	\$9,782	0.673%	\$9,848	16
17	45	1000	55,000	\$28.67	\$1,290	0.673%	\$1,299	17
18	LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, R	ate B, Ener	gy & Limite	d Maintenance			18
19	6,401	175	7,000	\$6.07	\$38,837	0.673%	<b>\$39,098</b>	19
20	. 22	250	10,000	\$8.20	\$180	0.673%	\$182 <sup>-</sup>	20
21	1,625	400	20,000	\$12.58	320,442	0.673%	\$20,580	21
22	LS-2, Mercur	y Vapor, S	urcharge fo	r series se	rvice			22
23	804	175	7,000	\$0.40	\$321	0.673%	\$324	23
24	1	250	10,000	\$0.50	\$1	0.673%	<b>5</b> 1	24
25	3,900	400	20,000	\$0.72	\$2,821	0.673%	\$2,840	25
26	312	700	35,000	\$1.32	\$413	0.673%	\$416	26
27	LS-2, MPSV,	Rate A						27
28	1,334	50	3,300	\$1.51	\$2,013	0.673%	\$2,027	28
29	46,452	70	5,800	\$2.63	\$122,076	0.673%	\$122,898	29
30	85,808	100	9,500	\$3.67	\$314,813	0.673%	\$316,932	30
31	23,697	150	16,000	\$5.02	\$119,003	0.673%	\$119,803	31
32	26,622	200	22,000	\$6.40	\$170,405	0.673%	\$171,551	32
33	48,010	250	30,000	\$8.14	\$391,004	0.673%	\$393,636	33
34	3,441	310	37,000	\$9.97	\$34,292	0.673%	\$34,522	34
35	3,654	400	50,000	\$12.39	\$45,257	0.673%	\$45,561	35
36	1	1000	140,000	\$28.67	\$29	0.673%	\$29	36

APPENDIX 8

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

				ADOPTED	SUB-TOTAL	SDFFD	TOTAL	
		DESCRIPTIO	ON	RATE	REVENUE	FACTOR	REVENUE	
Ę	# LAMPS	WATTS	LUMENS	(\$/Lamp)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	L
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	N
•	LS-2. HPSV.	Rate B. Er	eray & Limi	ted Mainten	ance			
	1	50	3,300	\$2.19	\$2	0.673%	\$2	
	796	70	5,800	\$3.30	\$2,629	0.673%	\$2,647	
	1,087	100	9,500	\$4.34	\$4,721	0.673%	\$4,753	
	2,376	150 .	16,000	\$5,72	\$13,586	0.673%	\$13,678	
	1	200	22,000	\$7.09	37	0.673%	\$7	
	572	250	30,000	\$8.84	\$5,054	0.673%	\$5,088	
	1	310	37,000	\$10.67	\$11	0.673%	\$11	
	1	400	50,000	\$13.09	\$13	0.673%	\$13	
	1	1000	140,000	\$29.53	\$30	0.673%	\$30	
				t Reactor B	allast			
	20,782	70	5,800	(\$0.40)	(\$8,329)	0.673%	(\$8,385)	
	18,888		9,500	(\$0.53)	(\$10,093)	0.673%	(\$10,161)	
	8,048		16,000	(\$0.49)	(\$3,942)	0.673%	(\$3,969)	
	LS-2, HPSV,			Service				
	i	50	3,300	\$0.45	\$0	0.673%	\$0	
•	1	70	5,800	(\$0.22)	(\$0)	0.673%	(\$0)	
	336	100	9,500	(\$0.23)	(\$77)	0.673%	(\$77)	,
	156	150	16,000	\$0.02	\$3	0.673%	\$3.	
	132	200	22,000	\$0.48	<b>5</b> 6 <b>2</b>	0.673%	\$63	
	LS-2, LPSV,	Rate A						
	22,183		4,800	\$1.69	\$37,546	0.673%	\$37,799	
	259,621	55	8,000	\$2.22	\$577,153	0.673%	\$581,037	
	70,832		13,500	\$3.66	\$259,457	0.673%	\$261,203	
	57,796		22,500	\$5.20	\$300,769	0.673%	\$302,793	
	16,680		33,000	\$5.94	\$99,022	0.673%	<b>\$99,689</b>	
	LS-2, LPSV,		for series	service				
	15,108	35	4,800	(\$0.23)	(\$3,451)	0.673%	(\$3,474)	
	13,788	55	8,000	(\$0.13)	(\$1,837)	0.673%	(\$1,850)	
	1,596		13,500	\$0.45	\$714	0.673%	\$719	
	16,572		22,500	\$0.80	\$13,249	0.673%	\$13,338	
	120	180	33,000	\$0.51	\$62	0.673%	\$62	
	LS-2, Incan	descent Lar	nos, Rate A,	, Energy Onl	y			
	493		1,000	\$1.84	\$909	0.673%	<b>5915</b>	
	22		2,500	\$4.09	<b>\$90</b>	0.673%	\$91	
,	1		4,000	<b>56.</b> 16	<b>\$</b> 6	0.673%	<b>\$</b> 6	
•	168		6,000	\$9.04	\$1,519	0.673%	\$1,530	
,	34		10,000	\$15.36	\$522	0.673%	\$526	
	LS-2, Incds	nt Lamps, !			ted Maintenanc	•		
	1		4,000		\$8	0.673%	\$8	
	67		6,000	\$11.05	\$741	0.673%	\$746	
		venue LS-2			\$2,828,516	0.673%	\$2,847,552	

