83 06 069 JUN 15 1983 Decision

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the matter of the application of:) MULTI-TRANSIT, INC. for Emergency Interim Authority to operate the home to work service previously conducted by Parkway Stage in Passenger Stage Service between points in Solano, Napa, and Contra Costa Counties, on the one hand, and the United Airlines Maintenance Facility at San Francisco International Airport.

Application 83-05-08 (Filed May 4, 1983)

OPINION

By Decision (D.) 82-09-52 dated September 8, 1982 in Application (A.) 82-02-30, Multi-Transit, Inc. (applicant) was granted a permanent certificate of public convenience and necessity (PSC-1244) as a passenger stage corporation for home-to-work bus service between Vacaville and Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo. Subsequently, in D.82-12-43 dated December 1, 1982 in A.82-10-10, applicant was granted temporary authority to serve the shipyard from Suisun City and Pleasant Hill.

By this application, applicant seeks to obtain permanent authority for home-to-work service to United Airlines (UAL) Maintenance Base at San Francisco International Airport from Napa, Vacaville, Fairfield, Vallejo, and Pinole.

Richard E. Parks (PSC-1059) currently holds authority for the routes requested by applicant. Mr. Parks ceased operations of the routes on April 11, 1983 after suffering severe mechanical damages to his 2 buses. Mr. Parks' insurance has also been cancelled.

In a letter dated May 4, 1983 and attached to this application, Mr. Parks recommends that applicant be approved as his successor.

Applicant requests that this order be made effective on the date of issuance so that these needed services may be reinstituted as soon as possible. Applicant has agreed to file a tariff identical in content to Parks' current tariff, so that there will be no fare increase or other changes adverse to the existing passengers.

The application was listed on the Commission's Daily Calendar of May 6, 1983. The Commission's Transportation Division staff has reviewed the application and recommends that in the absence of protest or request for public hearing, it be granted by ex parte order. No protest has been received.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Applicant has the ability, equipment, and financial resources to perform the proposed service.
 - 2. The proposed fares are reasonable.
- 3. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. A public hearing is not necessary.
- 2. Public convenience and necessity have been demonstrated and the application should be granted.
- 3. Because there is a continuing need for these important passenger services, this order should be effective today.

Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.

ORDER

IT IS CRDERED that:

- 1. A certificate of public convenience and necessity is granted to Multi-Transit, Inc., authorizing it to operate as a passenger stage corporation, as defined in FU Code Section 226. between the points and over the routes set forth in Appendix PSC-1244 / to transport persons and their baggage only, by adding Original Page 3, and by adding Second Revised Pages 1 and 2 cancelling First Revised Pages 1 and 2, as attached.
 - 2. Applicant shall:
 - a. File a written acceptance of this certificate within 30 days after this order is effective.
 - b. Establish the authorized service and file tariffs and timetables within 120 days after this order is effective.
 - c. State in its tariffs and timetables when the service will start; allow at least 5 days' notice to the Commission; and make timetables and tariffs effective 5 or more days after this order is effective.

- d. Comply with General Orders Series 79; 98, 101, and 104, and the California Highway Patrol safety rules.
- e. Maintain accounting records in conformity with the Uniform System of Accounts.

This order is effective today. Dated JUN 15 1983, at San Francisco, California.

> LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR. President VICTOR CALVO PRISCILLA C. GREW DONALD VIAL WILLIAM T. BAGLEY Commissioners

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY. -

Doseph E. Bodovitz, W

Appendix PSC-1244 MULTI-TRANSIT, INC. Second Revised Page 1 Cancels First Revised Page 1

SECTION 1. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS.

Multi-Transit, Inc., by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the decision noted in the margin, is authorized to operate as a passenger stage corporation to transport home-to-work passengers and their baggage *between the points and along the routes hereinafter described, subject, however, to the authority of this Commission to change or modify this authority at any time and subject to the following provisions:

- a. All transportation of passengers shall originate at and shall be destined to the service points specified in Section 2.
- b. When route descriptions are given in one direction, they apply to operation in either direction unless otherwise indicated.
- c. Applicant shall have the right to file tariffs requiring a minimum of 12 passenger fares for any schedule between Pleasant Hill and Mare Island Naval Shipyard.
- d. Authority for Routes 2 and 3 expires on December 1, 1983 in accordance with Decision 82-12-043 dated December 1, 1982 in Application 82-10-10.

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission.

*Added by Decision 83 06 069, Application 83-05-08.

Appendix PSC-1244 MULTI-TRANSIT, INC. Second Revised Page 2
Cancels
First Revised Page 2

SECTION 2. ROUTE DESCRIPTIONS.

Route 1: Vacaville - Mare Island Naval Shipyard

Via the most appropriate streets and highways, service point at the following location:

Marshall Road and Peabody Road, Vacaville.

Then via the most appropriate streets and highways, to service point at the following location:

Mare Island Naval Shipyard.

Route 2: Suisun City - Mare Island Naval Shipyard

Service via the most appropriate streets and highways between a service point at Highway 12 and Sunset Boulevard in Suisum City, and Mare Island Naval Shipyard at Vallejo.

Route 3: Pleasant Hill - Mare Island Naval Shipyard

Service via the most appropriate streets and highways between a service point at Highway 680 and Monument Boulevard in Pleasant Hill, and Mare Island Naval Shipyard at Vallejo.

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission.

Added by Decision 83 06 069, Application 83-05-08.

Appendix PSC-1244

MULTI-TRANSIT, INC. Original Page 3

SECTION 2. ROUTE DESCRIPTIONS. (Continued)

*Route 4: Vacaville - UAL Maintenance Base Commencing with service points at the following locations:

Marshall Road and Peabody Road, Vacaville; Prairie Market Road and Peabody Road, Vacaville; Merchant Street and Alamo Street, Vacaville; Oliver Road and Travis Boulevard, Fairfield; Admiral Callaghan Lane and Tonnessee Street, Vallejo; Pinole Avenue near I-80 off-ramp, Pinole.

Then via the most appropriate streets and highways to service point at the following location:

> .United Airlines Maintenance Base at San Francisco International Airport.

*Route 5: Napa - UAL Maintenance Base

Commencing with service points at the following locations:

Trancas Street and Solscan Avenue, Napa; Kansas Street and Solscan Avenue, Napa; Admiral Callaghan Lane and Tennessee Avenue, Vallejo:

Then via the most appropriate streets and highways to service point at the following location:

> United Airlines Maintenance Base at San Francisco International Airport.

| Issued | ру | California | Pub | lic | Utilities | Commission | 2. |
|--------|----|------------|-----|-----|-----------|------------|----|
| | | Decision _ | 83 | 60 | C63 | plication | |

In a letter dated May 4, 1983 and attached to this application, Mr. Parks recommends that applicant be approved as his successor.

Applicant requests that this order be made effective on the date of issuance so that these needed services may be reinstituted as soon as possible. Applicant has agreed to file a tariff identical in content to Parks' current tariff, so that there will be no fare increase or other changes adverse to the existing passengers.

The application was listed on the Commission's Daily
Calendar of May 6, 1983. The Commission's Transportation
Division staff has reviewed the application and recommends that
in the absence of protest or request for public hearing, it be great
by ex parte order. No protest has been received.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Applicant has the ability, equipment, and financial resources to perform the proposed service.
 - 2. The proposed fares are reasonable.
- 3. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. A public hearing is not necessary.
- 2. Public convenience and necessity have been demonstrated and the application should be granted.
- 3. Because there is a continuing need for these important passenger services, this order should be effective today.

Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.