ALJ/J.,/bwg \*

Mailed

DEC\_17 1992

Decision 92-12-063 December 16, 1992

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U-338-E) for Authority to Increase its Authorized Level of Base Rate Révénue Under the Electric Revenue Adjustment Mechanism for Service Rendered Beginning January 1, 1992 and to Reflect this Increase in Rates.

And Related Matters.

I.89-12-025 (Filed December 18, 1989)

Application 90-12-018

(Filed December 7, 1990)

I.91-02-079 (Filed February 21, 1991)

> C.92-07-056 (Filed July 27; 1992)

(See Decision (D.) 91-12-076, D.92-06-020, and D.92-12-022 for appearances.)

# SIXTEENTH INTERIM OPINION: ATTRITION ADJUSTMENTS

# 1. Summary of Decision

Southérn California Edison Company (Edison) is ordered to reduce its attrition year 1993 révénue requirement by \$5,259,000. <u>2. Background</u>

Decision (D.) 91-12-076 is the Phase 1 order in Edison's test year 1992 general rate case. Phase 1 considered test year revenue requirement; productivity; marginal costs; research, development, and demonstration activities; demand-side management; attrition adjustments; and other issues. In D.92-08-042 the Commission resolved earlier petitions for modification of D.91-12-076 filed by Edison and the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA). In D.92-12-022 the Commission responded to three more

- 1 -

petitions for modification filed by Edison on May 29, September 15, and October 13, 1992.

On October 29, 1992 Edison filed another petition for modification, which is the subject of this decision. Edison seeks relief in five areas affecting 1993 and 1994 attrition adjustments. No party responded to the October 29 petition.

3. Deferred Taxes

The first of the five attrition items before us concerns deferred taxes. Edison requests that \$11,547,000 of deferred taxes be removed from the 1993 attrition calculation, resulting in a revenue requirement reduction of \$20,410,000. Edison claims that it inadvertently included the \$11,547,000 amount in the 1992 base deferred tax figure from which attrition adjustments are derived, but the 1992 base for test year purposes is correct. No adjustment to the test year revenue requirement calculation is necessary. The deferred taxes in question are associated with contributions in aid of construction.

Although Edison's pleading does not explain why the 1992 deferred tax base used for attrition purposes is different from the base used to develop the test year revenue requirement, we will accept Edison's request and reduce the 1993 revenue requirement.

We intend that attrition adjustments should be derived only by previously approved formulas applied to adopted test year costs. To the extent possible, attrition calculations should be "subject only to variations in the indexes chosen."<sup>1</sup> The mechanism does not generally allow for attrition year line item adjustments anticipated by utilities. For example, in D.92-12-076 we explicitly rejected attrition year rate base increases for

1 D.93892; 7 Cal. PUC 2d 584, 611 (1981).

- 2 -

incremental capital cost items.<sup>2</sup> That rejection was not due to imprudence of the costs, but was ordered because such items are beyond the scope of the attrition mechanism. We accept the present deferred tax adjustment because, according to Edison, it is a change to the "base 1992 deferred tax figure," not a line item adjustment for anticipated 1993 tax adjustments. 4. Book Depreciation Expense

The second item concerns book depreciation expense used to determine the 1993 attrition revenue requirement. Edison requests that \$6,479,000 be removed from 1993 state tax depreciation, resulting in a revenue requirement increase of \$3,704,000. Edison asserts that it "inadvertently included both the tax and book depreciation of its fuel oil transportation system ("FOTS") in its calculations of the 1993 changes in its state and federal tax depreciation expense."

The \$6,479,000 charge appears in the test year 1992 tax adjustment tables adopted in D.91-12-076 and D.92-08-042.<sup>3</sup> Edison now seeks to remove this line item for purposes of calculating attrition year 1993 revenue requirement.

We will grant Edison's request, consistent with our approval of the adjustment concerning deferred taxes. The Commission Advisory and Compliance Division (CACD) informs us that despite Edison's characterization of the adjustment as one of its 1993 changes, the requested change is not a line item adjustment within the 1993 calculations. Had that been true, Edison's request would be beyond the scope of the attrition mechanism.

Edison's deferred tax and book depreciation requests point out a general problem with Edison's attrition tables. The

- 3 -

<sup>2</sup> Ordering Paragraph 11, at mimeo. p. 220.

<sup>3</sup> Appendix D and Appendix D - Revised, Page 17 of 33.

attrition calculations adopted in Appendix E to D.91-12-076 and Appendix E - Revised to D.92-08-042 are interpolations of the positions of Edison and DRA presented during Phase 1. The Commission has not been able to independently inspect the calculations within Edison's and DRA's tables. It is possible that Edison's and DRA's calculations both make line item adjustments to attrition year tax deduction items. Therefore, the adopted interpolations might also include line item adjustments, contrary to our intentions. It is too late to resolve the issue in this proceeding, but in its test year 1995 general rate case Edison should show explicitly the adjustments and calculations made in its pro forma attrition tables. In this way the Commission can review all of Edison's attrition calculations, not only those individual calculations that find their way into petitions for modification. 5. Updating of Income Tax Deductions

The third of Edison's five attrition requests concerns Federal income tax deductions. In D.89-11-058 the Commission adopted "flow-through accounting" of California Corporate Franchise Tax (CCFT), or state income tax. Under this ratemaking procedure, prior year CCFT is used as a deduction to determine current year Federal income tax expense. Edison used the flow-through method to calculate its test year 1992 income tax expense in this proceeding, but the adopted 1992 CCFT is not included in calculation of the approved 1993 attrition year income tax expense. According to Edison, updating the CCFT figures would increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$5,896,000 and reduce 1994 revenue requirement by \$3,163,000.

