L/dr

Decision No. 92016 JUL 2 1980

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's) own Motion into the adequacy of) Gas and Electric Utility Rates for) Master Meter Customers who provide) Domestic Gas or Domestic Electric) Service, or both, to users through a Submeter System.

ORIGINAL

Case No. 10273

ORDER DENYING MODIFICATION AND CLARIFICATION OF DECISION NO. 90187

San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E) has filed a petition for modification of Decision No. 90187 to exempt utilities with a revised rate differential in compliance with Section 739.5 of the Public Utilities Code from the requirement to produce data on comparable costs of direct and submetered services to mastermetered customers in forthcoming rate cases.

Decision No. 90187 is now final; we may modify it only after notice to all parties and an opportunity to be heard (Section 1708). Such a burdensome procedure is not warranted in this instance. Since SDG&E has already complied with the provisions of Section 739.5 by filing rate differentials under Advice Letters 475-E and 430-G, we believe the issue is moot as to it. We certainly do not intend that SDG&E perform a useless act and submit data on a nonissue.

Southern California Gas Company (SoCal) and Southern California Edison Company (Edison) have each filed a petition for clarification of that same requirement in ordering paragraph 3 of Decision No. 90187. As to Edison, its rate discounts have already been set pursuant to Section 739.5 by Advice Letter 513-E, approved by Resolution No. E-1889, June 3, 1980. Therefore its request to clarify Decision No. 90187 is moot.

C.10273 L/dr

As to SoCal, we do not find the requirement to be unclear. We expect SoCal to make a good faith effort to obtain the data we need to set rate differentials under Section 739.5. If such data is not readily available from SoCal's master-metered customers, we assume SoCal will so inform us. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that modification and clarification of Decision No. 90187 is denied.

The effective date of this order is the date hereof. Dated ________, at San Francisco, California.

2