L/WHK:sm

.

٠

Decision 83 09 061 SEP 7 1983	
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMIS	SION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CAUSE (Campaign Against Utility Service Exploitation), Complainant,)))) Case 10107
v.) (Filed May 28, 1976)
PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, Defendant.	
LOUIS SAMUEL, Individually and in a representative capacity, Complainant,	
ν.) Case 10142) (Filed July 16, 1976)
PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.))))
CARY D. LOWE,))
Complainant,) Case 10204
۷.	(Filed November 12, 1976)
PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, INC., and DOES 1 through X, Inclusive,	
Defendants. Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the rules, practices and procedures of all telephone corporations, as listed in Appendix A attached to the OII, concerning disclosure of nonpublished telephone numbers, credit and other subscriber information.))) (Filed November 16, 1976)))
ORDER MODIFYING DECISION (D.) 83-06-066 AND DENYING REHEARING	
An application for rehearing of D.83-06-066 has been filed by General Talephone General at Satisfies	
filed by General Telephone Company of California (General). We have carefully considered each and every allegation of error	
The second stands of a stand and every attemption of error	

• •

C.10107 et al. L/WHK:sm

therein and are of the opinion that good cause for granting rehearing has not been shown. However, upon reconsideration we are of the opinion that certain notice requirements in D.93361 are now unnecessary because of the Legislature's recent amendment of Section 1985.3 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that,

- 1. D.83-06-066 is modified as follows:
 - (a) The discussion beginning with the last paragraph on page 6, mimeo and extending through the first full paragraph on page 11, mimeo is deleted in full and replaced with the following:

"We required the utilities to notify subscribers when it has been served with a civil subpoena for credit information or calling records because, at that time, there was no statutory requirement that subscribers be served with a copy of the subpoena. Inasmuch as the Legislature has amended CCP Sec. 1985.3 to make such service mandatory, there is no good reason to continue with our requirement. The amendments to Rule B(2) and the deletion of Rules C(1) and C(2) suggested by General recognize the change in the law. They are reasonable and will be adopted herein."

- (2) Finding of Fact No. 2, 3 and 4 on page 14, mimeo are modified to read in full as follows:
 - "2. Subsequent to our adopting Rules B(2) through B(4) and Rules C(1) and C(2), the Legislature has amended CCP Sec. 1095.3 to require service on the subscriber when his telephone credit information or calling records are subpoenaed."

C.10107 et al. L/WHK:sm

"3. Requirements that the utility notify subscribers when personal records are subpoenaed, are unnecessary and duplicative of the service requirements in CCP Sec. 1985.3 as amended."

- "4. The modifications to Rules B(2) through B(4) and the deletions of Rules C(1) and C(2) requested by General will remove the duplicate notice requirements, and are therefore reasonable."
- (3) Findings of Fact No. 5 through 11 are deleted in full.
- (4) Finding of Fact No. 12 is renumbered as No. 5.
- (5) Conclusion of Law No. 2 is modified to read in full as follows:
 - "2. Rules B(2) through B(4) should be amended and Rules C(4) and C(2) should be deleted as requested by General."
- (6) Ordering Paragraphs 3 and 4 are modified to read in full as follows:
 - "3. Rule B(2) of Appendix B to D.93360 is amended to read,

'Upon making return to a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum, when it reasonably appears to the telephone utility that the procedures set out in Code of Civil Procedure Section 1985.3, or successor provisions, as they then exist, have been followed. The utility shall not produce the records if there has not been compliance with CCP Section 1985.3. The utility shall abide by all orders to quash, protective orders and similar court orders which may be issued with regard to the subpoenaed credit information and calling records.'"

3

C.10107 et al. L/WHK:sm

- "4. Rule B(3) of said Appendix is deleted, Rule B(4) is renumbered as Rule B(3) and Rules C(1) and (2) of said Appendix are deleted in full."
- Rehearing of D.83-06-066 as modified herein is denied. This order is effective today. Dated __________, at San Francisco, California.

Commissioner William T. Bagley being necessarily absont, did not participate. LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR. President VICTOR CALVO PRISCILLA C. GREW DONALD VIAL Commissioners

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY. Joseph E. Bolovitz, End