We agree with Edison's interpretation of D.89-11-058, and we will authorize the attendant revenue requirement changes. As D.89-11-058 states:<sup>4</sup>

4 33 Cal. PUC 2d 495, Conclusion of Law 2 at 506 (1989).

- 4 -

"The utilities may restate their adopted test year and attrition year summaries of earnings for 1987 onwards in all cases where the Commission has adopted the prior years CCFT amount in a Commission decision."

CACD calculates the 1993 revenue requirement increase to be \$5,837,000, slightly lower than Edison's requested amount. For test year 1992, the adopted jurisdictional CCFT amount to be used in attrition year 1993 is \$100,434,000.<sup>5</sup> For attrition year 1993, the adopted jurisdictional CCFT amount to be used in attrition year 1994 is \$104,299,000.<sup>6</sup>

# 6. Escalation of "Other" Expenses

Edison's fourth request is that \$164,269,000 of "Other" expenses be made subject to labor or nonlabor escalation in attrition years 1993 and 1994. "Other" expenses are those items identified as separate from labor and nonlabor categories in results of operation calculations. "Other" expenses are not normally subject to attrition escalation, but Edison claims the Commission regularly authorizes such escalation. According to Edison, exclusion of \$164,269,000 from attrition escalation in D.91-12-076 and D.92-08-042 was an error and was contrary to established ratemaking practice. The resulting revenue requirement impacts would be a \$5,478,000 increase in 1993 and a \$5,972,000 increase in 1994.

Edison is correct that the Commission has approved escalation of some "Other" expenses in previous attrition decisions and resolutions, but prior to D.91-12-076 those approvals were in part inadvertent. The Commission has never granted utilities the authority to move expenses from "Other" to labor or nonlabor

- 5 CACD work papers for D.92-08-042, Appendix D Revised.
- 6 CACD work papers for this decision.

- 5 -

categories at their own discretion. "Other" expenses are so categorized for two principal reasons: (1) they are specifically exempted from escalation because no escalation is justified, or (2) test year amounts are constructed in test year dollars without escalation from a base year. Costs of the second type may be escalated in attrition years only if escalation is justified.

The \$164,269,000 of "Other" expenses for which Edison requests escalation represents about 55% of all "Other" expenses adopted in D.91-12-076 and D.92-08-042. The amount has seven elements: (1) \$70,676,000 of health care expenses, (2) \$56,375,000 of pensions and benefits expenses, (3) \$14,300,000 of property insurance expenses, (4) \$8,760,000 of injuries and damages expenses, (5) \$841,000 of miscellaneous administrative and general (A&G) expenses, (6) \$2,037,000 of rent expenses, and (7) \$11,280,000 of Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) fees. We will deal with these items in turn.

Edison asserts that "D.91-12-076 itself provides that Edison's health care expenses should be subject to escalation....<sup>7</sup> Edison mischaracterizes the decision. In D.91-12-076 we denied a separate escalation factor for health care costs, but nowhere did we imply that "Other" health care costs should be escalated. Labor and nonlabor health care costs were included in attrition calculations, but "Other" health care costs were not escalated, consistent with authorized attrition practice. Edison now requests--for the first time in this proceeding--that its "Other" health care costs be escalated during the attrition years.

We will allow Edison to apply nonlabor escalation to its "Other" health care costs, not because D.91-12-076 is in error--it

- 6 -

<sup>7</sup> October 29, 1992 petition for modification, pp. 14-15, citing D.92-12-076, at mimeo. p. 122 and p. 201.

is not--but because Edison's instant request is supported by the evidentiary record.<sup>8</sup> Our decision is also consistent with a similar, concurrent authorization in the test year 1993 general rate case of Pacific Gas and Electric Company.<sup>9</sup> The 1993 health care escalation revenue requirement for Edison is \$2,360,000, calculated using 3.31% nonlabor escalation and adopted test year franchise fee, uncollectible, and jurisdictional factors.<sup>10</sup>

We will allow labor escalation for "Other" pensions and benefits expenses, consistent with D.82-12-055.<sup>11</sup> The 1993 revenue requirement is \$1,876,000, calculated using 3.30% labor escalation and adopted test year franchise fee, uncollectible, and jurisdictional factors.<sup>12</sup>

We will also allow nonlabor escalation for "Other" property insurance expenses, consistent with D.82-12-055.<sup>13</sup> The 1993 revenue requirement is \$477,000, calculated using 3.31% nonlabor escalation and adopted test year franchise fee, uncollectible, and jurisdictional factors.<sup>14</sup>

