

Decision 99-04-043 April 22, 1999

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Harold A. Curry,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Gas Company,
(U 904 G),

Defendant.

ORIGINAL

Case 97-02-028

(Filed February 21, 1997)

Case 97-08-022

(Filed August 7, 1997)

OPINION

Summary

These two cases are dismissed for want of prosecution.

Comments on Draft Decision

The draft decision of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Public Utilities Code § 311(g) and Rule 77.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. No comments pursuant to Rule 77.2 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure were filed.

Findings of Fact

1. Case (C.) 97-02-028 was filed on February 21, 1997, and was timely answered on April 18, 1997.
2. C.97-08-022 was filed on August 7, 1997 and was timely answered on September 18, 1997.
3. C.97-02-028 was set for public hearing on July 18, 1997, but was taken off calendar at the request of complainant.

4. On June 3, 1998, the ALJ to whom these matters were referred wrote to complainant to ask whether he wished a hearing on his complaints.

5. Having received no response, the ALJ again wrote to complainant on September 23, 1998 asking whether complainant wished a hearing on his complaints or whether he wished to dismiss them.

6. There has been no response.

Conclusions of Law

1. Complainant has not prosecuted his complaints with reasonable diligence.
2. The complaints should be dismissed.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The complaints are dismissed.
2. Case (C.) 97-02-028 and C.97-08-022 are closed.

This order becomes effective 30 days from today.

Dated April 22, 1999, at San Francisco, California.

RICHARD A. BILAS
President
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
Commissioners

Decision 99-04-043 April 22, 1999

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Harold A. Curry,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Gas Company,
(U 904 G),

Defendant.

Case 97-02-028
(Filed February 21, 1997)
Case 97-08-022
(Filed August 7, 1997)

OPINION

Summary

These two cases are dismissed for want of prosecution.

Comments on Draft Decision

The draft decision of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Public Utilities Code § 311(g) and Rule 77.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. No comments pursuant to Rule 77.2 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure were filed.

Findings of Fact

1. Case (C.) 97-02-028 was filed on February 21, 1997, and was timely answered on April 18, 1997.
2. C.97-08-022 was filed on August 7, 1997 and was timely answered on September 18, 1997.
3. C.97-02-028 was set for public hearing on July 18, 1997, but was taken off calendar at the request of complainant.

4. On June 3, 1998, the ALJ to whom these matters were referred wrote to complainant to ask whether he wished a hearing on his complaints.

5. Having received no response, the ALJ again wrote to complainant on September 23, 1998 asking whether complainant wished a hearing on his complaints or whether he wished to dismiss them.

6. There has been no response.

Conclusions of Law

1. Complainant has not prosecuted his complaints with reasonable diligence.
2. The complaints should be dismissed.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The complaints are dismissed.
2. Case (C.) 97-02-028 and C.97-08-022 are closed.

This order becomes effective 30 days from today.

Dated April 22, 1999, at San Francisco, California.

RICHARD A. BILAS
President
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
Commissioners