

Decision No. 89303 AUG 22 1978**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investiga-)
 tion for the purpose of consid-)
 ering and determining construc-)
 tive mileages between points)
 within California as provided in)
 the Distance Table and the re-)
 visions or reissues thereof in)
 connection with the transporta-)
 tion of any and all commodities.)

Case No. 7024
 Order Setting Hearing 31
 (Filed June 12, 1972)

SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION AND ORDER

By Decision 84332, issued in this case on April 15, 1975, we adopted the mileages, maps, rules and other provisions in the form specified in Finding 16 thereof (Two-Part Distance Table 8). In Finding 20 of that decision, we indicated that the staff should be directed to complete an optional "all-points-to-all-points table." We herewith take official notice of the loose-leaf document prepared by the staff entitled "Optional All Points to All Points Table for Distance Table 8" (All-Points Distance Table 8) which is presently available for purchase in the Commission's offices in San Francisco. It is primarily distinguished from the Two-Part Distance Table 8, adopted by Decision 84332, by the inclusion of table mileages between all named points and by the exclusion of maps.

Our current supply of the Two-Part Distance Table 8 will be exhausted by the end of the calendar year and we must obtain a sufficient supply of distance tables, in one form or the other, to meet the anticipated demand for the coming years.¹ Notwithstanding the recent increase in tariff sales which has frustrated the accuracy of our projection of the demand for distance tables, we have reasonably determined that the average demand through mid-1981 will not exceed 200 distance tables per month.

¹ We are not, at this point, prepared to direct the staff to begin studies on a revision of Distance Table 8. This issue will be addressed subsequent to our orders in the various minimum rate proceedings dealing with the question of reregulation.

We recognize that certain advantages inhere in the use of the distance table maps (Part 2 of the Two-Part Distance Table), among which are the ease of determining the named point proximate to an unnamed origin or destination and the convenience of determining the applicable zone containing a given street location within a metropolitan zone group. We also acknowledge that the use of the All-Points Distance Table for the determination of mileages between red points (the overwhelming majority of all intrastate shipments move between red points), is less convenient than Part 1 of the Two-Part Distance Table.

In issuing the ensuing order adopting the All-Points Distance Table, our primary consideration is the cost of reproducing each of the two distance tables. The Two-Part Distance Table is very costly to print. We have determined that the adoption of the All-Points Distance Table and the concomitant phase-out of the Two-Part Distance Table will reduce printing costs by more than \$50,000 through mid-1981.

We emphasize that the All-Points Distance Table will not replace the Two-Part Distance Table; it will merely become an acceptable alternative. The inclusion of a statewide map should help overcome one of the disadvantages of the All-Points Distance Table. No user will be required or compelled to purchase a new distance table as a result of our action. The staff will continue to provide the Two-Part Distance Table until the current supply is exhausted. Thereafter, it will no longer be available for purchase. Copies of the Two-Part Distance Table will, however, be available for reference and public inspection at our San Francisco headquarters and at each of our field offices. Upon determining the precise net savings which will result from our action, the staff shall reduce the purchase price of the All-Points Distance Table accordingly.

In the circumstances, we find that the All-Points Distance Table prepared by the staff pursuant to Decision 84332 should also be recognized as Distance Table 8.

A public hearing is not necessary. We conclude that the All-Points Distance Table should be adopted as provided in the order which follows:

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The document prepared by the staff entitled "Optional All Points Table for Distance Table 8," including Map No. 8 of Distance Table 8, Part 2, is hereby adopted as Distance Table 8.

2. The staff shall exhaust the current supply of Two-Part Distance Tables before making available the All-Points Distance Table at a price determined in accordance with Ordering Paragraph 3.

3. Upon determining the unit printing and handling cost associated with an order of distance tables sufficient to meet the demand through June, 1981, the staff shall adjust the price of the All-Points Distance Table accordingly.

4. The staff shall make available for public inspection at all Commission offices a copy of the Two-Part Distance Table.

5. Parties maintaining the Two-Part Distance Table shall not be required or compelled to purchase a new or replacement distance table as a result of this order.

6. In all other respects, Decision 84332 shall remain in full force and effect.

The Executive Director shall cause a copy of this order to be served upon each party of appearance in Case 7024, OSH 31.

The effective date of this order shall be thirty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 22nd day of AUGUST, 1978.

Commissioner Richard D. Gravolio, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Claire T. Dedrick, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Robert B. Brown
President
William J. ...
Veronica L. ...

Commissioners