We deny Edison's request for attrition escalation of "Other" injuries and damages expenses. Edison cites many previous Commission decisions in support of its petition for

- 8 Exhibit 11, Chapter 10, Part IIB.
- 9 D.92-12-057, in A. 91-11-036 et al., Finding of Fact 148.
- 10  $\$70,676,000 \times 0.0331 \times 1.0101 \times 0.99854 = \$2,360,000$ .
- 11 10 Cal. PUC 2d 155, 278 (1982).
- 12  $$56,375,000 \times 0.0330 \times 1.0101 \times 0.99854 = $1,876,000.$
- 13 10 Cal. PUC 2d 155, 275 and 332 (1982).
- 14  $\$14,300,000 \times 0.0331 \times 1.0101 \times 0.99854 = \$477,000.$

- 7 -

modification,<sup>15</sup> but none of the decisions specifically authorizes attrition escalation of injuries and damages expenses. Edison has not justified escalation of the "Other" component of these costs. We deny attrition escalation of "Other" miscellaneous A&G expenses and "Other" rent expenses for the same reasons. Edison cites a Southern California Gas Company decision<sup>16</sup> in support of its rent expense request, but attrition year authorization therein was due to special circumstances surrounding rental of office space from the utility's holding company.

We will reluctantly approve Edison's request for nonlabor attrition escalation of NRC fees. Prévious Commission decisions on the issue are in conflict. In D.85-12-076 (and earlier) the Commission concluded that attrition year costs due to governmentmandated programs should not be allowed special treatment, but should be offset by productivity gains.<sup>17</sup> On the other hand, in Edison's last general rate case the Commission concluded that Edison should be allowed to reflect NRC fees in its attrition filings.<sup>18</sup> The latter conclusion endorsed an agreement between Edison and DRA. In this proceeding Edison and DRA have struck a similar agreement.<sup>19</sup> The revenue requirement for 1993 escalation of NRC fees is \$376,000, calculated using 3.31% nonlabor escalation

15 See footnote 25 at p. 14 of the petition for modification.

16 D.90-01-016; 35 Cal. PUC 2d 80, 128 and 156-157 (1990).

17 19 Cal. PUC 2d 453, 466 (1985).

18 D.87-12-066; 26 Cal. PUC 2d 392, 413 and Conclusion of Law 12 at 607 (1987).

19 DRA testimony in Exhibit 205, p. 4B-15 and p. 4B-24.

- 8 -

and adopted test year franchise fee, uncollectible, and jurisdictional factors. 20

The total of "Other" test year expenses to be included in 1993 attrition calculations is \$152,631,000. The associated 1993 revenue requirement increase is \$5,089,000. Edison may include similar calculations in its 1994 attrition request, but in its next general rate case Edison must rejustify all attrition year escalation of "Other" expenses.

# 7. WMBE Expenses

In D.89-08-026<sup>21</sup> the Commission ordered that expenses necessary to manage Edison's Women and Minority Business Enterprises (WNBE) program should be considered in annual generic proceedings. In D.91-12-076 in this proceeding the Commission authorized \$623,000 of test year WMBE costs<sup>22</sup> but specifically excluded attrition year recovery, anticipating review of 1993 costs in the generic WMBE proceeding. In D.92-08-042 the Commission modified rate recovery of WMBE expenses. The \$623,000 in costs continues to be collected in rates but is subject to refund. Additional expenses to fund a WMBE clearinghouse are not yet recovered in rates but are booked into a memorandum account, pending reasonableness review.

Edison's fifth attrition request is that the present ratemaking scheme be continued through 1993 because the generic proceeding will not address 1993 costs by the beginning of the year. Edison also seeks 1993 escalation of the presently authorized amount.

- 20 \$11,280,000 x 0.0331 x 1.0101 x 0.99717 = \$376,000.
- 21 Ordering Paragraph 1, at miméo. p. 19.

22 \$556,000 in 1988 base year dollars, escalated to 1992 dollars.

- 9 -

We will grant the request, noting that none of the presently authorized amounts are "Other" expenses. The 1993 revenue requirement for WMBE expenses is \$521,000, as calculated by Edison. This amount is less that total costs because a portion is capitalized.

# 8. Adopted 1993 Révenue Requirement

In response to Edison's October 29, 1992 petition for modification of D.91-12-076 and D.92-08-042, we will adopt the following adjustments to the 1993 revenue requirement:

#### Summary of Adopted 1993 Attrition Adjustments

Réquest	Amount
Deferred taxes	\$ (20,410,000)
Book depreciátion éxpense	3,704,000
CCFT update	5,837,000
"Other" escalation	5,089,000
WMBE expenses	521,000
Total	\$ (5,259,000)

The revised attrition tables attached to this decision reflect the above amounts. However, the bottom line 1993 revenue requirement in the tables is not exactly \$5,259,000 lower than the amount in the tables adopted in D.92-08-042 because the previous tables inadvertently included the WMBE adjustment in advance of its approval.

