Decision		-	SSION OF THE		
Company for Schedule G- GGS Service to Be Used	r the Estab -55A - Coge and a Sta with Sched on of a Def	c Gas and Elec Dishment of Ta meration Natur Indard Form Cor Jule No. G-55A Inition of No. 1.	riff ) ral ) ntract)	Application 594 (Filed February 1)	59 9, 1980)
Company to for cogenes related to to revise i special pr: to provide	establish ration; to cogenerati Rule No. 23 lority for an addendu	rn California a new rate sch add definition on to Rule No. to provide a cogeneration; m for special rd customer	hedule) hs ) , l; ) and )	Application 590 (Filed May 21, 1	
San Diego ( Approval to	Jas & Elect D Include (	Application of ric Company fo Ogeneration Gas Departmer		Application 59 (Filed May 21,	

L/avm \*

EX-5

## ORDER CORRECTING DECISION 93126 AND\_DENYING\_REHEARING

An application for rehearing of Decision 93126 has been filed by The Regents of the University of California (University). A response in support thereof has been filed by California Department of General Services; responses in opposition have been filed by Southern California Gas Company (SoCal) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E).

We have carefully considered each and every allegation of error in University's application and are of the opinion that good cause for granting rehearing has not been shown.

-1-

A.59459 et al. L/avm

Contrary to the University's allegation, Special Condition 4 in the model tariff, affixed to Decision 93126 as Appendix A, is not a new condition for service for either existing or potential gas customers. To the contrary, such a condition already appears in the gas tariffs of all the applicants for customers other than those served under Pl or P2 schedules and those Pl and P2 customers are exempted from Special Condition 4.

No customer's conditions of service have been changed. The Special Condition in question merely reflects the obvious fact that some customers with differing priority classifications, and therefore with differing conditions of service, may now be eligible to take at least a portion of their gas service under the new cogenerator rate schedule and it maintains the existing conditions applicable to each customer.

If University, or any other customer, believes there are facts which would support a deviation from those conditions in a given instance, they may apply for such a deviation in accord with our established procedures. Such deviations have been granted in the past when deemed warranted.

University also points out a clerical error in Decision 93126 which should be corrected; Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

(1) The first phrase of ordering paragraph 1.(c) of Decision 93126 is corrected to read as follows:

"Ordering Paragraph No. 6 shall be added as follows:" (2) Rehearing of Decision 93126 as corrected herein is denied.

-2-

A.59459 et al. L/avm

This order is effective today. Dated AUG 18 1981, at San Francisco, California. President Curold growt Autor Mundle Commissioners I concer that this to not the proper case to abstain. challenge the palicy behind Printle C. Grew. Special Condition 4 but encourage petitioners to discuss an appropriate procedure for such a challenge with our staff which has been directed to examine the broade policy question. 2 E. Congro-