ALJ/rr/vdl

AUG 1 8 1982 Decision SZ OS 084 D BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Application of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY for authority to) decrease its electric rates and charges effective August 1, 1982, Application 82-06-08 and to establish an annual energy rate and to make certain other (Filed June 3, 1982) rate changes in accordance with the energy cost adjustment clause as modified by Decision No. 92496 and its electric tariffs. Application of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY for authorization to carry out the terms and conditions of an amendment dated Application 82-06-20 February 8, 1982 to an agreement (Filed June 3, 1982) dated May 26, 1965 with CHEVRON. U.S.A., INC. (Gas)

15

INTERIM OPINION

By Application (A.) 82-06-08 Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) requests authority to decrease Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) rates by an annual \$211,360,000, increase its Electric Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (ERAM) rates by \$19,211,000, and increase Annual Energy Rates (AER) rates by \$34,027,000 for a net

A.82-06-08, A.82-06-20 ALJ/rr/vdl *

annual decrease of \$158,122,000. On the basis of updated information PG&E has revised its request as follows:

ECAC decrease	\$225,673,000
ERAM increase	58,727,000
AER increase	34,577,000
Net decrease	\$132,369,000

Fifteen days of public hearings on this application were held during July and August. Final briefs are due by September 7, 1982.

There are several aspects to this application which will require full consideration; however, our analysis of the record to date shows that any net rate decrease flowing from this application will amount to at least \$132,369,000 annually. As the warm weather of late summer approaches, promising higher electric bills for many customers, this is an opportune time to implement a rate decrease. Under these circumstances, it is clearly most appropriate to allow the \$132 million reduction to be put into effect immediately with a later decision resolving all further issues. In order to avoid prejudging issues related to the ERAM and AER rates, the decrease should be confined to ECAC rates.

PG&E proposes that the rate decrease be spread to all customer classes on an equal cents-per-kilowatt-hour (kWh) basis. This is a reasonable way to decrease rates as it is a continuation of a longstanding practice. The reduction will be .3d/kWh. The estimated percent decrease in California jurisdictional gross revenues proposed for each class of service is shown below.

A.82-06-08, A.82-06-20 ALJ/rr/vdl

Class	Percent Decrease			
Residential				
Lifeline Nonlifeline	3.4 3.4			
Total	3.4			
Small Light and Power Medium Light and Power Large Light and Power Public Authority Agricultural Streetlighting Railway Interdepartmental	2.8 3.2 3.3 3.5 3.0 1.6 3.5 3.2			

Findings of Fact

1. By A.82-06-08, PG&E requests authority to reduce its electric rates and charges under the ECAC included in PG&E's electric tariff.

2. The proposed rates will decrease PG&E's electric revenues by about \$132 million annually.

3. Spreading the rate reduction among the customer classes on an equal cents-per-kWh basis is reasonable.

⁴. The reduction in rates and charges authorized by this decision is justified and reasonable; the present rates and charges, insofar as they differ from those prescribed by this decision, are for the future unjust and unreasonable.

A.82-06-08, A.82-06-20 ALJ/rr/vdl *

Conclusions of Law

1. FG&E should be authorized to establish the revised ECAC billing factors set forth in the following order; these rates are just and reasonable.

2. In order to provide for timely implementation of the rate reduction, the order should be effective the date of signature.

INTERIM ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall establish and file with this Commission, in conformity with the provisions of General Order 96-A, revised tariff schedules of ECAC billing factors as shown in Appendix A, and to revise its streetlighting rates accordingly. The revised tariff schedules shall become effective the date of filing but not earlier than August 23, 1982. The revised schedules shall apply only to service rendered on or after the effective date of this order.

This order is effective today.

Dated <u>August 18, 1982</u>, at San Francisco, California.

> JOHN E. BRYSON President RICHARD D. GRAVELLE LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR. VICTOR CALVO Commissioners

Commissioner Priscilla C. Grew, being necessarily absent, did not participate.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS, TODAY boseph E. Bodovicz, Executive Dir

A.82-06-08 ALJ/cip/vdl *

APPENDIX A

· ·

 \mathbf{N}

Adopted Rates (\$/kWh)

.

