

COMMISSION ADVISORY AND COMPLIANCE DIVISION Energy Branch RESOLUTION C-2979 February 20, 1992

RESQLUTION

RESOLUTION G-2979. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PG&E) REQUEST TO TRANSFER THIRTY-FOUR CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS FROM CORE TO NONCORE SERVICE SCHEDULES;

BY ADVICE LETTER 1662 ~, FILED ON SEPTEMBER 6, 1991

SUMMARY

1. Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) requests authority to transfer thirty four customers from core to noncore service (Priority P2B). PG&E has submitted thirty four signed agreements completed by PG&E and these thirty four customers. PG&E alleges that all the agreements have been executed effective August 1, 1991, as provided by Resolution G-2948.

2. The Commission Advisory and Compliance Division (CACD) has reviewed these agreements. This Resolution conditionally approves Advice Letter 1662-G. Of the thirty four agreements twenty are approved and fourteen are rejected for various reasons which are discussed later in this Resolution.

BACKGROUND

1. The transfer of customers from core to noncore status was addressed in D.87-12-039 (pp. 43-45 mimeo) and clarified by D.88-03-085 (pp. 13-16 mimeo). Large core customers with usage greater than 20,800 therms per month may transfer to noncore status without installing standby alternative fuel burning equipment provided the following two conditions are met: (1) the customer is willing to accept a lower priority of service (2) the Commission grants an exception to the standby requirement upon a successful showing that the customer "... has the clear technological capability to use alternative fuel and where the cost to do so and then use alternative fuel would be less than the cost of core service" (D. 88-03-085, pg.16 and Ordering Paragraph 6). Exceptions are considered on a case by case basis subject to an annual requalification.

2. Resolution G-2948 mandates many of the practices the gas utilities must use in implementing the new structure of gas procurement. In particular, Resolution G-2948 stated that the

deadline to reclassify customers currently classified as P2A to noncore is August 1, 1991.

PROTESTS

1. In accordance with General Order 96-A, PG&E has mailed copies of these advice letters and related tariff sheets to other utilities and interested parties shown on the service list.

2. No protests have been received by CACD.

DISCUSSION

1. PG&E submitted Advice Letter 1662-G to seek approval of the reclassification of 34 core customers to noncore customers (priority 2B) for a one year period.

2. CACD has reviewed the 34 applicant contracts independently and believes that these applicants have the physical and technical ability to install alternative fuel burning facilities. After evaluating the cost of such facilities CACD believes that sufficient economic incentive exists for 20 of the applicants to install and use alternative fuel should they not be offered noncore status. Twelve of the applicants do not have an economic incentive to install and use an alternative fuel.

3. Estimating errors of the cost of the alternative fuel have been considered by CACD. Those twelve applicants who are being rejected clearly do not have an economic incentive to install and use an alternative fuel.

4. Two of the applicants appear to have the physical and technical capability to install alternative fuel burning facilities and a sufficient economic incentive to install and use alternative fuel, but their signed agreement with PG&E was dated after the August 1, 1991 deadline. Therefore, they can not be offered the option of noncore service in accordance with Resolution G-2948 because the Resolution states that applications of P2A customers desiring to be reclassified as noncore after August 1, 1991 should be denied until this issue is addressed under OII 86-06-005. The Commission has yet to address this issue.

5. For those twenty customers who meet the requirements to move to noncore status, PG&E should take steps to ensure that its estimated amortization period for the proposed alternative fuel systems is consistent with the length of time these customers are expected to remain on the PG&E system.

6. These filings will not increase any existing rate or charge, conflict with of: schedules or rules, or cause the withdrawal of service.

FINDINGS

1. Twenty of the applicants seeking to transfer from core to noncore service under Advice Letter 1662-G have met the conditions required by D. 87-12-039 (pp. 43-45) as modified by D. 88-03-085 (pp. 13-16, and Ordering Paragraphs 6 & 7). These twenty applicants are listed in Attachment A.

