Decision No. 91404

BEFORE THE PUBIIC UTIIITIES COMMISSION OF TEE STATE OF CAIIFORNIA
Investigation on the Commission's own, motion into the safety, maintenance, ) operation, use, and protection of all public grade crossings over the Southern Pacific Company's El Paso line between Los Angeles and Ontario, the Union Racific Railroad Company's

Case No. 7521 main line between Los Angeles and Ontario, and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company's main line between Los Angeles and upland and between Los Angeles and Ia Mirada.
0. J. Solander and Gene Bonnstetter, Attorneys at Law, lor California Department of Transportation, petitioners.
NlEred $P$. Johnson, for City of Montebello: G2lbert T. Matthews, for City of La Mirada; and rohn $R$. Price, for City of Santa Fe Springs: protestants.
Douglas Ring, for Supervisor Baxter Ward, Los angeles Courty; Fredericic C. Ohly, for National Railroad passenger Corp. (Amtrak): F. G. Pfrommer, Attorney at Law, fox Atchison, Topeka \& Santa Fe Railway Company; and James P. Jones for California Legislative Board, United Iransportation Omion; interested parties.
William J. Jennings, Attorney at Law, fox the Comission staff.

OPINION AND ORDER
In January of 1963, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) instituted an investigation into the safety, maintenance, operations, use and protection of railroad crossings in the eastern part of Ios Angeles County and the western part of San Bernardino County. The investigation resuited in the issuance of Decision No. 67887, dated September 24, 1964. This decision ordered the upgrading of crossing protection and a limitation on the speed at which trains could be operated over certain crossings. In particular, The Atchison, Topeka and santa Fe Railway Company was ordered to operate at speeds of 65 miles an hour or less at all grade crossings between Los Angeles and La Mirada (Alondra Blva.), inclusive. The ordered improvements have since been made.

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). by the instant petition, now seeks to have Decision No. 67887 modified to remove the 65 miles per hour speed limit on the lines of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company between Los Angeles and Ia Mirada for the purpose of allowing bigher speeds of passenger trains which would increase passenger service on this line. Caltrans also requests that the costs of any improvement required be apportioned in accordance with agreements to be negotiated between the affected parties.

A public hearing on the petition was held on June 21 , 1978, in Los Angeles. The proceeding was subratted on Septerber 24. 1979, upon the filing of a late-filed exhibit by Caltrans regardins noise generated by faster moving trains. The petition was opposed by the City of Montebello, City of La Mirada and the City of Santa Fe Springs.

The primary Caltrans witness testified as to the longstanding state policy of improving the San Diego/Los Angeles rail passenge: service. He stated that it was the goal of Caltrans to shorten the total travel time on the San Diego/Dos Angeles route by at least 20 minutes and that the removal of the 65 mph jimit between ios argeles and La Mirada could reduce the travel time by as much as 6 minutes. The witness also testified that the at-grade crossings would be safe for trains traveling up to 90 mph , subject to minor alterations.

The Caltrans goal of improving passenger service between San Diego and Los jngeles was supported by Supervisor Baxter Ward of Zos Angeles County and by the National Railroad Passenger Corp. (Aratrak).

Although no parties presented evidence in opposition to the petition, three of the cities along the zoute in question took positions in opposition to the petition for various reasons. The City of Santa fe Springs and the City of La Mirada opposed on the basis that any increased train speed would result in o corresponding increase in the hazards at the grade crossings. The City of Montebelio opposed primarily on the basis of increased noise resulting from the increased train speeds,
Staff Position
The staff witness stated that he did not oppose the grant of the petition but recommended that any gramt of authority be conditioned on the minor improvement of protection at fourteen grade crossings, as shown in the appendix to his Exhibit No. 3. In addition to the upgrading of protection, the staff witness also recomended that one crossing be closed (DeCosta Avenue, Santa Fe Springs).

If these recomendations are followed, it was the opinion of the witness that trains could be operated over the crossings at substantially increased speeds without further increasing the likelihood of accidents. Discussion

Although the Cainfomia Public Utilities coce provides the Comission with jurisaiction over the safe operation of raiiroad corporations, the Comission has not generally asserted this jurisdiction to regulate the speeds at which trains may operate. The Federal Railway Administration (F.R.A.) has established a system of regulations whereby the permissibie speec of a train is contingent on the classification of the roacbea. Thus, if we do not impose any speed limits on train service, the railroad companies are stili required to operate in a safe manner and in conformity with F.R.A. standards.

This Comission is well aware of the longstanding state policy of improving the passenger train sexvice between Los Angeles and San Dieso. Not only did Chapter 2349, of the 1976 statutes. discuss time-saving in the los Angeles - San Diego corridor, but more recentiy cmapter 791 of the 1978 statutes mocified this Comission's jurisdiction over rail speed limits. Also, the 1978 statute amended the Califormia Public Resources Coce to exciude projects, sucin as that involved in the instant proceeding, from the Califormia Enviromentai Quality Act (California Priblic Resources Code sect. 21000, et sec.). Thus, although there was much discussion at the hearing of the necessity of an environmental impact report and/or a nesative deciaration, this project does not require such a process as long as any grant of authority is confined to passenger rail operations Califormia public Resources code sect; 21085.5.

