

ei

Decision No. 89850 :

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY)
for authority to increase its base)
rates to recover increased expendi-)
tures made towards the development,)
purchase and testing of advanced)
energy conservation metering)
equipment.)

Application No. 58481
(Filed November 21, 1978)

ORDER CORRECTING CLERICAL ERROR

Page 5 to original Decision No. 89783 is captioned "Alt. WJC/fg" and was an alternate page that through inadvertence was inserted in the decision as signed by the Commission. The page 5 attached hereto is the page that the Commission intended to have in Decision No. 89783 when that decision was signed; Decision No. 89783 should be corrected accordingly.

Pursuant to Resolution No. A-4661,

IT IS ORDERED that the page 5 attached hereto is substituted for page 5 "Alt. WJC/fg" in Decision No. 89783.

The effective date of this order is the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 4th
day of JANUARY, 1979.



H. L. Farmer
Assistant Executive Director
Public Utilities Commission
State of California

the actual revenue increase is closer to \$1 million, which will be an increase of less than one-tenth of one percent of proposed gross revenues estimated to result from the rates proposed herein, which are \$2.255 billion for 1979.

Edison requests that the application be granted without hearing for the following reasons:

1. Edison's efforts to stimulate conservation require immediate expenditures for the advanced engineering and design of these Energy Economizers.
2. The amount of the increase is relatively minor, representing less than one-tenth of one percent of Edison's total revenues.
3. The showing by Edison in this application is sufficient to support a finding by the Commission that such increase is justified without the need for evidentiary hearing.

Findings

1. The Energy Economizer is a device designed to promote voluntary conservation and load management by residential and small commercial customers.

2. The cost to Edison of purchasing 200 Energy Economizers, improving the design, installing, maintaining, testing, and evaluating test results will be approximately \$1,000,000 in 1979.

3. A rate increase of 0.003¢/kWh, applied to all nonlifeline sales, will produce increased revenues of \$1,000,000 annually and will not enhance Edison's realized rate of return.

4. A rate increase of \$1,000,000 is less than one-tenth of one percent of the total revenues estimated to result from the proposed rates.