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

# LAMPS	DESCRIPTION WATTS	LUMENS	ADOPTED RATE (\$/Lamp)	SUB-TOTAL REVENUE (\$)	SOFFD FACTOR (%)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$)
(A)	(8)	(C)	(0)	(8)	(F)	(G)
LS-3						
	Energy Ch.	arge	\$0.07921	\$433,971	1.165%	\$439,026
1			\$5.81	\$6	1.165%	\$6
Subtotal Rev	venue LS-3	******		\$433,976	1.1650%	\$439,032
OL-1, Mercui	ne Venage - Di	4 ** 1	(abe lumina	(an		
1	175	7,000	\$10.09	\$10	0.689%	\$10
i	400	20,000	\$20.45	\$20	0.689%	\$21
OL-1, HPSV,						
54,926	100	9,500	\$8.50	\$466,790	0.689%	\$470,006
3,531	150	16,000	\$9.96	\$35,164	0_689%	\$35,406
31,386	250	30,000	\$15.20	\$476,937	0.689%	\$480,223
1,569	400	50,000	\$18.47	\$28,974	0.689%	\$29,174
1	1000	140,000	\$38.11	\$38	0.689%	\$38
OL-1, HPSV,	Rate B, Di					
1,681	250	30,000	\$17.88	\$30,059	0.689%	\$30,266
560	400	50,000	\$22.10	\$12,376	0.689%	\$12,461
168	1000	140,000	\$39.01	\$6,553	0.689%	<b>\$</b> 6,598
OL-1, LPSV,				,		
0	55	8,000	\$8.84	\$0	0.689%	\$0
0	90	13,000	\$10.94	\$0	0.689%	\$0
0	135	22,500	\$13.53	\$0 \$0	0.689% 0.689%	\$0 \$0
0	180	33,000	\$14.71	30	0.0094	30
0L-1, Pole	30 ft wood		\$3.16	\$44,358	0.689%	\$44,664
	35 ft wood		\$3.55	\$63,892	0.689%	\$64,332
.0,000	27 14 HOO		-5.55	~~,U,Z	410074	,552
SUBTOTAL REV	ENUE OL-1			\$1,165,171	0.689%	\$1,173,199
		*******				***********
DWL, facilit			\$0.0186	E150 100	0.465%	<b>2160 87</b> 6
OWL, Energy	S of Util			\$158,100	V.40JA	\$158,835
	50 Watt Hi		\$3,2698	\$44,901	0.465%	\$45,110
, *	DWL, Min. (		\$151.46	\$151	0.465%	\$152
SUBTOTAL REV	ENUE DWL			\$203,152	0.465%	\$204,097
TOTAL STREET				\$8,176,844	••••••••	\$8,212,000

## APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - GAS DEPARTMENT

Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN BASE RATE REVENUE (\$000)

