ALJ/KLK/avs

Decision 99-02-010 February 4, 1999

Mailed 2/4/99

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Angelito N. David, doing business as BABES Airporter for authority to operate as a passenger stage corporation between points in San Francisco, San Mateo, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties and the San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose International Airports, and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.

Application 98-08-007 (Filed August 10, 1998)

OPINION

Summary

Angelito N. David (applicant), doing business as BABES Airporter, requests authority under Public Utilities (PU) Code § 1031 et seq. to establish and operate a passenger stage corporation to transport passengers and their baggage between points in the Counties of San Francisco, San Mateo, Alameda, and Contra Costa, on the one hand, and the International Airports of San Francisco (SFO), Oakland (OAK) and San Jose (SJC), on the other hand, and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom (ZORF) pursuant to PU Code § 454.2.

Discussion

Applicant proposes to operate an on-call door-to-door passenger stage service 24-hours per day, 7 days per week and will require three hours advance notice to insure timely service. The equipment for the proposed service will initially consist of four vehicles. Applicant indicates that additional equipment will be obtained as needed after the authority is granted to meet the public's requirements.

Exhibit E, attached to the application, is the unaudited Balance Sheet as of July 1, 1998, that discloses: cash on hand, \$10,000; real estate, \$170,000; vehicles, \$45,000, personal property, \$100,000; investments, \$95,000; or total assets of \$420,000. There is no provision for depreciation. Liabilities consist of a promissory note, \$145,000; auto loans, \$22,000; miscellaneous debts, \$2,000; or total liabilities of \$169,000. Net worth is \$251,000.

Applicant also requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$8 above and below any fares lower than \$21 and \$12 above and below any fares \$21 or higher, as shown in Exhibit C. The staff is of the opinion that the establishment of the ZORF is fair and reasonable.

Applicant will compete with other passenger stage corporations, taxi cabs, limousines, buses, and automobiles in his service area.

Notice of filing of the application appeared in the Commission's Daily Calendar on August 12, 1998. Applicant notified the affected airports. The applicant, through his counsel's letter of October 8, 1998, requests a waiver from Rules 21 and 24 of the Commission 's Rules of Practice and Procedure which require service of a notice of the application on all city and county governmental entities within whose boundaries the passengers will be loaded or unloaded. Applicant said that he has served a notice to each sizeable city, transit agency, and regional planning agency and that all parties that have an interest in Commission proceedings subscribe to or have access to the Commission's Daily Calendar.

In Resolution ALJ 176-2999 dated September 3, 1998, the Commission preliminarily categorized this application as ratesetting, and preliminarily determined that hearings were not necessary. No protests have been received. The Commission's Rail Safety and Carriers Division recommends that this application be granted. Given this status, public hearing is not necessary, and it

is not necessary to alter the preliminary determinations made in Resolution ALJ 176-2999.

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief requested. Accordingly, pursuant to PU Code § 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Applicant requests authority to operate an on-call passenger stage corporation between points in the Counties of San Francisco, San Mateo, Alameda, and Contra Costa, on the one hand, and SFO, OAK and SJC airports, on the other hand.
 - 2. Public convenience and necessity require the proposed service.
- 3. Applicant requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$8 above and below any fares lower than \$21, and \$12 above and below any fares \$21 or higher, as shown in Exhibit C, attached to the application.
- 4. Applicant will compete with passenger stage corporations, taxi cabs, limousines, buses, and automobiles in its operations.
- 5. Applicant requests a waiver of Rules 21 and 24 as he has served notice to each sizeable city, transit agency, and transportation planning agency.
 - 6. No protests to the application have been filed.
 - 7. A public hearing is not necessary.
- 8. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. The application should be granted.
- 2. Public convenience and necessity have been demonstrated and the application should be granted.
 - 3. The request for a ZORF should be granted.

A.98-08-007 ALJ/KLK/avs

- 4. Before applicant changes any fares under the ZORP authorized below, applicant shall give this Commission at least 10 days' notice.
- 5. The filing of ZORF fares should be shown in the tariff showing between each pair of service points the high and low ends of the ZORF and the then currently effective fare.
 - 6. The request to waive Rules 21 and 24 should be granted.
- 7. Since the matter is uncontested, the decision should be effective on the date it is signed.

Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A certificate of public convenience and necessity is granted to Angelito N. David (applicant), an individual, authorizing him to operate as a passenger stage corporation, as defined in Public Utilities (PU) Code § 226, to transport persons and their baggage, between the points and over the route set forth in Appendix PSC-12008 subject to the conditions contained in the following paragraphs.

