PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ADVISORY AND COMPLIANCE DIVISION Advisory Branch RESOLUTIÓN E-3171 Décember 18, 1989

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION E-3171. SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY. ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT. TO REVISE THE PRELIMINARY STATEMENT TO REFLECT AN INCREASE IN ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZED BASE RATE REVENUE WHICH WILL RESULT FROM 1990 OPERATIONAL AND FINANCIAL ATTRITION.

BY ADVICE LETTER 778-E, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 1989.

SUMMARY

1. This Resolution approves a January 1, 1990 increase of \$30,382,000 to San Diego Gas and Electric Company's (SDG&E) Electric Department authorized level of base rate revenues. Of the total, \$13,388,000 is for operational attrition, \$17,258,000 is for capital-related attrition, and (\$264,000) is for other authorized items.

2. No rate change will occur January 1, 1990. Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 8 of Decision (D). 89-11-068, rate changes will be deferred pending disposition of Application (A). 89-09-031, SDG&E's current Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) proceeding. Consolidated rate changes are expected May 1, 1990.

BACKGROUND

1. In D. 88-12-095 (SDG&E's test year 1989 general rate case), the Commission authorized SDG&E to revise electric base rates to compensate for 1990 financial and operational attrition.

2. Operational attrition is a decrease in a utility's net operating income due to increases in operation and maintenance expenses attributable to inflation between general rate case test years.

3. Financial attrition is a change in a utility's net operating income due to changes in rate base and cost of capital between general rate case test years.

4. In D. 89-11-068 (the cost of capital proceeding), the Commission adopted the 1990 capital structure and cost of capital for SDG&E shown in Attachment A.

Resolution E-3171 SDG&E/AL 778-E/PAC

NOTICE

1. Public notice of this advice letter was made by publication in the Commission calendar and by SDG&E's mailing copies to other utilities, governmental agencies, and to all interested parties who requseted notification.

PROTESTS

2.

1. On October 24, 1989, the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) protested SDG&E's Advice Letters 163-H, 692-G, and 778-E (steam, gas, and electric attrition). Although this protest was made after the twenty-day time limit had expired, DRA explained that the San Francisco area earthquake of October 17, 1989 had delayed its filing. We will consider the protest.

DRA alleges

"that SDG&E is operating under substantially reduced corporate staffing levels, resulting in significant savings in its Results of Operations (RO)" (Protest, pg. 1).

According to DRA,

"these reductions are not the product of normal or expected changes in operating costs generally contemplated during attrition years, such as due to productivity improvements. Rather they are the product of the merger, an extraordinary event within management's control." (Protest, pg. 1).

DRA notes that its Motion to Establish Memorandum Accounts to Record Expenditures, Savings, and Related Cost Impacts Associated With the Merger, filed May 18, 1989 in A. 88-12-035, is still pending. Failing the granting of that Notion, DRA argues that

> "savings due to reductions in (SDG&E's) corporate staffing levels is an issue timely raised here and ripe for investigation." (Protest, pg. 2).

3. On November 6, 1989, SDG&E filed its written response to DRA's protest. SDG&E argues that DRA is asking for an

> "unwarranted departure from the Commission's adopted attrition ratemaking procedures" (Response, pg. 2)

that would

"undermine the beneficial incentives established by the ARA mechanism" (Response, pg. 3).

Specifically, SDG&E claims that the attrition mechanism was intended to give the benefits of efficiency gains between general rate case test years to shareholders, thereby

Resolution E-3171 SDG4E/AL 778-E/PAC

encouraging such gains over the longer-term (Response, pg. 2). SDG&E also questions DRA's assumption that staff reductions are necessarily merger-produced savings, and claims that

> "'Savings' produced by merger-related employee attrition are being offset by the need for remaining employees to work overtime and by the costs of hiring independent contractors to perform certain functions." (Response, pg. 4)

4. On November 17, 1989, DRA filed a written Reply to SDG&E's Response. DRA restates the thesis of its Protest:

"the pending merger is an extraordinary event fully within management's control. As such, the alleged significant reductions in corporate staffing levels on which the DRA bases its protests are not due to the kind of productivity increases or efficiencies normally encountered in an attrition year request for rate adjustment. They are the product of the merger.

By its protests the DRA does not urge 'an unwarranted departure' from precedent nor the undermining of 'the beneficial incentives established by the ARA mechanism' as SDG&E claims. Rather the DRA seeks to draw to this Commission's attention the distinction between these uniquely merger-related efficiencies and the backdrop of 'business as usual' against which prior attrition year analyses have been conducted." (Reply, pg. 1)

5. Though we understand DRA's desire to cover its procedural bases, the issue raised in DRA's protect is on its face most suited to resolution in the merger proceeding itself, A. 88-12-035. We intend soon to rule on DRA's Notion in that case. As SDG&E correctly notes, the Attrition Rate Adjustment (ARA) mechanism is intended to provide incentives for utility managers to improve the efficiency of the utility, not to serve as a forum to relitigate general rate case issues.

