ALJ/mdm

Decision 93591 OCT 6 1981



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD PAUL HILLMAN, ET AL.,

Complainants,

vs.

PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case 10895 (Filed July 28, 1980)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This complaint concerns exchange calling areas in the North Lake Tahoe Area (North Tahoe). Complainants believe defendant's service is discriminatory because subscribers in the 546 prefix exchange at North Tahoe can dial Prefix 831 in Nevada, but Prefix 583 subscribers cannot $\frac{1}{2}$.

Defendant answered the complaint on October 17, 1980. Defendant stated it was taking steps to offer the service requested in the complaint and would report to complainants and the Commission by March 31, 1981.

	Areas Concerned	Prefix	Local Calls Prefix
1	Tahoe City, CA	583	525, 546, 562, 583, 587
	Brockway, CA	546	546, 562, 583, 587, 831
	Crystal Bay, NV) Incline Village, NV)	831	546, 831 Plus Others not applicable

The report was filed March 31, 1981 and defendant concluded that the calling patterns and usage in the area involved do not warrant any new serving arrangement or offering of an optional calling plan.

No response from complainants has been received.

We find that no change in defendant's service offered at North Tahoe's 546 and 583 exchanges is warranted and conclude that this case should be dismissed.

> IT IS ORDERED that Case 10895 is dismissed. This order becomes effective 30 days from today. Dated __________, at San Francisco, California

JOHN E BRYSON President RICHARD D. GRAVELLE LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR. VICTOR CALVO PRISCILLA C. GREW Commissioners in that TR