

Decision 00-02-040 February 17, 2000

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY for authority, among other things, to decrease its rates and charges for electric and gas service, and increase rates and charges for pipeline expansion service.

(Electric and Gas) (U 39 M)

Application 94-12-005  
(Filed December 9, 1994)

Order Instituting Investigation into the rates, charges, and practices of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY.

Investigation 95-02-015  
(Filed February 22, 1995)

**OPINION**

In Decision (D.) 99-09-031, the Commission granted limited rehearing of D.95-12-055 and D.98-12-096 for the purpose of further considering the ratemaking treatment of energy theft revenue. In that decision, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) was directed to file and serve a report for each of the years 1996-1998 setting out the energy theft revenues collected and flowed through to ratepayers, any energy theft revenues collected and not flowed through to ratepayers, and also the costs expended each year on its energy theft program.

At the same time, PG&E was authorized to file proposed advice letters to eliminate the effect of the energy theft revenue imputation. The Commission's

staff was directed to review the advice letters. The administrative law judge assigned to the rehearing was directed to hold evidentiary hearings if necessary.

PG&E timely filed Advice Letter 1924-E. No protests to the advice letter were filed, and the Commission's Energy Division found no errors or areas of concern in its review of Advice Letter 1924-E. The advice letter became effective.

This is an uncontested matter. Accordingly, pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

**Findings of Fact**

1. PG&E timely filed Advice Letter 1924-E as authorized in D.99-09-031.
2. Advice Letter 1924-E became effective without protest.
3. No issues remain pending in these dockets.

**Conclusion of Law**

1. Hearings are not necessary.
2. Since all issues have been resolved, these dockets should be closed.

**O R D E R**

Therefore **IT IS ORDERED** that Application 94-12-005 and Investigation 95-02-015 are closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated February 17, 2000, at San Francisco, California

RICHARD A. BILAS  
President  
HENRY M. DUQUE  
JOSIAH L. NEEPER  
CARL W. WOOD  
LORETTA M. LYNCH  
Commissioners