

Decision 96-06-005 June 6, 1996

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

John M. Woodrow,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company,
(U 338 E)

Defendant.

ORIGINAL

Case 94-07-021
(Filed July 15, 1994)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

John M. Woodrow (complainant), a resident in a master-metered mobilehome park, alleges that Southern California Edison Company (Edison) is discriminating against him because Edison will not allow him to participate in its air conditioner cycling program.

Edison points out that complainant is not a customer of the utility; its customer is the owner/operator of the mobilehome park. Edison argues that complainant cannot participate in its Automatic Power Shift Program because his mobilehome is not directly served by Edison.

We conclude that since complainant is not a customer of Edison, the utility is not required to include complainant in its air conditioner cycling program.

Findings of Fact

1. Complainant requests that Edison include him in the utility's air conditioner cycling program.

2. Complainant is not a customer of Edison. Complainant is a submetered customer of the owner/operator of the mobilehome park served by Edison.

Conclusions of Law

1. Since complainant is not a customer of the utility, Edison is under no requirement to include complainant in its Automatic Power Shift Program.
2. The complaint should be dismissed.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that the complaint of John M. Woodrow is dismissed for failure to state a cause of action for which relief can be granted.

This order is effective today.

Dated June 6, 1996, at San Francisco, California.

P. GREGORY CONLON
President
JESSIE J. KNIGHT, JR.
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
Commissioners

Commissioner Daniel Wm. Fessler,
being necessarily absent,
did not participate.