These figures may be updated to reflect adopted 1993 labor and nonlabor escalation rates, in accordance with conventional attrition practice. When Edison makes its compliance advice filing following the Commission resolution authorizing 1993 attrition revisions, Edison should include work papers showing the escalation factor updates.

- 10 -

# Findings of Pact

1. On October 29, 1992 Edison filed a petition for modification of D.91-12-076 and D.92-08-042, seeking five adjustments to its 1993 and 1994 attrition revenue requirements.

2. No party has protested or responded to the petition.

3. Edison's request to reduce 1993 revenue requirement by \$20,410,000 to correct the inadvertent inclusion of certain deferred taxes in the 1992 base deferred tax figure from which attrition adjustments are derived is reasonable and should be approved.

4. Attrition adjustments should be derived only by previously approved formulas applied to adopted test year costs.

5. The attrition mechanism does not generally allow for attrition year line item adjustments.

6. Edison's request to increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$3,704,000 to correct the inadvertent inclusion of certain book depreciation expenses in 1993 attrition calculations is reasonable and should be approved.

7. It is too late to review all attrition year adjustments made by Edison and DRA in their Phase 1 testimony in this proceeding, but in its test year 1995 general rate case Edison should show explicitly the adjustments and calculations made in its pro forma attrition tables.

8. Updating of 1992 and 1993 CCFT amounts in Edison's 1993 and 1994 attrition calculations is reasonable and should be approved.

9. A 1993 revenue requirement increase of \$5,837,000 to update the CCFT income tax deduction is reasonable and should be approved.

10. "Other" expenses are so categorized for two principal reasons: (1) they are specifically exempted from escalation because no escalation is justified, or (2) test year amounts are constructed in test year dollars without escalation from a base

- 11 -

year. Costs of the second type may be escalated in attrition years only if escalation is justified.

11. The Phase 1 evidentiary record supports nonlabor escalation of "Other" health care costs.

12. Edison's request to increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$2,360,000 to escalate "Other" health care costs is reasonable and should be approved.

13. Edison's request to increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$1,876,000 to escalate "Other" pensions and benefits expenses is reasonable and should be approved.

14. Edison's request to increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$477,000 to escalate "Other" property insurance expenses is reasonable and should be approved.

15. Edison has not justified its requests to increase 1993 revenue requirement to escalate "Other" injuries and damages expenses, "Other" miscellaneous A&G expenses, and "Other" rent expenses. The requests should be denied.

16. Attrition year costs for government-mandated programs should be offset by productivity gains.

17. Edison and DRA agree that NRC fees may be escalated in attrition years 1993 and 1994.

18. It is not reasonable to allow attrition year revenue requirement increases due to specific NRC fee increases, but it is reasonable to apply nonlabor escalation to Edison's NRC fees in 1993 and 1994.

19. Edison's request to increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$376,000 to escalate NRC fèes is reasonable and should be approved.

20. Edison's request to increase 1993 revenue requirement by \$521,000, subject to refund, to extend rate recovery of WMBE expenses through 1993 is reasonable and should be approved.

21. The 1993 revenue requirément changes approved in this decision should be updated to reflect 1993 labor and nonlabor

- 12 -

escalation rates adopted in response to Edison's 1993 attrition advice filing.

Conclusions of Law

1. Updating 1993 attrition calculations to include 1992 CCFT amounts as a 1993 Federal income tax deduction complies with D.89-11-058.

2. The Commission has never granted utilities the authority to move expenses from "Other" to labor or nonlabor categories at their own discretion.

3. D.91-12-076 does not authorize attrition year escalation of "Other" health caré costs.

4. Attrition year escalation of "Other" pensions and benefits expenses was authorized in D.82-12-055.

5. Attrition year escalation of "Other" property insurance expenses was authorized in D.82-12-055.

6. The Commission has not explicitly approved attrition year escalation of Edison's "Other" injuries and damages expenses, "Other" miscellaneous A&G expenses, or "Other" rent expenses.

7. Edison should be ordered to rejustify all attrition year escalation of "Other" expenses in its test year 1995 general rate case.

8. Edison should be authorized to make attrition year 1994 revenue requirement adjustments consistent with the 1993 adjustments authorized in this decision.

9. Edison should be ordered to reduce its 1993 revenue requirement by \$5,259,000, as set forth in this decision.

10. This decision should become effective today, so that revenue requirement changes can become effective January 1, 1993.

# SIXTEENTH INTERIM ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Southern California Edison Company (Edison) shall, on or before December 23, 1992, file with this Commission revised tariff sheets which reduce its Authorized Level of Base Rate Revenue by \$5,259,000, as set forth in this decision.

2. The revised tariff sheets shall become effective January 1, 1993 and shall comply with General Order 96-A. The revised tariffs shall apply to service rendered on or after their effective date.

3. Edison shall incorporate the revised level of Authorized Level of Base Rate Revenue into customer rates ordered in Application 92-05-047.

4. The estimated 1993 and 1994 attrition révenue requirements set forth in Appendix E - Second Révision, attached to this decision, are adopted. Appendix E to Decision (D.) 91-12-076and Appendix E - Revised to D.92-08-042 are rescinded.

