Decision 92 03 002

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of U.S.West Cellular of) California, Inc. for rehearing of) Resolutions T-14607 and T-14608

Application of GTE Mobilnet of California and GTE Mobilnet of Santa)
Barbara for rehearing of Resolutions)
T-14607 and T-14608

Application of L.A. Cellular Telephone Company for rehearing of Resolutions T-14607 and T-14608

Application of McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc. for rehearing of Resolutions T-14607 and T-14608 Application No. 91-10-002 (Filed October 7, 1991)

Application No. 91-10-012 (Filed October 11, 1991)

Application No. 91-10-018 (Filed October 17, 1991)

Application No. 91-10-049 (Filed October 28, 1991)

ORDER CORRECTING MINISTERIAL ERROR

On February 20, 1992, in Decision (D.) 92-02-076, the Commission denied the applications of U. S. West Cellular of California, Inc. (USW), and GTE Mobilnet of California, GTE Mobilnet of Santa Barbara (collectively, GTE), LA Cellular Telephone Co. (LA Cellular), and McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc. (McCaw) for rehearing of Resolutions T-14607 and T-14608. Cellular Resellers' Association (CRA) had filed an opposition to the various applications and McCaw had moved to strike a portion of the opposition.

The Commission determined that McCaw's motion to strike was moot, and dismissed it. However, Ordering Paragraph 1 of D.92-02-076 used the word "denied" instead of "dismissed" in referring to this determination. In addition, Ordering Paragraph 8 of D.92-02-076 contains an error in syntax. This order will correct those errors. Under Resolution A-4661, IT IS ORDERED THAT D.92-02-076 is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. Ordering Paragraph 1 is hereby amended to read "McCaw's motion to strike portions of CRA's opposition to the applications for rehearing is dismissed as moot."
- 2. The first sentence of Ordering Paragraph 8 is hereby amended to read: "Any provider who believes that the public interest would be served if we allow promotions under temporary tariffs which exceed 10% of the average customer bill, but still amount to less than the \$100 nominal value limit may, within 30 days of the effective date of this order, file a motion for hearing of the question in I.88-11-040, serving all parties."

This order is effective today.

Dated MAK 02 1992, 1992, at San Francisco, California.

Neal U. Shulman Executive Director

1 CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY

NEAL J. SOULMAN, Executive Director