Decision 83 01 033 JAN 12 1983

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of AIRPORT SERVICE, INCORPORATED, for authority to adjust its rates.

Application 82-11-28 (Filed November 15, 1982)

Application of ORANGE COAST SIGHT-SEEING COMPANY for authority to adjust its rates.

Application 82-11-30 (Filed November 15, 1982)

### OPINION

Airport Service, Incorporated (Airport) is a passenger stage corporation (PSC-869) operating between various airports in Los Angeles and Orange Counties and various points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, as well as between certain of the airports, as set forth in the appendix of Decision (D.) 83743, as amended by D.84583, in Application (A.) 54778.

Orange Coast Sightseeing Company (Orange Coast), an affiliate of Airport sharing common management, operating personnel, and equipment, is a passenger stage corporation (PSC-322) which provides a sightseeing service between various points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, and points of interest in Orange and Los Angeles Counties, as set forth in D.69671 in A.47707 as amended by later decisions.

Airport and Orange Coast (applicants) allege that the increases in their operating costs necessitate their request for a 14.6% fare increase for both companies. It is estimated that the requested increase will result in additional gross annual revenue of approximately \$1,589,000 for Airport and \$245,000 for Orange Coast. Exhibit B of each application shows the present and proposed fares.

The present fares for both Airport and Orange Coast were established in D.93711 dated November 3, 1981 in A.60854 and A.60853.

Exhibit C, attached to each application, is a statement of earnings for the recorded year ending June 30, 1982 and an estimated results of operations for a test year ending December 31, 1983.

As shown in the applications, Airport's operations for the 12 months ending June 30, 1982 were conducted at a profit of approximately \$816,000, with an operating ratio before income taxes of 92.8%. Orange Coast's operations for the same period resulted in an operating loss of about \$10,000, with an operating ratio of approximately 100.7%.

• The following tables show applicants' individual and combined estimated results of operations under present and proposed fares for a test year ending December 31, 1983.

TABLE I

Results of Operations For Test Year Ending December 31, 1983 At Present Fares

Item :	Airport :	Orange Coast	: Combined
Operating Revenues	\$11,495,000	\$1,915,000	\$13,410,000
Operating Expenses	11,576,000	1,885,000	13,461,000
Operating Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	(81,000)	30,000	(51,000)
Operating Ratio Before Income Taxes	100.7%	98.4%	100.4%

TABLE II

Results of Operations For Test Year Ending December 31, 1983

At Proposed Fares

Item	: Airport :	Orange Coast	: Combined
Operating Revenues	\$13,084,000	\$2,160,000	\$15,244,000
Operating Expenses	11,746,000	1,961,000	13,707,000
Operating Income Before Income Taxes	1,338,000	199,000	1,537,000
Operating Ratio Before Income Taxes	89.8%	90.8%	89 <b>.</b> 9%

As indicated by the above tables, Airport's operations in the test year ending December 31, 1983 under its present fares will be at a loss of \$81,000 with an operating ratio of 100.7% before income taxes. The proposed fares will result in an annual gross operating revenue of \$13,084,000, an annual gross revenue increase of \$1,589,000 with an operating ratio of 89.8% before income taxes.

Orange Coast's operations in the same period under present fares will be at a profit of \$30,000 with an operating ratio of 98.4% before income taxes. The proposed fares will result in an annual gross revenue of \$2,160,000, an annual gross revenue increase of \$245,000 with an operating ratio of 90.8% before income taxes.

Both applicants' combined operations in the test year under proposed fares will produce annual gross revenue of \$15,244,000, and result in an annual gross revenue increase of \$1,834,000, with an operating ratio of 89.9% before income taxes.

Notice of the filing of these applications appeared on the Commission's Daily Calendar. No protests or requests for public hearing have been received.

## Findings of Fact

- 1. Applicants seek a 14.6% increase in their passenger fares to offset increased operating expenses.
- 2. As shown in Tables I and II, Airport's operations in the test year under its present fares will be conducted at a loss of \$81,000, with an operating ratio of 100.7% before income taxes. The requested fare increase will result in additional annual gross revenues of \$1,589,000 with an operating ratio of 89.8% before income taxes.
- 3. Orange Coast's operations in the test year under its present fares will produce a profit of \$30,000 with an operating ratio of 98.4% before income taxes. The requested fares will result in additional annual gross revenues of \$245,000 with an operating ratio of 90.8% before income taxes.
- 4. Applicants' combined operations in the test year under the present fares will be conducted at a loss of \$51,000 with an operating ratio of 100.4% before income taxes. The requested fares will produce additional gross annual revenues of \$1,834,000 with an operating ratio of 89.9% before income taxes.
- 5. The requested fare increases are necessary to offset increased operating expenses and to ensure applicants' continued operations.
  - 6. The requested fare increases are justified.
- 7. No protests have been received, and a public hearing is not necessary.
- 8. Since the fare increases are necessary to ensure applicants' continued operations, the effective date of this order should be the date of signature.

### Conclusion of Law

The increased fares are reasonable and justified and should be authorized.

# ORDER

#### IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Airport Service, Incorporated is authorized to establish the increased fares proposed in A.82-11-28. Tariffs shall be filed not earlier than the effective date of this order. They may go into effect 10 days or more after the effective date of this order on not less than 10 days' notice to the Commission and to the public.
- 2. Orange Coast Sightseeing Company is authorized to establish the increased fares proposed in A.82-11-30. Tariffs shall be filed not earlier than the effective date of this order. They may go into effect 10 days or more after the effective date of this order on not less than 10 days' notice to the Commission and to the public.
- 3. The authorities shall expire unless exercised within 90 days after the effective date of this order.

4. In addition to posting and filing tariffs, applicants shall post a printed explanation of their fares in their buses and terminals. The notice shall be posted at least 10 days before the effective date of the fare changes and shall remain posted for at least 30 days.

This order is effective today.

Dated JAN 12 1983 , at San Francisco,
California.

LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR.

President
VICTOR CALVO
PRISCILLA C. GREW
DONALD VIAL
Commissioners

I CERTIFY TWO THIS DECISION WAS ABOVE OF ALLOWED BY MIT ABOVE COMMISSIONERS WELFT.

Coleph E. Bodovicz, Znecublycy