LINE NO.	Transmission	PRESENT REVENUE (A)	REVENUE CHANGES (B)	ADOPTED- REVENUE (C)	LINE NO.
7					į.
2	BASE RATE REVENUES:				3
4	BASE RATE REFEROES:				4
5	- Base Rovenues	\$143,209	\$14,339	\$157,548	5.
6	Miscellaneous Revenues	\$3,152	\$0	\$3,152	6
7			•••••		7
8	Authorized Margin Costs	\$146,361	\$14,339	\$160,700	8
9	Demand Side Management (DSM) Reward	\$1,582	\$377	\$1,959	9
10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	******			10
11	Subtotal - SDG&E Margin Costs	\$147,943	\$14,716	\$162,659	11
12	less Sales Adjustment	\$2,651	(\$2,651)	\$0	12
13		*******	********	********	13
14	Subtotal - SDG&E Margin Cost Recovery	\$150,594	\$12,065	\$162,659	14
15					15
16	OTHER SOGRE:				16
17					17
18 '	batancing Account Amortizations	(\$29,154)	\$0	(\$29,154)	18
19	Low Income Rate Assistance (LIRA) Costs	<b>\$898</b>	(\$97)	<b>\$801</b>	19
20	Other Transmission Costs	\$6,967	30	\$6,967	20
21				*********	21
22	Subtotal - SDG&E Other Transmission	(\$21,289)	(\$97)	(\$21,386)	22
23	•				23
24	TOTAL SDGEE BASE RATE REVENUES (Transmission)	\$129,305	\$11,968	\$141,273	24
			(a)		

#### Notes:

[a] Corresponding rate edjustments to be implemented in SDG&E's BCAP proceeding (A.91-03-039).

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPM/2

# APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - STEAM DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN BASE RATE REVENUE (\$000)

		PRESENT	REVENUE	ADOPTED	
INE		REVENUE	CHANGES	REVENUE	LI
0.		(A)	(B)	(C)	NO
•••					
1					
2					
3	BASE RATE REVENUES:		_		
4	Authorized Margin (1/1/91)	\$1,568	\$0	\$1,568	
5	Modified Attrition	0.	58	58	
6		*****	********	********	
6 7	Authorized Margin	1,568	58	1,626	
8					
9	Sales Adjustment	(143)	143	0	
10		*****		********	1
11					1
12	Subtotal Base Rate Revenue	\$1,425	\$201	\$1,626	1
3		•		•	1
4	SRAM:				7
5	Balancing	(351)	0	(351)	1
16				******	1
7					1
18	TOTAL BASE RATE REVENUE	1,074	201	1,275	1
9	TOTAL DATE TOTAL TETRATE	.,	40.	.,	1
20	ECAC:				2
21	Offset	433	0	433	2
22	Balancing .	(20)	ŏ	(20)	2
23	botane ing	(20)			2
ယ 24	•	<b></b>		22	2
25	TOTAL FOR STEAM DEPARTMENT	\$1,487	\$201	\$1,688	ž

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPM/2

#### APPENDIX 8

## SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - STEAM DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## SUMMARY OF PRESENT AND ADOPTED REVENUE AND AVERAGE RATES BY SCHEDULE

				RATES		D RATES	INCRE		
NO-	DESCRIPTION	SALES (MLBS) (A)	REVENUE (\$) (B)	AVG. RATE (S/HLB) (C)	REVENUE (\$) (D)	AVG. RATE' (\$/MLB) (E)	(\$) (F)	(%) (G)	LINE NO.
****	************	*******		********		********	********		••••
7									1
2	SCHEDULE 1	35,327	1,153,070	32.640	1,309,074	37.056	156,004	13.5%	2
3	•					_			3
4	SCHEDULE 2	10,135	333,430	32.899	378,632	37.359	45,202	13.6%	4
5		•							5.
6					•				6
7	TOTAL	45,462	1,486,500	32.698	1,687,706	37.123	201,206	13.5%	7

A.91-03-001 ALJ/ECL CACD/PPM/2

## APPENDIX B SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - STEAM DEPARTMENT

Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

## PRESENT & ADOPTED RATES

					MODIFIED ATTRITION CHANGE		
LINE			PRESENT	ADOPTED			LINE
NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	RATE	RATE	AMOUNT	*	NO.
1	SCHEDULE 1						1
2	Customer Charge	\$/Mo-	30.00	30.00	0.000	0.00	2
3	Commodity Rate	S/MLb	32.538	36.954	4.416	13.57	3.
							4
							5.
	SCHEDULE 2						6
-		\$/Mo	30,30	30.30	0.000	0.00	7
8	Commodity Rate	\$/MLb	32.863	37.323	4.460	13.57	8
3 4 5 6 7	Commodity Rate  SCHEDULE 2 Customer Charge	S/MLb S/Mo	32.538	36.954	0.000	0.00	

# APPENDIX 8 SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - STEAM DEPARTMENT Forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

