Decision SZ 08 091 AUG 18 1982

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Airport Bus of Bakersfield, Inc., for the authority to increase fares for the transportation of passengers ) on regular scheduled Airport Access Service between points in Kern and Los Angeles County and Los Angeles Airport.

SINGE COST Application 82-06-26 (Filed June 9, 1982) ్రాగా కుండిని అంటాలకా పో అంటుకుండిని

విధానకి జిలిపినాని ముందిత కొలపించార కోనుండితో

 $(-1)^{n}(1)^{n$ Steld, Inc. is a passenger Airport Pr g between points in Kern and stage corporation ternational Airport. The Los Angeles Ca service star crease its one-way fare from \$20 to rating costs. ned by Decision (D.) 93117 dated May 1  $A_{\lambda}$ rested fare increase is

ing costs. As shown in the necessary to application, ap age services for the period ending June 30, 15c d at a loss of \$269,440 as represented by an operating ratio derure taxes of 139%.

The Transportation Division has conducted an engineering economics study of the application. The following table sets forth the estimated results of operations under present and proposed fares for test year ending July 31, 1983.

#### Findings of Factillians amilli bas amiroog or notribbs at ...

- 1. Applicant seeks a 15% increase in its passenger stage and fares to offset increased operating expenses.
- 2. As shown in the table, applicant's passenger stage services in the test year will be conducted at a loss of \$57,783 under present fares.
- 3. The requested fare increase will result in additional annual gross revenues of \$135,975 with an operating ratio of 93% before taxes.
- 4. The requested fare increase is necessary to offset increased operating expenses and to ensure applicant's continued operations.
  - 5. The requested fare increase is justified.
- 6. No protests have been received and a public hearing is not necessary.

7. Sizease is necessary to ensure applicant's continued ive date of this order should be the date of Concly

asonable and justified and should be gr

ER

Application 82-06-26. Tariffs shall be filed not an effective date of this order. They may go into effect five days or more after the effective date of this order on not less than five day's notice to the Commission and to the public.

2. The authority shall expire unless exercised within 90 days after the effective date of this order.

# CORRECTION

THIS DOCUMENT

HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED

TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY

Decision S2 08 091 AUG 18 1982

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Airport Bus of Bakersfield, Inc., for the authority to increase fares for the transportation of passengers on regular scheduled Airport Access Service between points in Kern and Los Angeles County and Los Angeles Airport.

Application 82-06-26 (Filed June 9, 1982)

ి. ఆ ఆ కోటింది. మొద్ది కోటింది. మంత్రించారు మాట్లుకుండారు. కోటించారు.

# 

Airport Bus of Bakersfield, Inc. is a passenger stage corporation (PSC-1166) operating between points in Kern and Los Angeles Counties and Los Angeles International Airport. The service started in July 1981.

راكال المستريد المستريد والمستراك والمستراك

Applicant seeks authority to increase its one-way fare from \$20 to \$23 to offset increases in operating costs.

Applicant's fares were established by Decision (D.) 93117 dated May 19, 1981 in Application 60430.

Applicant alleges that the requested fare increase is necessary to offset increases in operating costs. As shown in the application, applicant's passenger stage services for the period ending June 30, 1982 were conducted at a loss of \$269,440 as represented by an operating ratio before taxes of 139%.

The Transportation Division has conducted an engineering economics study of the application. The following table sets forth the estimated results of operations under present and proposed fares for test year ending July 31, 1983.

**-**∑--

See Test/Year Ending July 31, 1983 Present Fares \$ 996,182 \$1.132.252 Proposed Fares

Expenses

Revenues

Operating Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes

Operating Ratio Before Income Taxes

ήσε τίμο αυτλοεύτη το ύποεραδο έδατου ಕೊಡ ಕೊಡ ಕಜ್ಡಡಾರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಇರ ಸಂಕರ್ಣಿಗಳ ಕ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಕರ ಕ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಕರ 🖓 დინიიტ **ლ(57გ,783)ი[ც**ნიჩიი **დ.78ჯ297 თ**ი Service between points in Kern and ios Angeles County and los Angeles Augeles .f**z:93%**:A

(Red Figure)

As indicated by the above table, applicant's PSC operating income in the test year under its present fares will be a loss of \$57,783 with an operating ratio of 106%. The proposed fares will result in an annual gross revenue increase of \$135,975, a profit of \$78,297, with an operating ratio of 93%.