2. Twelve of the applicants for transferring from core to noncore service under Advice Letter 1662-G did not meet the conditions required by D. 87-12-039 as modified by D. 88-03-085. These twelve applicants are listed in Attachment A.

3. Two of the applicants seeking to transfer from core to noncore service under Advice Letter 1662-G missed the August 1, 1991 deadline per Resolution G-2948, dated May 22, 1991. These two applicants are listed in Attachment A.

4. PG&E should take measures to ensure that the twenty customers who meet the requirements to move to noncore status remain on the PG&E system for the same length of time as PG&E's estimated amortization period for the proposed alternative fuel system.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. PG&E is authorized to provide noncore gas service to the twenty applicants who met the conditions of D. 87-12-039 as modified by D.88-03-085. These twenty applicants are listed in Attachment A.

2. PG&E is not authorized to provide noncore gas service to the fourteen applicants who did not meet the conditions of D.87-12-039 as modified by D.88-03-085. These fourteen applicants are listed in Attachment A.

3. PG&E shall remind all customers newly assigned to noncore service that their service is now interruptible.

4. Advice Letter 1662-G and the approved agreements shall be marked to show that they were approved by this Commission Resolution G-2979.

5. This Resolution is effective today.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on February 20, 1992. The following Commissioners approved it:

-3-

SHULMAN

Epecutive Director

DANIEL WM. FESSLER President JOHN B. OHANIAN NOPMAN D. SHUMWAY

Commissioner Patricia M. Eckert being necessarily absent, did not participate.

Attachment A

List of Customers Approved for Reclassification And Disapproved for Reclassification

Customers that passed the economic test and are approved to transfer to noncore status:

Customer

Account Number

Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.			92151
Ca. Prune Packing Company	BHP	99.	69751
Gallo Glass Company	ZXJ	N3	63821
Howard Dryer Company			13201
J G Brattan Company			26151
Myers Container Corporation			42806
New United Motor Manufacturing			
Pinole Foint Steel			16272
			92091
San Francisco Bread Company (SF)			26301
San Francisco Bread Company (Oakland)	BJO	SB	91221
Spaich Farms/Ca Prune Packing Company			69751
Sunshine Biscuits, Inc.			92021
Sunsweet Dryers (Colusa)			19781
Sunsweet Dryers (Madera)			
Sunsweet Dryers (Live Oak)			85001
Sungwoot Drivers (Managers 1)			12501
Sunsweet Dryers (Marysville)			40001
Sunsweet Dryers (Gridley)	NFD	M1	62601
Sunsweet Dryers (Corning)	BFN	N1	00501
Sunsweet Dryers (Orland)			05031
Sunsweet Dryers (Red Bluff)			10001
	4.947, TA	44.6	TOOOT

Customers that did not pass the economic test and are not approved to transfer to noncore status:

Customer

Account Number

Gangi Bros. Packing Company	ZXN SB	92141
Jaswant Bains Dryer	MHP 76	
Leprino Food Company	JXV 36	
Lockheed Missiles & Space Company		92021/92011
Marlani Packing Company	VHP N1	.20001
Mayfair Packing Company Dehy. #14	VHP N2	10001
MayIalr Packing Company Site #2	YHP N4	
Mayiair Packing Company, Red Bluff	XFN N1	
Plaza Farms	LFJ N4	
San Francisco Bread Co. (San Jose)	MVP 45	
Spalch farms	2117 76	22504
Richard Wilbur Ranch	DELE 40	12191
	DELE ING	****

ببها يحمدهم الهادة

یو آدی و او گیرو آدیمرک دارو در امور اولا و ایرو وسط دار کا در وقت کام



Customer			Account Number
Foster Farms Da			ZXV SB 92311
Foster Farms Tu	Trey broduct	ts	4XX N3 18702

End of Attachment

Sec. 11 .

n an an Alban an Alb

. . . .