5. During 1993 Edison may recover in rates a revenue requirement of \$521,000 associated with \$644,000 of expenses to manage its Women and Minority Business Enterprise program. The revenue requirement amount is included in the \$5,259,000 reduction ordered above. All associated revenues collected during 1993 shall be subject to refund, as ordered in D.92-08-042.

6. Edison shall update the 1993 revenue requirement changes approved in this decision to reflect 1993 labor and nonlabor escalation rates adopted in response to Edison's 1993 attrition advice filing.

7. In its test year 1995 general rate case Edison shall rejustify all attrition year escalation of "Other" expenses, and shall show explicitly the adjustments and calculations made in its pro forma attrition tables.

- 14 -

8. Edison is authorized to make attrition year 1994 revenue requirement adjustments consistent with the 1993 adjustments authorized in this decision.

9. A 1992 jurisdictional California Corporate Franchise Tax amount of \$100,434,000 is adopted for 1993 attrition purposes.

10. A 1993 jurisdictional California Corporate Franchise Tax amount of \$104,299,000 is adopted for 1994 attrition purposes.

11. Except as ordered herein, Edison's October 29, 1992 petition for modification of D.91-12-076 and D.92-08-042 is denied.

This order is effective today.

Dated December 16, 1992, at San Francisco, California.

DANIEL Wm. PESSLER President JOHN B. OHANIAN PATRICIA M. ECKERT NORMAN D. SHUMWAY Commissioners

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY

IAN. Exoculive Directo

- 15 -

# A.90-12-018 et al. CON/PHE \* CACD/sci/11 APPENDIX E - SÉCOND REVISION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

# ATTRITION REVENUE REQUIREMENT ESTIMATES

Ó só é

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	4 4 <b>-</b>
Attrition Revenue Requirement Estimates	1
Summary of Attrition Incremental Revenue Requirements	Ż
Esceletion Rates for Attrition Years	3
CPUC Jurisdictional Factors for Attrition Years	4
Attrition Incremental Out Expenses	\$
Attrition incremental Capital Related Revenue Requirements:	
Taxes Other Than On Income	7
Taxes On Income	
Depreciation Expense	
Rate Base	10

A.90-12-018 et al. COM/PHE # CACD/scl/11

ð

# APPENDIX E + SECOND REVISION

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

#### ATTRITION REVENUE REQUIREMENT ESTIMATES • CPUC Jurisdiction (Thousands of Dollars)

	GRĆ	Increat.		Indremt.	•
	Adopted	Attrition	Attrition	Attrition	Attrition
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1992	1993	1993	1994	1994
Description			*******	********	*******
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••				
Operating Revenues	\$4,002,104	\$98,403	\$4,100,506	\$124,444	\$4,224,950
Ópérating Éxpenses					
Production	512,475	1,184	513,658	34,400	548,058
Transmissión	77,332	2,269	79,601	2,544	82,145
Distribution	179,863	5,943	185,805	6,640	192,445
Éustamer Accounts	120,785	3,506	124,291	3,969	128,260
Uncollectibles	8,324	205	8,529	259	8,788
Cust. Serv. & Inform.	153,467	3,328	156,795	3,606	160,401
Administrative É Gen.	411,625	12,830	424,456	16,175	438,631
Franchise Regulrements	31,525	775	32,300	980	33,280
	1,808	36	1.844	81	1,925
Sales Tax Increase		Ŏ	0	0	Ó
Compensation Adjustment Cost Containment	ŏ	ŏ	Ō	0	. 0
Revenue Credits	(107,628)	Ŏ	(107,628)	Ó	(107,628)
Refere creates			*******		*********
Subtotal	\$1,389,576	\$30,075	\$1,419,652	\$66,654	\$1,486,305
Autoritan (frail Harl Decome )	650,894	39,042	689,936	29,339	719,275
Depreciation (Excl. Nucl. Decomm.)	\$6,209	(1,254)	94,955	0	94,955
Nuclear Decomm. Exp. Taxes Other Than On Income	199,463	2,419	201,882	1,508	203,390
Taxes On Income	498,585	18,180	516,766	12,692	529,458
Filtes on Income				-	
	*********	*********		*********	*********
Total Operating Expenses	\$2,834,728	\$88,462	\$2,923,190	\$110,193	\$3,033,383
ana di castan taiàna	\$1,167,376	\$9,940	\$1,177,316	\$14,251	\$1,191,567
Net Operating Income	Å.	0	Ó	0	
DSH Incentive			**********		********
Total Net Oper. Rev.	\$1,167,376	\$9,940	\$1,177,316	\$14,251	\$1,193,567
Rate Base	\$11,023,374	\$93,865	\$11,117,238	\$134,570	\$11,251,808
Rate of Return	10.59%		10.59%	I.	10,59%

۰. -

2.4

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

# SUMMARY OF ATTRITION INCREMENTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS + CPUC Jurisdiction (Thousands of Dollars)