Even though this project is not subject to the envirommental impact report process, enviromental factors constitute major issues for our consideration. In the instant proceeding, noise was the only enviromental issue raised by the parties. The noise study (Exhibit 5), conducted by Caltrans, was the only evidence presented on this issue and is very important to our resolution of this proceeding.

The study (Exhibit 5) showed that passenger train noise increasee approximately 4.5 dBA when train speeds increased from 65 to 90 mph . The study also showed that the noise barrier erected by the City of Montebello provided approximately 13 dBA attenuation.

It is clear that granting the petition, subject to the conditions imposed in the ordex, will provide adequate protection at grade crossings and will not result in increased train noise levels above a tolerable level.

## Findings of Fact

1. Crossing protection between Los Angeles and La Mirada has been improved since September 1964 when Decision No. 67887 was issued.
2. Train speeds can be increased substantially between Ios Angeles and La Mirada without increasing the risk of accidents.
3. The DeCosta Avenue crossing in Santa Fe Springs should be closee.
4. An increase of passenger train speeds from 65 mpn to 90 mph results in an increase of noise of 4.5 dBA .
5. The benefits of passenger trains operating at 90 rather than 65 mph outweigh the negative effects of a 4.5 dBA increase in noise.ievel.
6. The improvements recomended by the staff, as shown in the Appendix hereof, should be made before passenger trains exceed 65 mph .
7. The costs of the improvements required by Finding 6 above should be apportioned pursuant to agreement reached between the parties. Conciusions of Iaw
8. California public Resources Code Section 21085.5 exempts the action taken herein from the provisions of the California Envizommental Quality Act.
9. Increasing the passenger train speeds, as requested in tine petition filed berein, is a benefit to the people of California and results in no increased hazard to their health and safety.
10. The petition filed by Caltrans herein should be granted, subject to the limitations and conditions provided in the order.

## ORDER

## IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Atchison, Topeka and Santa EG Railway Company shall improve the automatic protection systems on its main line between Los Angeles and La Mirada, as set forth in the Appendix attached hereto.
2. Construction and maintenance expense of the crossing protection improvements shall be borne in accordance with an agreement entered into between the parties or, if they fail to agree, by further order of the commission.
3. The crossing at DeCosta Avenue, Crossing 2-152.7, shall be abandoned and physically closed to public use within 30 days after the effective date of this order.
4. The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall notify the Commission within 30 days after the closure of the Decosta Avenue crossing and completion of the crossing protection improvements set forth in Ordering Paragraph No. 1 herein.
5. Upon completion of the crossing protection improvements ordered herein, Decision No. 67887 shad be modified to remove the 65 mph speed limit restriction on passenger trains traveling on the main line of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Ie Railway Company between Los Angeles ane Lis Mirada.
6. To the extent not granted herein, the petition is denied. The effective date of this order shall be 30 days from the date hereof.

Dated $\qquad$

Commissioner Canto T. Dedrick. being zecessainiy absent. did =0 par*さotjato in tho disposition or this proceodics.


SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CROSSINO IMPROVFMENIS
THE ATCHISON, TOPFKA, AND SAMTA FE RAIILAY CONPANY MAIN LINP.
LOS ANGELES TO IA MIRADA (ALOFDPN BOLFVIARD)

| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { intem: } \end{aligned}$ | Name \& Mo. of Crossing | city | No. of Traffic Lanes | No. of Trke. M. L. : Other | Present Protection | Proposed Improvements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Eastern <br> Avenue <br> 2-147.3 | Cormerce | 6 | 21 | 2-19 | Add 2 Cantilever F.L. Add 1 F.L., llead for Rt. Turns from 26th Street. |
| 2 | Greenwood <br> Avenue $2-149.5$ | Montebello | 5 | 21 | 2-19 | Add 2 Cantilever F, L. Add 2 F.I. Heads for turning movemente from Sycamore Street. |
| 3 | Seraplis Avenue 2-151.3 | Pico Rivera | 2 | 21 | 2-19 | Add 1 Cantilever F.L, with back lights. Add 1 F.L. Head for Lt. turna from Rivera Road |
| 4 | Passons Boulevard 2-151.45 | Pico Rivera | 5 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3-19 \\ & 1-18 \end{aligned}$ | Add 2 F.l. Heads for turning movemente from Rivera Road |
| 5 | Ploneer Boulevard 2-152. 29 | Santa Fe Springe | 5 | 2 | 2-19 | Add 2 Cantilever F.L. plus median tslands, Add 2 F,L, Heade for turning movemente from Rivera Road |
| 6 | De Costa Avenue 2-152.7 | Santa Fo Springe | 2 | 2 | 2- 3 | close |
| 7 | Norwalk <br> Boulevard $2-153.1$ | Santa Fe Springs | 5 | 22 | 4-\$9 | Remove Tree |
| 8 | Los Metos Road $2-153.4$ | Santa Fe Springs | 2 | 2 | 2-\$9 | Add 2 back lighte, one for each \#9. |


TIF, ATCIISG1, TOPFXA AND SAINA rF RAILAAY CXHANY YAII L.INF:



* Should the separation construction not be complete, tre indicated protection traproverents should be made prior to any inerease in train speed.

