PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rail Safety and Carriers Division Rail Engineering Safety Branch Rail Transit Safety Section RESOLUTION ST-21 Date December 20, 1996

RESQLUTION

RESOLUTION ST-21. GRANTING APPROVAL OF SAN DIEGO TROLLEY INC.'S SYSTEM SAFETY PROGRAM PLAN

SUMMARY

This resolution grants the San Diego Trolley Inc.'s (SDTI) request for approval of its system safety program plan except for the security portion which is deferred until January 1, 1998.

BACKGROUND

The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 required the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to issue a rule requiring the States to oversee the safety of rail fixed quideway systems not regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration. That rule, 49 CFR Part 659 (FTA rule), became effective on January 26, 1996. The FTA rule requires, in part, that each rail transit agency prepare a system safety program plan in accordance with requirements established by a designated State oversight agency. The FTA rule further requires the designated State oversight agency to approve in writing, before January 1, 1997, each rail transit agency's system safety program plan, except for the security portion of each plan which must be approved in writing before January 1, 1998. The FTA is allowing the designated State oversight agencies an extra year to define the security requirements which have never before been considered as an integral part of system safety for rail transit.

Governor Wilson designated the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) as the State oversight agency for California by letter dated October 13, 1992. The Commission then responded to the FTA rule by adopting General Order No. 164, Rules and Regulations Governing State Safety Oversight of Rail Fixed Guideway Systems. General Order No. 164, which became effective on September 20, 1996, contains requirements which must be met by each rail transit agency for preparing and obtaining Commission approval of a system safety program plan. Rail Safety and Carriers Division Rail Engineering Safety Branch Rail Transit Safety Section

DISCUSSION

By letter dated November 19, 1996, SDTI submitted its System Safety Program Plan dated November 1996 for review by staff of the Rail Transit Safety Section (staff) and approval by the Commission. The SDTI submittal has been reviewed by staff in accordance with a 23 point checklist that is included in the Rail Transit Safety Section's procedure RTSS-2, Procedure for Reviewing, Approving and Filing Transit Agency Prepared System Safety Program Plans.

The completed checklist showing that staff reviewed the SDTI system safety program plan and found it to be acceptable, except for the security portion of the plan which was not reviewed, is attached as Appendix A. Based upon the results of this review, staff recommends that the Commission grant approval of SDTI's system safety program plan as being in compliance with the requirements in General Order No. 164 and the FTA rule requirement for approval prior to January 1, 1997. Staff further recommends that approval of the security portion of SDTI's system safety program plan be deferred until January 1, 1998.

PROTESTS

No protests or objections have been received.

FINDINGS

- 1. SDTI has requested by letter dated November 19, 1996 approval of its system safety program plan.
- 2. Staff has reviewed SDTI's system safety program plan (except for the security portion) and determined that the plan meets the requirements contained in General Order No. 164 and the FTA rule requirement for approval prior to January 1, 1997.
- 3. Staff has not reviewed the security portion of SDTI's system safety program plan which in accordance with the FTA rule does not require Commission approval until January 1, 1998.

-2-

4. Staff recommends that with the exception of the security portion, SDTI's request for approval of its system safety program plan be granted by the Commission.

Rail Safety and Carriers Division Rail Engineering Safety Branch Rail Transit Safety Section

IT IS ORDERED that:

SDTI's November 19, 1996 request for approval of its System Safety Program Plan, dated November 1996 is granted except for the security portion of the plan which shall be submitted to the staff of the Rail Transit Safety Section for review, and approval by the Commission prior to January 1, 1998.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on December 20, 1996. The following Commissioners approved it:

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN Executive Director

P. GREGORY CONLON President DANIEL Wm. FESSLER JESSIE J. KNIGHT, Jr. HENRY M. DUQUE JOSIAH L. NEEPER Commissioners

Rail Safety and Carriers Division Rail Engineering Safety Branch Rail Transit Safety Section


