ORIGINAL

Decision 83 12 062 DEC 2 0 1983

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY and PACIFIC LIGHTING GAS SUPPLY COMPANY to Increase Revenue Under the Consolidated Adjustment Mechanism to Offset Changed Gas Costs Resulting From Increases in the Price of Natural ) Gas Purchased from EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, PACIFIC INTERSTATE TRANSMISSION COMPANY, and California sources; and to Adjust Revenues to Recover the Undercollection in the CAM Balancing Account.

Application 82-09-12 (Filed September 8, 1982)

## ORDER GRANTING LIMITED REHEARING OF DECISION (D.) 83-10-001

An application for rehearing of D.83-10-001 has been filed by Toward Utility Rate Normalization (TURN). A response to TURN's application has been filed by Southern California Gas Co. (SoCal). We have carefully considered each and every allegation of error in TURN's filing and are of the opinion that good cause for granting a limited rehearing has been shown.

As TURN points out, and as we acknowledged in D.83-10-001, one of SoCal's arguments in support of the reasonableness of its decision to extend its firm contract with Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) was made for the first time in its reply brief. One obvious result of this is that the other parties had no opportunity to reply prior to our decision being issued. Although TURN does not allege this constitutes legal error, we believe it would be helpful to a full

consideration of this issue if the other parties are permitted to file additional briefs, limited to the issue of whether the evidence supports SoCal's contention that, without the PG&E supply there was a potential shortfall in gas to serve P1-P4 customers in a cold year. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that,

Rehearing of D.83-10-001 is granted limited to the filing of briefs in answer to SoCal's reply brief. Said briefs shall be filed on or before January 11, 1984, and shall be limited to the issue of whether the evidence supports SoCal's contention that, without the PG&E supply there was a potential shortfall in gas to serve P1-P4 customers in a cold year. No further replies will be permitted.

This order is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_ DEC 20 1985 \_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

LEONARD M. GRIMES. JR.
Prosident
VICTOR CALVO
PRISCILLA C. GREW
DONALD VIAL
WILLIAM T. BAGLEY
COMMISSIONORS

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DECISION WAS APPROVED BY THE ABOVE COMMISSIONERS TODAY.

Joseph E. Bodovicz, Executive