	Incremt. Attrition 1993	Incremt, Attrition 1994
Description	*******	
Óperating Expenses		
Cheratina evenaes		
ÖLN Éxperises		
Labor	\$22,046	\$25,534
Nonlabor & Other	22,520	23,758
SONGS Refueling	(15,507)	16,042
Sales Tax Increase	- 30	74
Revenue Credits	. 0	0
		کتخبیکی ساداد در د
Subtotel	\$29,089	\$65,407
Franchise Fees and Uncoll.	\$293	\$658
Total Expenses	\$29,382	\$66,065
Capital Related 1/	•	÷ .
Depreciation (Excl. Mucl. Decomm.)	\$69,106	\$51,931
Nucléar Décomm. Éxp.	(2,220)	
Ad Valorem Tax	2,444	1,523
Income Taxes	(14,828)	(15,892)
Rate Base	14,520	20,816
Total Cap. Related	\$69,021	\$58,378
torer out Kereica		
• :		

Total Attrition Incremental Rev. Regt.

\$98,403

\$124,444

1/ Incl. Franchise Fees, Uncollectibles and Income Taxes.

#### A.90-12-018 et el. COV/PHE • CACD/scl/11

22

Ş

#### APPENDIX E + SECOND REVISION

Page 3 of 10

#### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISÓN COMPANY

#### ESCALATION RATES FOR ATTRITION YEARS

÷

. ·

	Lab	òr	Koni	abor
Year	Rate	Index	Rate	Index
Adopted I	scalation Re	tes for Test Y	ear 1993 1/	
1988	•	100.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100.00
1989	3.43%	102.65	4.08%	103.30
1990	3.47%	105.42	4.16X	106.78
1991	3,90%	108.71	3,16%	109.32
1992	4.16X	112.37	2.91%	111.65

1992	•	100.00	•	100.00
1993 1994	3,30X 3,70X	103.30	3.31X 3.38X	103.31
1334	3.104	141416	3,344	100.00

1/ As shown in Appendix C, Page 1 of 1. Indeclés include cost containment adjustment.

2/ Estimates from Exhibit 174. Actual escalation rates for Attrition Year 1993 & 1994 should be updated in Edison's Attrition filings. - -

Š.,

Page 4 of 10

1.2

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CONPANY

CPUC JURISDICTIONAL FACTORS FOR ATTRITION YEARS

	Attrition
Description	1993 41994 1/
	*********
Operating Expenses	
Production	\$9.717X
Transmission	99.891X
Distribution	99.935X
Customer Accounts	99.982%
Cust, Serv. 1 Inform.	100.000%
Administrative & General	99,854X
Sales Tax Increase	•
Compensation Adjustment	* · · · · ·
Cost Contairment	
Revenue Credits	99.9221
Depréciation	99.880%
Taxes Other Than On Income	99.862%
Taxes On Income	99.862%
State	99.862%
federal	99.862%
Rate Base	99.870X

1/ Test Year values from Appendix D, Page 32. Edison may revise the CPUC jurisdictional factors in its attrition fillings as authorized in D. 85-12-076. ð

۰.

÷.,

· . . . . .

ŧ.

÷,

Σ.

# APPENDIX E . SECOND REVISION

#### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CONPANY

# ATTRITION INCREMENTAL OWN EXPENSES COUC Jurisdiction (Thousands of Dollars)

.-

s si

		(Incomes d				
			. •			
	· · · ·	Transfer		. •		
	600	of Other	Total for	1. A.	Increat,	thérent.
	GRĆ	to Labor/		CPUC JUEL	Attrition	Attrition
	Adopted	Non-Labor	Purpose	1992	1993	1994
Déséription	1992	S HON-LHOOT	rupuse			
*******************						
Operating Expenses	. "			14 <b></b>		
PRODUCTION				99.717X	AT 11	40 111
Labor	\$220,763	50 SO	\$220,763	\$220,139	\$7,265	\$8,414 9,944
Nonlabor	274,305	11,280	285,585	284,778	9,426	<b>7,744</b>
Other	18,859	(11,280)	7,579	7,558	A14 401	\$18,358
Total	\$513,927	\$0	\$513,927	\$512,475	\$16,691	\$10,550
Number of Refueling Outages (SONG	s) 2	•	•		1 (Unit 2) (	2 Unit 1 4 3)
	(Unit 1 4 3)					
Refueling Outage for SONGS	7 444			3, 155	104	225
Labór	3,164 11,889			11,855	392	806
Nonlabor				\$15,010	\$497	\$1,031
Total	\$15,053					•
					(3,259)	3,380
Total Production Adjustment					(12,248)	12,662
Lebor				-	(\$15,507)	\$16,042
Nonlabór					-	
Totel				· .		
TOTAL PRODUCTION	•	*				
	:				4,005	11,794
Labor Nonlabor		1 1 1 L			(2,822)	22,606
Other	•	-				0
Tótal					\$1,184	\$34,400
IOLAL	•					
		•				
TRANSMISSION		-		\$9.891X	·	
Labor	44,256	0	44,256	44,208	1,459	1,690
Kontaboř	24,498	0	24,498	24,471	810	855
Óther	8,663	Č (	8,663	8,654	0	0
Total	\$77,417	\$Ô	\$77,417	\$77,332	\$2,269	\$2,544
lotat			-	**		
DISTRIBUTION		•		99.935%	7 660	4,157
Labor	108,843	· • • •	108,843	108,772	3,589	
Wonlabor	71,137	0	71,137	71,091	2,353	2,482
Other	. 0	0			er 6/7	\$6,640
Total	\$179,979	\$0	\$179,979	\$179,863	\$5,943	90104A
CUSTONER ACCOUNTS			•	99.982%		
	79,376	0	79,376	79,362	2,619	3,033
Labor Nanlabór	26,798	ŏ	26,798	26,793	<b>88</b> 7	936
Other (Less Uncoll.)	14,633	ŏ	14,633	14,630	0	
Total	\$120,807	\$Ŏ	\$120,807	\$120,785	\$3,506	\$3,969
	-			100.000%		
CUSTOMER SERV. & INFORM.	AT ALA	0	27,869	27,869	<u> 920</u>	1,065
Labor	27,869	ŏ	72,769	72,769	2,409	2,541
Nontabór	72,769		52,829	52,829	0	0
Other	52,829	. U \$0	\$153,467	\$153,467	\$3,328	\$3,606
Total	\$153,467	9V	41731401	41231441		
(Incl. DSH Amort. Depr.)	\$12,606				\$0	\$0
ADHINISTRATIVE & GÊNÊRÂL				99.854X	6,195	7,175
Labor	131,617	56,375	187,992	187,717	لاتل کا متل ک	7,000
Nonlabor	115,788	84,976	200,764	200,470	6,636 0	· · · · · ·
Other (Less Franchise Fees)	164,824	(141,351)	23,473	23,439	\$12,830	\$14,175
Totel	\$412,229	\$0	\$412,229	\$411,625	+16 <sup>3</sup> 034	

