Decision No. 87636 JUL 1919 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMIS	
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMIS	SION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
PLUMAS-EUREKA ESTATES, a limited partnership,	
Complainant,) Case No. 10036
ν.) (Filed January 19, 1976;) amended May 3, 1976)
PLUMAS-SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., a corporation,	
Defendant.)))

ORDER DENYING REHEARING OF DECISION NO. 87304

Petitions for Rehearing were filed by Plumas-Sierra Rural Electric Cooperative (Cooperative), Inc. and by Plumas-Eureka Estates. The Commission, having considered each and every allegation in said petitions, is of the opinion that good cause for granting rehearing has not been shown. However, modification of Decision No. 87304 is appropriate to clarify the decision.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rehearing of Decision 87304 is hereby denied.

2. A new Finding No. 4 is added to page 15 of Decision 87304 to read:

"The defendant has recommended that the Commission proceed under Section E.4 of Rule 15.1 which provides for the Commission to make a special ruling 'in unusual circumstances, where the application of these rules appears impractical or unjust to either party' upon application of the Cooperative or developer, and this is appropriate in this case."

3. Original Finding No. 4 is renumbered Finding No. 5 and all following findings are correspondingly consecutively renumbered.

vh

C. 10036

4. Finding No. 10 on page 16 of Decision 87304 is modified to read:

"The lot sizes within the subdivision, the reasonable widths of utility easements available in the rear yards of back to back lots, and the existing and future likely use of joint trenches for water, telephone and cablevision lines warrant, justify, and require the installation of underground electric distribution lines of defendant in joint utility trenches to the greatest extent practicable, in conformance with the policies of the Commission encouraging such joint trenching; this to be done in the rear yard utility easements where practical unless a preexisting joint trench is located along the lot frontage.

5. Conclusion No. 5 on page 17 of Decision 87304 is modified to read:

"Defendant should place its lines in joint trenches to the greatest extent practicable."

The effective date of this order is the date hereof.

Dated at <u>San Francisco</u>, California, this $\underline{/9^{\Box}}$ day of <u>JULY</u>, 1977.

Commissioners

Commissioner CLAIRE T. DEDRICK

Present but not participating.