#### PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Resolution ALJ 176-3011 Administrative Law Judge Division March 4, 1999

#### RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION ALJ-176-3011. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

### The Categories

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is

categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

"'Adjudicatory' proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

"Ratesetting' proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). 'Ratesetting' proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

"'Quasi-legislative' proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry." (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

### Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into any of SB 960's defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.

### **Next Steps**

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

#### Conclusion

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

IT IS ORDERED that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on March 4, 1999, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN Executive Director

RICHARD A. BILAS
President
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
Commissioners

# PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULES

## Resolution ALJ 176-3011 (03/04/99)

NUMBER	PROPOSED	PRELIM.	
TITLE	CATEGORY	CATEGORY	HEARING
A99-01-043	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
yxx-01-042	NDIEC	Raicsetting	100
GLYPHICS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. for registration	Registration		
as an interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant	Application		
to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013			
100.02.018	Datasatila s	Datassiica	NO
A99-02-015	Ratesetting NDIEC	Ratesetting	70
CHRISTIAN TELECOM NETWORK, LLC for	Registration		
registration as an interexchange carrier telephone	Application		
corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities			
Code Section 1013			
1,00,00,016	Datasuiss	Datasuiles	NO
A99-02-016	Ratesetting NDIEC	Ratesetting	NO
LEGENDS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. for registration as	Registration		
an interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant to	Application		
the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013			
Λ99-02-017	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	Ю
entre det a dividatione ind t	NDIEC		
STATE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. for registration as a interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant to the	Registration Application		ľ
provisions of the Public Utilities Commission Section 1013	Appreaces		
and D97-06-107. (Financial info filed under seal.)			
A99-02-018	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	Ю
AMERICAN A-1 SHUTTLE SERVICE, INC.,			
RANDHAWA, RAJINDER SINGH, de AMERICAN			
EXPRESS SHUTTL; E to transfer and American A-1			
Shuttle Service, Inc. to acquire, a certificate of public			
convenience and necessity and all other assets pursuant to			
Section 851 of the California Public Utilities Code			
A99-02-019	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
1 Ayy-02-01y	Kaicsetting	Ratesetting	NO
RANDHAWA, RAJINDER SINGH, dba AMERICAN			
EXPRESS SHUTTLE for the authority to operate as a			
passenger stage corporation between points in Alameda			i
County, Santa Clara County, San Mateo County, San			
Joaquin County and Contra Costa County and Oakland		ļ	
International Airport, San Francisco International Airport			
and Sacramento International Airport.	L		J

# PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULES

### Resolution ALJ 176-3011 (03/04/99)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A99-02-023	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
AHMED, EL FATIH ELYAS, dea HORIZON AIRPORTER, AHMED, IZZELDIN ELYAS, dea HORIZON AIRPORTER, SAEED, MOHAMMED, dea HORIZON AIRPORTER, ZEINELABDIN, KAMAL HAMID, dea HORIZON AIRPORTER for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a passenger stage corporation between points in Alameda, Contra Costa and San Francisco Counties on the one hand and Oakland			
International Airport on the other hand A99-02-024	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
EQUILON CALIFORNIA PIPELINE COMPANY LLC, SHELL CALIFORNIA PIPELINE COMPANY, TEXACO CALIFORNIA PIPELINE COMPANY LLC, TEXACO CALIFORNIA PIPELINES INC. for authority to merge with the surviving entity being Equilon California Pipeline Company LLC			
A99-02-025	Ratesetting NDIEC	Ratesetting	Ю
GCC LICENSE CORPORATION for registration as an interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013	Registration Application		
A99-02-026	Ratesetting NDIEC	Ratesetting	NO
GTC TELECOM for registration as an interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant to the provisions of California Public Utilities Code Section 1013	Registration Application		
A99-02-027	*	*	*
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY for rehearing of Resolution E-3582 concerning internet billing			

<sup>\*</sup>Application for Rehearing of Resolution assigned to Legal Division. Categorization/Need for Hearing will be addressed as necessary if the Commission subsequently grants rehearing.

# PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULES

## Resolution ALJ 176-3011 (03/04/99)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A99-02-029	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY informing the Commission of the probable timing of the end of its electric rate freeze; for authorization to change electric rates through implementation of interim ratemaking mechanisms concurrent with termination of the electric rate freeze, and for authorization to change electric rates by adding new, and revising or terminating existing, rate and revenue mechanisms and rate designs			
A99-02-031	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
CITY OF MONTERBY, CITY OF MORGAN HILL, CITY OF WATSONVILLE, PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY for an order under Section 851 of the California Public Utilities Code Section 851 to sell and convey streetlight systems			
A99-02-032	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
ONEOK, SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION for authorization to implement the agreement and plan of merger dated December 14, 1998			
A99-02-033	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	Ю
CITY OF SANTEB, SAN DIEGO METRO, TRANSIT DEVELOP, BOARD for an order authorizing construction of two at-grade crossings and one at-grade pedestrian crossing on one light rail vehicle track at Santee Trolley Square Center Development in the City of Santee, San Diego County			