Base Rates	: ECAC : Rates	: FCAC : Rates		Effective:
			: Rates	: Rates :
.03964	.01836	.01640	.05800	-05604
-03964	.03866	.03601	-07830	.07565
-03964	-06607	.06249	-10571	.10213
	-03868	-03630	·	
Schedule A-21		Period A	_	Period B
h-Peak		-06614		-05577
Partial-Peak		-03368		-03591
Off-Peak		.02310		-03084
chedules A-22 and A-23		Period A		Period B
n-Peak		-04856		.04905
Partial-Peak		-04279		-03882
)ff-Peak		-02614		-03045
	.03964 .03964 <u>chedule A-21</u> Dn-Peak Partial-Peak	.03964 .01836 .03964 .03866 .03964 .06607 .03868 <u>chedule A-21</u> Dn-Peak Partial-Peak Dff-Peak <u>chedules A-22 and A-23</u> Dn-Peak Partial-Peak	.03964 .01836 .01640 .03964 .03866 .03601 .03964 .06607 .06249 .03868 .03630 chedule A-21 Period A On-Peak .06614 Partial-Peak .03368 Off-Peak .02310 chedules A-22 and A-23 Period A On-Peak .04856 Partial-Peak .04279	.03964 $.01836$ $.01640$ $.05800$ $.03964$ $.03866$ $.03601$ $.07830$ $.03964$ $.06607$ $.06249$ $.10571$ $.03868$ $.03630$ $.03868$ $.03630$ chedule A-21 Period A On-Peak $.06614$ Partial-Peak $.03368$ Off-Peak $.02310$ chedules A-22 and A-23 Period A On-Peak $.04856$ Partial-Peak $.04279$

1/ Includes AER = \$.00276/kWh SFA = \$.00002/kWh CFA = \$.00018/kWh

A.82-06-08, A.82-06-20 ALJ/rr/vdl

annual decrease of \$158,122,000. On the basis of updated information PG&E has revised its request as follows:

ECAC decrease	\$225,673,000
ERAM increase	58,727,000
AER increase	
Net decrease	\$132,369,000

Fifteen days of public hearings on this application were held during July and August. Final briefs are due by September 7, 1982.

There are several aspects to this application which will require full consideration; however, our analysis of the record to date shows that any net rate decrease flowing from this application will amount to at least \$132,369,000 annually. Under these circumstances, it is clearly most appropriate to allow the \$132 million reduction to be put into effect immediately with a later decision resolving all further issues. In order to avoid prejudging issues related to the ERAM and AER rates, the decrease should be confined to ECAC rates.

PG&E proposes that the rate decrease be spread to all customer classes on an equal cents-per-kilowatt-hour (kWh) basis. This is a reasonable way to decrease rates as it is a continuation of a longstanding practice. The reduction will be -00301c/kWh. The estimated percent decrease in California jurisdictional gross revenues proposed for each class of service is shown below.

as The warm weather of late sur approaches, promising he , many customers, the is an darial

55

A.82-06-08, A.82-06-20 ALJ/rr/vdl

Conclusions of Law

1. PG&E should be authorized to establish the revised ECAC billing factors set forth in the following order; these rates are just and reasonable.

2. In order to provide for timely implementation of the rate reduction, the order should be effective the date of signature.

INTERIM ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall establish and file with this Commission, in conformity with the provisions of General Order 96-A, revised tariff schedules of ECAC billing factors as shown in Appendix A, and to revise its streetlighting rates accordingly. The revised tariff schedules shall become effective the date of filing but not earlier than September 23, 1982. The revised schedules shall apply only to service rendered on or after the effective date of this order.

This order is effective today

Dated _____AUG 18 1982 , at Say Francisco, California.

JOHN E ERYSON President RICHARD D. CRAVELLE LEONARD M. CRIMES, JR. VICTOR CALVO Commissioners $/ \subset$

Commissioner Priscilla C. Grow. being necessarily absent, did not participate

A.82-06-08 ALJ/cip/bw

•

APPENDIX A

• • •

. . . .

Adopted Rates (\$/kWh)

Class	:	Present1/ Base Rates	:	Present ECAC Rates	: Adopted : ECAC : Rates	: Present :Effective : Rates	Adopted : Effective: Rates :
Residential				· ~			<u> </u>
Tier l		.03964		.01836	-01640	-05800	-05604
Tier 2		-03964		.03866	-03601	.07830	-07565
Tier 3		.03964		-06607	-06249	.10571	.10213
Non-residential				-03868	-03567		
Time-of-Use ECAC Bi	lling Factors						
	Schedule	<u>A-21</u>			Period A	_	Period B
	On-Peak				-06614		-05577
	Partial	-Peak			-03368		-03591
	Off-Pea	k'.			-02310		-03084
	Schedule	s A-22 and A-23	<u>3</u>		Period A		Period B
	On-Peak				-04856		-04905
	Partial	-Peak			-04279		.03882
	Off-Pea	k \			-02614		-03045
	Schedule	PA-2X	\backslash		Period A		Period B
	On-peak			\backslash	.06752		-05884
	Partial	-Peak			-03579		.03752
	Off-Pea	k					.03100
<u>l</u> / Incl	udes AER = \$.00276/k% SFA = \$.00002/k% CFA = \$.00018/k%	h					