ALJ/md *

Decision 82 11 057 November 17, 1982

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Power & Light Company for an exemption from Public Utilities Code Section 1001 regarding construction of Yellowcake-Antelope 230 kV transmission line in Converse County, Wyoming.	Application 82-07-07 (Filed July 1, 1982)

O P I N I O N

By this application Pacific Power & Light Company (Pacific) requests an exemption from the requirements of Public Utilities (PU) Code § 1001 for the construction of the Yellowcake-Antelope 230 kV transmission line located in Converse County, Wyoming.

By Decision (D.) 82-02-089 dated February 17, 1982 in Application 61089 this Commission denied Pacific's request for an exemption from § 1001 for all construction commencing outside California after June 14, 1981. The Commission concluded that a blanket exemption from the requirements of § 1001 is not appropriate and that exemptions should be considered only on a project-by-project basis giving consideration to the relevant circumstances in each case. D.82-02-089 set up seven items of information required by the Commission in giving consideration to a § 1001 exemption for Pacific. In this application Pacific has complied with all seven and the information supplied gives us no reason to deny the requested exemption. Pacific shows in its application that the project will have little impact on its California customers. The projected effect on Pacific's California rates, for example, is approximately .001¢ per kWh.

The exemption requested will be granted but that grant should in no way be construed as approving for ratemaking purposes in California any expenses, depreciation, rate base, or any other cost factor associated with the plant for which the exemption is granted.

Findings of Fact

1. Pacific is an electric utility with service in California under the jurisdiction of this Commission.

2. Pacific requests an exemption from PU Code § 1001 for a transmission line to be located in Wyoming.

3. Pacific has complied with the seven items of information set up by the Commission in D.82-02-089 as required for consideration of an exemption from § 1001.

4. None of the information furnished by Pacific gives the Commission any reason to deny the requested exemption.

5. The Commission specifically makes no findings on the reasonableness or prudence of any expenditures for the proposed transmission line and reserves all considerations for ratemaking treatment of them for future rate cases.

Conclusion of Law

Pacific's request for an exemption from PU Code § 1001 for the proposed construction subject to this application should be granted.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that the application of Pacific Power & Light Company for an exemption from PU Code § 1001 for the construction of the Yellowcake-Antelope 230 kV transmission line located in Converse County, Wyoming is granted.

This order becomes effective 30 days from today.

Dated NOV 17 1982, at San Francisco, California.

JOHN E. BRYSON
President
RICHARD D. GRAVELLE
LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR.
VICTOR CALVO
PRISCILLA C. GREW
Commissioners

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY.

Joseph E. Bodovitz, Executive Director

ALJ/md

ORIGINAL

Decision 82 11 057 NOV 17 1982

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Power & Light Company for an exemption from Public Utilities Code Section 1001 regarding construction of Yellowcake-Antelope 230 kV transmission line in Converse County, Wyoming.	Application 82-07-07 (Filed July 1, 1982)

O P I N I O N

By this application Pacific Power & Light Company (Pacific) requests an exemption from the requirements of Public Utilities (PU) Code § 1001 for the construction of the Yellowcake-Antelope 230 kV transmission line located in Converse County, Wyoming.

By Decision (D.) 82-02-089 dated February 17, 1982 in Application 61089 this Commission denied Pacific's request for an exemption from § 1001 for all construction commencing outside California after June 14, 1981. The Commission concluded that a blanket exemption from the requirements of § 1001 is not appropriate and that exemptions should be considered only on a project-by-project basis giving consideration to the relevant circumstances in each case. D.82-02-089 set up seven items of information required by the Commission in giving consideration to a § 1001 exemption for Pacific. In this application Pacific has complied with all seven and the information supplied gives us no reason to deny the requested exemption. Pacific shows in its application that the project will have little impact on its California customers. The projected effect on Pacific's California rates, for example, is approximately .001¢ per kWh.