100

.

н.

14

# APPENDIX & + SECOND REVISION

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY Test Year 1992 ۰. .

# na da je ATTRITION INCREMENTAL OWN EXPENSES (Cont.) • COUC Jurisdiction (Thousands of Pollars) ε.

·•						2.5 		
D <del>e</del> śćr i pt i ór		•	CRC Adopte 1992		Total for Attrition Purpose	CPUC Juri. 1992	Incremt. Attrition 1993	Increat. Attrition 1994
Operating Ex (Unadjusted) Total Labor Total Monial Total Other Total Operation			612,723 585,295 259,808 \$1,457,826	56,375 96,256 (152,631) \$0	669,098 681,551 107,177 \$1,457,826	668,066 680,372 107,109 \$1,455,547	22,046 22,520 0 \$44,566	25,534 23,758 0 \$49,292
SONGS Refuel Labor Nonlabor Total	ling		•	•			(3,259) (12,248) (\$15,507)	3,380 12,662 \$16,042

ł

Labor Non Labor Total

۰.

# APPENDIX E + SECOND REVISION

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

# ATTRITION INCREMENTAL CAPITAL RELATED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - TAXES OTHER THAN ON INCOME (Thousands of Dollars)

	GRĆ Adopted	Incremt, Attrition	incremt. Attrition
Description	1992 	<b>. 1993</b>	1994
TAXES OTHER THAN ON INCOME	(12/91)	(12/92)	(12/93)
Ad Yalorem Taxes: Plant in Service Depreciation Resv.	\$17,976,888 (5,773,616)	\$680,902 (588,743)	\$730,621 (557,507)
Net Charge in BOLD Unitary Property	12,203,272	92,159	173,114
Assessed Value of Unitary Property-X of Hold	105.4124		
Assessed Value of Unitary Property Tax Rate	1.080%	97,147 1,049	182,483 1,971
50% of 1 Previous Year Current Year		1,898 525	525 985
Total Incr./(Decr.) In Ad Valorem Taxes		\$2,423	\$1,510
CPUC Jurisdiction Franchise Feés & Uncollectibles	99.862X 0.9957X	\$2,419 24	\$1,508 15
Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.		\$2,444	\$1,523

# A.90-12-018 et al. COM/PHE \* CACD/scl/11 .

. 2

341

-

.

à

-

#### APPENDIX E + SECOND REVISED

Ĵ,

: ÷

਼

2.5

.