The expense accounts include applicant's estimate for revenue equipment depreciation which does not conform to the Commission's standard depreciation schedules. For this proceeding staff has accepted applicant's estimate because the equipment is operating in an environment which may decrease its normal life expectancy.

The Commission notified affected public transit district operators of the application under Public Utilities Code Sections 730.3 and 730.5, and the Commission requested the public agency to prepare an analysis of the effect of a fare increase on overall transportation problems within the territory served by the public transit system. No response has been received from any public transit district. The fare increase will not affect transit system plans prepared under Chapter 2.5 of Title 7 of the Government Code. naise many sent mon

#### - Findings of Fact likknut gallik lan galtoog of northbio al . 8

- 1. Applicant seeks a 15% increase in its passenger stage nog fares to offset increased operating expenses.
- 2. As shown in the table, applicant's passenger stage services in the test year will be conducted at a loss of \$57,783 under present fares.
- 3. The requested fare increase will result in additional annual gross revenues of \$135,975 with an operating ratio of 93% before taxes.
- 4. The requested fare increase is necessary to offset increased operating expenses and to ensure applicant's continued operations.
  - 5. The requested fare increase is justified.
- 6. No protests have been received and a public hearing is not necessary.
- 7. Since the fare increase is necessary to ensure applicant's continued operations, the effective date of this order should be the date of signature.

#### Conclusion of Law

The increased fares are reasonable and justified and should be granted.

#### ORDER

## IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Airport Bus of Bakersfield, Inc. is authorized to establish the increased fares proposed in Application 82-06-26. Tariffs shall be filed not earlier than the effective date of this order. They may go into effect five days or more after the effective date of this order on not less than five day's notice to the Commission and to the public.
- 2. The authority shall expire unless exercised within 90 days after the effective date of this order.

;	3. In	addition	to	posting	anc	filing	tariffs, applicant shall
post a printed explanation of its fares in its limousines. The							
notice	shall	be posted	i, at	least :	five	days be	efore, the effective date
of_the	fare;	changes, ar	nd: :	shall re	rain	posted	for atcleast, 30 days.

and the start order is effective today to od like mooy test of the Pated AUG 18 1982 , at San Francisco, California.

3. The requested dame increase will recall to in additional annual gronosymveneetof \$135,975 with un operating muche of \$1.

RICHARD D CRAVELLE TO TOC

nonderona cocción os macasoon or opastoni otol blichard-Megrimesaja VICTOR CALVO operating, ordination and to ensure applicant's contains of operations

The mequested flame interests is justifified.

ಾರ್ಡ ರವ ಪ್ರದರ್ಭವರಂತ ಅದಿವಿಷ್ಣದ ಎ ರಿದು ರಿಶಾದಿರಲಿಯ ಗಾರಂ**ರೆಂಗಾಗಿಕರುಂಗಾಗಾಗಿದ್ದರುಗೂ ೧೬ ರಾಕ.** being necessarily absent, did not participate

7. Since the fare increase is neargant to excure application continued operations, the effective date of this erder choric is an date of signature.

Conclusion of Law

The increased fares are reasonable and justified instituted as .ಶಿಂತಮಾದ್ದ ಅರ

### EEG22

I: CERTIEXSTRAI THIS DECISION AYOKA THE THE CHYORES SAW

- AYMOOT COMPRONESS CONTROLLED AND CHICAGO TO CONTROLLED TO the increased fares proposed in Application 82-16-16-16. Territies could A design come control control control control concor. They cliff to or is evapositio ode regio erg/ 10/12 City rom on the religious comments of the common control of the common of the 2. The michigh of the construction and the construction of the correction of the cor

-&-**-4-**

after the effective date of this erder.