Mailed Jan 1 0 1772

Decision 92-01-011 January 10, 1992

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MARY A. HUDSON,

Complainant,

vs.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Dèfendant.

ORIGINAL

Casé 91-08-017 (Filed August 7, 1991)

OPINION

The complaint of Mary A. Hudson alleges that she has been overcharged by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E).

On October 10, 1991 the following letter was sent to complainant by the assigned administrative law judge:

- Your complaint against PG&E has been referred to me for the purpose of holding a hearing.
- *However, I am told that the telephone number you gave (916-695-1553) is no longer in service.
- "Please write to me and tell me how we can arrange a time and place for a hearing on your complaint. If I do not hear from you by October 24, 1991 I will assume that you do not wish to proceed with your complaint."

No response to the letter has been received, and defendant's counsel advises that complainant no longer resides at the address given in her complaint.

Pindings of Pact

- 1. Complainant filed a complaint alleging that she has been overcharged by PG&E.
- 2. Complainant has failed to respond to an administrative law judge's request for information on how to arrange for a hearing on the complaint.
- 3. Defendant advises that complainant no longer resides at the address given in her complaint.

Conclusion of Law

The complaint should be dismissed for failure to prosecute with reasonable diligence.

ORDBR

IT IS ORDERED that the complaint of Mary A. Hudson against Pacific Gas and Electric Company in Case 91-08-017 is dismissed for failure to prosecute with reasonable diligence, and the case is closed.

This order becomes effective 30 days from today. Dated January 10, 1992, at San Francisco, California.

DANIEL Wm. FESSLER
President
JOHN B. OHANIAN
PATRICIA M. ECKERT
NORMAN D. SHUMWAY
Commissioners

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY

Ó.

NEAL J. SHULMAN, Executive Director