### REVENUE AT PRESENT RATES

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (8)	PRESENT RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	REVENUE (\$) (D)	TOTAL REVENUE (3) (E)	LINE NO.
						1
2	SCHEDULE 1					2
3	Customer Charge	120	30.00	3,600	3,600	3
4	Commodity Rate	35,327	32.538	1,149,470	1,149,470	4
5.		****		•		5
6						6
7	Subtotal		_		1,153,070	7
8						8
9						9
10	SCHEDULE 2	•				10
11	Customer Charge	12	30.30	364	364	11
12	Commodity Rate	10,135	32.863	333,067	333,067	12
13	•					13
14	Subtotal				333,430	14
15						15
16	TOTAL PRESENT STEAM REVENUE				1,486,500	16

## SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - STEAM DEPARTMENT forecast Period: January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992

### REVENUE AT ADOPTED RATES

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (A)	BILLING UNITS (B)	ADOPTED RATE (\$/UNIT) (C)	REVENUE (\$) (0)	TOTAL REVENUE (\$) (E)	LINE NO.
1						1
2	SCHEDULE 1					2
3	Customer Charge	120	30.00	3,600	3,600	3
4	Commodity Rate	35,327	36.954	1,305,474	1,305,474	4
5						5
6						6
7	Subtotal				1,309,074	7
8					****************	8
9						9
10	SCHEDULE 2					10
11	Customer Charge	12	30.30	364	364	11
12	Commodity Rate	10,135	37.323	378,269	378,269·	12
13						13
14	Subtotal				378,632	14
15						15
16	TOTAL ADOPTED STEAM REVENUE				1,687,706	16

[END OF APPENDIX B]

## APPENDIX C Page 1

		Program Year		
	1989	1990	1991	1992
	(NO	אמאעד אמ אמדו	לצאסג	
DSM Program Expense				
Authorized Program Expense Authority	\$13.5 D.88-09-063	\$35.6 [1]	\$36.1 [2]	\$44.8 million
Expense Found Reasonable Commission Decision	\$13.5 D,88-09-063	\$35.6 [1]	\$36.1 [2]	
Amount collected in Rates During period mo/yr — mo/yr	\$13.5 1/89 — 12/89	\$19.9 [3] 1/90 = 12/90	\$13.3 [4] 1/91 <b>–</b> 6/91	
Amount to be Refunded to Ratepayers During period molyr — molyr  DSM Reward/Penalty	\$0	<b>30</b>	750 [5]	
Reward/Penalty requested Under 1989 GRC roceeding where requested	\$5.5 AL799—E & AL730—G	\$14.2 A91-03-001	180 1993 GRC	
Approved/Stipulated amount Commission Decision/Application	\$5.5 R.E-3209 & R.G-2936	\$7.15 [6] A.91-03-001	1993 GAC	
Amount collected in Rates During period mo/yr — mo/yr	\$5.5 1/91 <b>–</b> 12/91	TBD 1/92 <b>–</b> 12/92	780 1/93 <b>–</b> 12/93	
Reward/Penalty requested Under Collaborative Process Proceeding where requested	N/A	\$2.1 A91-03-001	TBD [8]	
Approved/Stipulated Reward/Penalty Commission Decision/Application	N/A	\$2,1 [6] A,91-03-001	(e) 08T 08T	
Reward/Penalty recovered in Rates During period mo/yr — mo/yr	N/A	780 1/92 — 12/94	780 1/93 – 12/95	,
Roward/Penalty requested under Modified Att. Filing		<del></del>		•
Proceeding where requested				Cost of Capital proceed or annual DSM proceeding per OIR/OII 91-08-003
Approved/Stipulated Rew/Pen			,	\$9 million A.91-03-001
Rew/Pen recovered in Rates		ir		TBD 1/93-12/95

## Footnotes:

[1]	\$14.1 \$21.5 \$35.6	D.88-09-063 D.90-08-068	GRC plus Attrition Collaborative
[2]	\$14.6 \$21.5 \$36.1	D.88-09-063 D.90-08-068	GRC plus Attrition Collaborative
[3]	\$14.1 \$5.3 \$19.4		penses are covered by balancing accounts. nount spent in 1990 and charged to the
ta1	\$7.3	GBC Programs (	1/2 Year)

[4] \$7.3 GRC Programs (1/2 Year) \$6.0 Collaborative Programs \$13.3

\$6 Million for Collaborative programs is amount spent through June 30, 1991 and charged to balancing accounts.

- [5] Unspent 1991 GRC funds for programs will be refunded in 1993 rates.
  Unspent Collaborative funds will be returned through balancing accounts.
- [6] Per Settlement Agreement.
- [7] Per Settlement Agreement \$7.15 Million is the maximum that can be earned.
- [8] SDG&E will propose this to be included in 1993 GRC.
- [9] Per D.90-08-068, \$5 Million is the maximum that can be earned.