2. Applicant shall:

- a. File a written acceptance of this certificate within 30 days after this order is effective.
- b. Establish the authorized service and file tariffs and timetables within 120 days after this order is effective.
- c. State in the tariffs and timetables when service will start; allow at least 10 days' notice to the Commission; and make timetables and tariffs effective 10 or more days after this order is effective.

- d. Comply with General Orders Series 101, 104, and 158, and the California Highway Patrol (CHP) safety rules.
- e. Comply with the controlled substance and alcohol testing certification program pursuant to PU Code § 1032.1 and General Order Series 158.
- f. Maintain accounting records in conformity with the Uniform System of Accounts.
- g. Remit to the Commission the Transportation Reimbursement Fee required by PU Code § 403 when notified by mail to do so.
- h. Comply with PU Code §§ 460.7 and 1043, relating to the Workers' Compensation laws of this state.
- i. Enroll all drivers in the pull notice system as required by Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code.
- 3. Applicant is authorized under PU Code § 454.2 to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom (ZORF) of \$8 above and below any fares lower than \$21, and \$12 above and below any fares \$21 or higher, as shown in Exhibit C, attached to the application.
- 4. Applicant shall file a ZORF tariff in accordance with the application on not less than 10 days' notice to the Commission and to the public and subject to Commission approval. The ZORF shall expire unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
- 5. Applicant may make changes within the ZORF by filing amended tariffs on not less than 10 days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The tariff shall include between each pair of service points the authorized maximum and minimum fares and the fare to be charged.
- 6. In addition to posting and filing tariffs, applicant shall post notices explaining fare changes in his terminals and passenger-carrying vehicles. Such notices shall be posted at least 5 days before the effective date of the fare changes and shall remain posted for at least 30 days.

- 7. Before beginning service to any airport, applicant shall notify the airport's governing body. Applicant shall not operate into or on airport property unless such operations are also authorized by the airport's governing body.
- 8. Applicant is authorized to begin operations on the date that the Rail Safety and Carriers Division mails a notice to applicant that his evidence of insurance and other documents required by Ordering Paragraph 2 have been filed with the Commission and that the CHP has approved the use of applicant's vehicles for service.
- 9. The certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC-12008), granted herein, expires, unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
- 10. Rules 21 and 24 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure are waived as copies and notice of the application have been served upon parties that may have an interest in this proceeding.
 - 11. The Application is granted as set forth above.
 - 12. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated February 4, 1999, at San Francisco, California.

RICHARD A. BILAS
President
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
Commissioners

Appendix PSC-12008

Angelito N. David (an individual)

Original Title Page

CERTIFICA	
COLIFIC M	10

OF

PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AS A PASSENGER STAGE CORPORATION

PSC-12008

Showing passenger stage operative rights, restrictions, limitations, exceptions, and privileges.

All changes and amendments as authorized by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California will be made as revised pages or added original pages.

Issued under authority of Decision 99-02-010
dated February 4, 1999, of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California in Application 98-08-007.

Appendix PSC-12008

Angelito N. David (an individual)

Original Page 1

INDEX

SECTION I	GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS,	<u>Page</u>
0201101(1.	LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS	2
SECTION II.	SERVICE AREA	3
	I. ROUTE DESCRIPTION	

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission.

Decision 99-02-010 , Application 98-08-007.

SECTION I. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS.

Angelito N. David, an individual, by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the decision noted in the foot of the margin, is authorized to transport passengers and their baggage on an "on-call" basis, between points and places as described in Section IIA, and the airports described in Section IIB, over and along the route described in Section III, subject, however, to the authority of this Commission to change or modify this authority at any time and subject to the following provisions:

- When a route description is given in one direction, it applies to operation in either direction unless otherwise indicated.
- b. The term "on-call", as used, refers to service which is authorized to be rendered dependent on the demands of passengers. The tariffs and timetables shall show the conditions under which each authorized on-call service will be rendered.
- No passengers shall be transported except those having a point of origin or destination as described in Section IIB.
- d. This certificate does not authorize the holder to conduct any operation on the property of or into any airport unless such operation is authorized by the airport authority involved.

Issued by California Public Utilitles Commission.

Appendix PSC-12008

Angelito N. David (an individual)

Original Page 3

SECTION II. SERVICE AREA.

- A. Within the geographical limits of the Counties of Contra Costa, Alameda, San Mateo, and San Francisco.
- B. San Francisco International Airport.
 Oakland International Airport.
 San Jose International Airport.

SECTION III. ROUTE DESCRIPTION.

Commencing from any point as described in Section IIA, then over the most convenient streets, expressways, and highways to any of the airports described in Section IIB.

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission.

Decision 99-02-010 , Application 98-08-007.