PNK/FS

Decision No.

## 89289 AUG 2 2 1978

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ORIGINAL

In the Matter of the Application ) of COAST COUNTIES WAREHOUSES for ) Application No. 58158 an Increase in Rates. ) (Filed June 20, 1978)

## OPINION AND ORDER

Applicant, a California corporation, is a public utility warehouseman for the storage of general commodities at Santa Maria. The rates, rules and regulations governing applicant's operations are contained in California Warehouse Tariff Bureau Warehouse Tariffs No. 34, Cal. P.U.C. No. 181, and No. 38-A, Cal. P.U.C. No. 267, of Jack L. Dawson, Agent.

Applicant requests authority to increase its rates and charges as set forth in Exhibit A of the application, which would result in an estimated overall revenue increase of approximately 45 percent. The requested rate increase has been determined by applicant without consultation or agreement with any other warehouseman. If the authority is granted, the proposed increased rates will be published by applicant in individual tariffs.

Applicant alleges that its present rates do not yield sufficient revenue to allow it to conduct its warehouse operations at a profit.

Applicant's rates were last adjusted pursuant to authority granted by Decision 83368 dated August 27, 1974 in Application 52547.

Applicant further alleges that additional revenue is required because of increased costs in all phases of operation, the most significant being the increased cost of plant and clerical labor.

Exhibit B, attached to the application, contains revenue and expense data for the test year ended April 30, 1978, together with adjustments to reflect the proposed increase in revenue should

-1-



the application be granted. The exhibit discloses that during the test year, applicant sustained a loss of \$73,897 and an operating ratio of 292 percent. Had the sought rates been in effect during the test year, applicant would have sustained a loss of \$56,553 and an operating ratio of 201 percent.

Notice of the proposed increase was sent to each of applicant's storers. No objection to the granting of the application has been received.

Findings

1. Applicant's rates were last adjusted by Decision 83368 dated August 27, 1974, in Application 52547.

2. Since applicant's rates were last adjusted, it has experienced increases in operating expenses, the most significant being the increased cost of plant and clerical labor.

3. Under the increase sought herein, applicant estimates it will realize additional revenue of \$17,344 and an operating ratio of 201 percent.

4. The proposed increases in applicant's rates and charges have been shown to be justified.

5. A public hearing is not necessary.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Coast Counties Warehouses, a corporation, is authorized to establish the increased rates proposed in Application 58158. Tariff publications authorized to be made as a result of this order shall be filed not earlier than the effective date of this order and may be made effective not earlier than five days after the effective date of this order on not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

2. The authority shall expire unless exercised within ninety days after the effective date of this order.

3. The authority granted by this order is subject to the express condition that applicant will never urge before this Commission in any proceeding under Section 734 of the Public Utilities Code, or in any other proceeding, that this opinion and

-2-

A. 58158 - FS

order constitute a finding of fact of the reasonableness of any particular rate or charge. The filing of rates and charges pursuant to this order will be construed as a consent to this condition.

The effective date of this order shall be thirty days after the date hereof.

Dated at <u>San Francisco</u>, California, this <u>27</u>~Q day of <u>AUGUST</u>, 1978.

Presiden

Commissioners

Commissioner Richard D. Gravelle, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Claire T. Dedrick, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

-3-