DISCUSSION

1. On September 29, 1989, SDG&E filed Advice Letter 778-E requesting a 1990 electric base rate revenue increase of \$27,875,000 to compensate for attrition. This request assumes 1989 authorized cost of capital. The advice letter also notes that SDG&E's showing in the cost of capital proceeding (A.89-05-023) includes a \$17,823,000 base rate increase to compensate for capital-related attrition.

2. CACD has recalculated SDG&E's request using the rate of return adopted for SDG&E in D. 89-11-068. This and other revenue changes are shown in Attachment B.

3. As authorized in D. 88-12-085, SDG&E requests a \$444,000 decrease associated with the amortization of the December 31, 1988 balance in its electric department

Resolution E-3171 SDG4E/AL 778-E/PAC

ે દેવના છે.

Conservation/Load Management Adjustment Clause (CLMAC) balanoing account. CACD has verified that this amount is reasonable.

4. In addition to the 1990 attrition items explicitly adopted by the Commission in D. 88-12-085, SDG&E's request includes a \$79,000 increase to recover fees paid to intervenors pursuant to Commission orders. CACD has verified that this amount is reasonable.

5. CACD recommends an increase of \$991,000 from SDG4E's request to implement D. 89-11-058. In Ordering Paragraph 2 of that decision, the Commission authorized the utilities to "recover the revenue requirement related to the change to flowthrough for the CCFT (California Corporate Franchise Tax) deduction in estimating ratemaking federal income tax expense". The increase is embedded in capital-related items in Attachment B.

FINDINGS

1. For the reasons discussed above, an electric base rate revenue increase of \$30,382,000 effective January 1, 1990 is just and reasonable.

2. Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 8 of D. 89-11-068, electric rate changes should be deferred pending disposition of A. 89-09-031, SDG&E's current Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) proceeding.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. San Diégo Gas and Electric Company is authorized to incréase its Electric Départment Authorized Base Rate Révenue by \$30,382,000 éffectivé January 1, 1990.

2. Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 8 of D. 89-11-068, electric rate changes shall be deferred pending disposition of A. 89-09-031, SDG&E's current Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) proceeding.

3. This Resolution is effective today.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on December 18, 1989. The following Commissioners approved it:

> G. MITCHELL WILK President FREDERICK R. DUDA STANLEY W. HULETT JOHN B. OHANSAN PATRICIA M. ECKERT Commissioners

Acting Executive Director

Attachment A

December 18, 1989

San Diego Gas & Electric Company 1990 Attrition Allowance

-54

Prior Authorized Capital Structure (D.88-12-094)

Component	<u>Capital Ratio</u>	Cost Factor	Weighted Cost
Long-Térm Débt Préfèrred Stock Common Equity	45.75 % 6.25 <u>48.00</u>	9:23 1 6.97 13.00	4.22 * 0.44 <u>6.24</u>
TOTAL	100.00%		10.90%

Requested Capital Structure* (Attrition Year 1990)

<u>Component</u>	<u>Capital Ratio</u>	Cost Factor	Weighted Cost
Long-Térm Debt Préferréd Stock Common Equity	44,25 6,25 49,50	9.13 4 7.18 13.75	4.04 % 0.45 <u>6.81</u>
TOTAL	100,00%	1	11.30%

*Late-filed Exhibit 40, A.89-05-023

Resolution E-3171 SDG4E/AL 778-E/PAC

Adopted Capital Structure (D.89-11-068)

	<u>Capital Ratio</u>	<u>Cost Factor</u>	Weighted Cost
Long-Term Debt Préférréd Stock Common Equity	• 44.25% 6.25 <u>49.50</u>	9.08% 7.18 12.90	4.02 % 0.45 _6.39
TOTAL	100.00%		10.86%

Attachment B

ŝ,

-

Resolution E-3171

전통화감독 소문하는 것으로 가는 것으로

San Diago Gas & Electric Company Electric Department 1990 Attrition Allowance Revenue Requirements (\$000)

.

Description	Requested	Consolidated Réquest 1/	Adopted
Opérational Attrition:			•
Labor Inflation Non-Labor Inflation Nuclear Refueling	\$5,562 5,319 2,507	5,319	\$5,562 5,319 2,507
Capital-Related Attrition	14,743	32,567	17,258
ARA Mechanism for 1990	\$28,131	\$45,955	\$30,646
Other Items:		· · · ·	_
RD&D Expénse (CIEE Funding) San Onofré Disallowances Intervénor Féés Paid	\$128 (20 79) (27)	
Conservation & Load Management Adj.	(444		(444)
Total 1990 ARA Base Rate Revenue Change	\$27,874	\$45,691	\$30,382
Previously Authorized Base Rate Revenue Add Attrition Increase for 1990			\$774,873 30,382
Adopted Base Rate Revenue for 1990		· · · ·	\$805,255

and D.89-11-068