

Decision 97-06-084 June 25, 1997

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Carlos Roberto Rocha, a proprietorship dba Bridge Airporter Express; for authority to extend passenger stage service within and between the I-80 Cities, San Francisco City and County and Oakland International Airport.

ORIGINAL

Application 97-02-038
(Filed February 19, 1997)

O P I N I O N

Carlos Roberto Rocha (applicant), an individual, requests authority under Public Utilities Code § 1031 *et seq.* to extend his passenger stage corporation to transport passengers and express. Applicant is currently authorized to operate an on-call passenger stage corporation (PSC-10532) pursuant to Decision (D.) 96-09-022 between points in the County of Alameda and certain communities in Contra Costa County, on the one hand, and Oakland International Airport (OAK), on the other hand.

Applicant proposes to extend his on-call service to include the City and County of San Francisco and certain communities in the County of Contra Costa, on the one hand, and OAK, on the other hand. Applicant clarified his fare structure between San Francisco and OAK by his letter received by the Rail Safety and Carriers Division (staff) on April 24. This letter also included the fact that he has served copies of the application to the various cities and OAK. The proposed fares and rates for express shipments described in Exhibit B, attached to the application and in applicant's letter are reasonable.

Notice of filing of this application appeared in the Commission's Daily Calendar of March 3, 1997. The applicant mailed a copy of the application to OAK and notified all of the affected cities. No protest has been received.

The Rail Safety and Carriers Division recommends that this application be granted.

Findings of Fact

1. Applicant is currently authorized to operate an on-call passenger stage corporation pursuant to D.96-09-022 between points in the County of Alameda and certain communities in Contra Costa County, on the other hand, and OAK, on the other hand.

2. Applicant proposes to extend his on-call service to include the City and County of San Francisco and certain communities in the County of Contra Costa, on the one hand, and OAK, on the other hand.

3. Applicant, in his letter received by the staff on April 24 clarified the fare structure between the City and County of San Francisco and OAK. This letter also stated that applicant has served copies of the application on the affected communities and OAK.

4. Public convenience and necessity require the proposed extension of service.

5. No protests to the application have been filed.

6. A public hearing is not necessary.

7. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Conclusions of Law

1. The application should be granted.

2. Since the matter is uncontested, the decision should be effective on the date signed.

Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The certificate of public convenience and necessity granted to Carlos Roberto Rocha (applicant), an individual, authorizing him to operate as a passenger stage

corporation, as defined in Public Utilities (PU) Code § 226, to transport persons and their baggage between the points and over the routes set forth in Appendix PSC-10532 of Decision 96-09-022, is amended by replacing Original Page 3 with First Revised Page 3.

2. Applicant shall:

- a. File a written acceptance of this amended certificate within 30 days after this order is effective.
- b. Establish the authorized service and file tariffs and timetables within 120 days after this order is effective.
- c. State in the tariffs and timetable when service will start; allow at least 10 days' notice to the Commission; and make timetables and tariffs effective 10 or more days after this order is effective.
- d. Comply with General Orders Series 101, 104, and 158, and the California Highway Patrol safety rules.
- e. Maintain accounting records in conformity with the Uniform System of Accounts.
- f. Remit to the Commission the Transportation Reimbursement Fee required by PU Code § 403 when notified by mail to do so.
- g. Applicant shall comply with PU Code §§ 460.7 and 1043, relating to the Workers' Compensation laws of this state.

3. Applicant is authorized to begin operations on the date that the Executive Director mails a notice to applicant that his evidence of insurance is on file with the Commission and that the California Highway Patrol has approved the use of applicant's vehicles for service.

4. The application is granted as set forth above.

A.97-02-038 ALJ/KLK/wav

5. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated June 25, 1997, at San Francisco, California.

P. GREGORY CONLON
President

JESSIE J. KNIGHT, JR.

HENRY M. DUQUE

JOSIAH L. NEEPER

RICHARD A. BILAS

Commissioners

SECTION II. SERVICE AREA.

Points and places in the County Alameda.

*Points and places in the City and County of San Francisco.

The following cities and communities located in Contra Costa County:

Danville	Orinda
San Ramon	Walnut Creek
*Richmond	*San Pablo
*San Ramon	*Pinole
*Hercules	*Rodeo

SECTION III. ROUTE DESCRIPTION.

Commencing from any point as described in Section II, then over the most convenient streets, expressways, and highways to OAK.

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission.

*Added by Decision 97-06-084, Application 97-02-038.