31

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

K/PNE

ź

#### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

# ATTRITION INCRÉMENTAL CAPITAL RELATED REVENUE RÉGUIREMENTS + TAXES ON INCOME (Thousands of Dollars)

	GRC	Increat.	Incrent.
	Adopted 1992	Attrition 1993	Attrition 1994
Description	1772 42422244444	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •
TAXES ON INCOME			
*****		e11 117	\$15,237
State Tax Depreciation2	0.1997X	\$16,467 (33)	(30)
Incr./(Decr.) In SIT (AZ, & MM Only) Incr./(Decr.) In FIT	34%	11	10
Incr./(Decr.) in SIT (CA Only)	8,7251%	(1,437)	(1,329)
Total Incr./(Decr.) in Income Taxes		(1,458)	(1,350)
Net-To-Gross Multiplier	1.7700		
Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.		(2,582)	(2,389)
CPUC Jurisdiction Factor	99 <b>.8</b> 62%	(\$2,578)	(\$2,385)
Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Regt.		(\$2,578)	(*2,30)/
		(\$69,438)	\$5,513
Federal Tax Depreciation:	34%	23,609	(1,874)
Incr./(Decr.) in fit			
Net-To-Gross Multiplier	1.7700		
Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	99.862%	41,789	(3,318)
CPUC Jurisdiction Factor Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Regt.	¥7.002A	\$41,731	(\$3,313)
TOTAL INCLUSION IN REAL REALS			
Flow-Thru, of Prior Year's CCFT - CPUC Jurisdicti	~ •	(\$9,798)	\$3,864
Incr./(Decr.) in fit	34%	3,331	(1,314)
Incr. Auecra in Fri		•	- •
Net-To-Gross Multiplier	1.7700		
Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Regt.	N / 4	5,8%	(2,325)
CPUC Jurisdiction factor Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Regt.	¥/A	\$5,896	(\$2,325)
10181 Incri/(Decri) In Key, Keder			
And the man and the first of the	-	(33,989)	(4,438)
Income Tax Deferred: Net-To-Gross Multiplier	1,7700	(	••••
Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Regt.		(60,161)	(7,856)
CPUC Jurisdiction	99,862%		107 0165
Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.		(\$60,078)	(\$7,845)
		\$214	\$57
Investment Tax Credit - Deferred:	1.7700	<b>PE 17</b>	<b>~</b> ~;
Net-To-Gross Multiplier Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.		379	101 .
CPUC Jurisdiction factor	99,862%		****
Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.		\$378	\$101
		<u></u>	
Avg. Accurd. Deferred ITC As A Reduction		(\$26.205)	(\$18,286)
To Rate Sase for CCFT Interest Ded.: Weighted Cost for Long Term Debt	4.31%	·····	*********
Incr./(Decr.) in CCFT Interest		1,133	788
	0,1997%	(2)	(Ż)
incr./(Decr.) in SIT (A2, & ME Only)	0.1997%	1	1
Incr./(Decr.) In FIT Incr./(Decr.) In SIT (CA Only)	8,7251X	(99)	(69)
		(100)	(70)
Total Incr./(Decr.) In Income Taxes		(1007	
Net-To-Gross Hultiplier	1.7700		
Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	00 4439	(178)	(124)
CPUC Jurisdiction Factor	99.662X	(\$177)	(\$123)
Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.			
	• • • •	1616 8281	(\$15.892)

Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt. - CPUC Jurisdiction

(\$14,828) (\$15,892) SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

1. L.

# ATTRITION INCREMENTAL CAPITAL RELATED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE (Thousands of Dollars)

Descr [pt ]on	GRČ Adopted 1992	Incremt. Attrition 1993	Incremt. Attrition 1994
DÉPRÉCIATION EXPENSE Depréciation Expense:		\$39,089	\$29,374
CPUC Jurisdiction Net-To-Gross Multiplier Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	99.880X 1.7700	39,042 \$69,106	29,339 \$51,931
Decomissioning Expense:		(1,256)	Ó
CPUC Jurisdiction Net-To-Gross Multiplier Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	99.880% 1.7700	(1,254) (\$2,220)	0 \$0

Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Regt. - CPUC Jurisdiction

\$51,931 \$66,885 · ,

2

an in the

ì.

.

5

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

•

# ATTRITION INCREMENTAL CAPITAL RELATED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - RATE BASE (Thousands of Dollars)

Description	GRC Adopted 1992	Incremt. Attrition 1993	Increat. Attrition 1994
PLANT IN SERVICE - WTD. AVG.	\$18,301,925	\$718,601	\$766,155
Rate of Return Net-To-Gross Multiplier Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt. CPUC Jurisdiction Factor Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	10.59%	76,100 111,159 \$111,014	81,136 118,515 \$118,361
	1.4607 99.870%		
DEFERRED DEBIT ACCOUNTS Rate of Return	\$69,602 10.59%	\$3,783 401	\$958 101
Net-To-Gross Multiplier Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt. CPUC Jurisdiction Factor Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	1.4607 99.870x	585 \$584	148 \$148
DÉPRÉCIATION RÉSÉRVÉ Rate of Return Net-To-Gross Multiplier Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt. CPUC Jurisdiction Factor Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	10.592 1.4607 99.8702	(\$545,992) (57,821) (84,459) (\$84,348)	(60,198) (87,932)
DEFERRED TAXES - ACRS Rate of Return Net-To-Gross Multiplier Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt. CPUC Jurisdiction factor Total Incr./(Decr.) in Rev. Reqt.	10.59% 1.4607 99.870%	(\$82,405) (8,727) (12,747) (\$12,730)	(\$63,924) (6,770) (9,888) (\$9,875)
Total Incr./(Decr.) In Rev. Reqt.		\$14,520	\$20,816
Total Incr./(Decr.) In Rate Base - Wtd. Avg. CPUC Jurisdiction	99.870%	\$93,987 \$93,865	\$134,745 \$134,570

(END OF APPENDIX E + SECOND REVISION)