RESOLUTION ST-21 December 20, 1996

				IDIX /			
	SU	MMA			CKLIST		
	REVIEWING SYS	STEN		DR (FET	Y PROGRAM PLANS		
TRAN	ISIT AGENCY: San Diego Trolley, Inc.		U N				1
PLAN TITLE: System Safety Program Plan			A C C E P			A C C E P	
REVI	NO: <u>N/A</u> DATE: <u>November 1996</u> ITEM	P T A B L E	T A B L		ITEM	T A B L E	
1	Policy Statement and Authority for System Safety Program Plan	Yes		13	Training and Certification Review / Audit	Yes	
Ž	Description of Purpose for System Safety Program Plan	Yes		14	Emergency Response Planning, Coordinating, Training	Yes	
3	Clearly Stated Goals for System Safety Program Plan	Yes		15	System Modification Review / Approval Process	Yes	
4	Identifiable and Attainable Objectives	Yes		16	Safety Data Acquisition / Analysis	Yes	
5	System Description / Organizational Structure	Yes		17	Interdepartmental / Interagency Coordination	Yes	
6	System Safety Program Plan Control and Update Procedure	Yes		18	Configuration Management	Yes	
7	Hazard Identification / Resolution Process	Yes		19	Employee Safely Program	Yes	
8	Accident / Incident Reporting & Investigation	Yes		20	Hazardous Materiais Program	Yes	
9	Internal Safety Audit Process	Yes		21	Drug and Alcohol Abuse Programs	Yes	
10	Facility Inspections (Includes Systems Equipment & Rolling Stock)	Yes		22	Contractor Safety Coordination	Yes	
11	Maintenance Audits / Inspections (All Systems & Facilities)	Yes		23	Procurement	Yes	
12	Rules / Procedures Review	Yes					

_____X_Acceptable Unacceptable, Revise and Resubmit viewed by: Joey E. Bigornia_____**Dev E. Bigornia_____**Date: November 20, 1996 Approved by: Donald R. Johnson______DH:1:57_____Date: November 22, 1996

	CHECKLIS	FOR REVIEWING OF SYSTEM S	AFE	TY I	ROG	RAM PLANS
	Transit A	gency: <u>San Diego Trolley</u> , Inc.				
Revi	ewer: Joey E. Bigorni	a		Date	-	ibër 20, 1996
No.	CHECKLIST ITEM	SSPP REQUIREMENTS		INCLUDED		sect. COMMENTS
		Does the plan contain or provide for:	Y	И	RŠP i	#
1	Policy Statement and Authority for System Safety Program Plan	a. Approval of the SSFP by the CEO or Board of Directors?	X		1	1.1
	Salecy Program Plan	b. Preparation and maintenance of the SSPP by a specific department or person?	X		3	1.6
2	Description of Furpose for System Safety Frogram Plan	a. An explanation of the purpose of the plan?	X		2	1.2
		 Definition of the term "System Safety" and other related terms? 	X		1	1,1
•		c. A description of the shared responsibilities for safety by the operations, maintenance, and engineering departments?	X		3	1.5
		d. Assignment of authority for plan implementation by operations, maintenance, and engineering departments?	X		3	1.5
3	Clearly Stated Goals for System Safety Program Plan	a. A listing of system-specific safety and security goals that are long term, meaningful, and realizable?	* X		2	1.3, 1.4
4	Identifiable and Attainable Objectives	a. A statement of objectives that are quantifiable and achievable through the implementation of policies and procedures?	X		2	1.2, 1.3, 1.4
		b. A statement that safety policies are established by top management?	X		1	signature of General Manager
		c. A statement that addresses the personal security of passengers and employees?	¥	x		SEE NOTE:

NOTE: 4(c) A security plan will be incorporated in the 1997 SDTI System Safety Program Plan prior to January 1, 1998 - as required by the FTA.



*NOTE: See note on previous page.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8	Accident / Incident Reporting & Investigation	a. Criteria for determining what accidents / incidents require investigation, and who is responsible to conduct specific investigations?	x	25	6.1.9
		b. A description of the procedures for performing investigations; including the reporting of findings, conclusions reached, corrective action recommendations, and follow up to verify corrective action implementation?	X	25	6.1.9
		c. CPUC accident and unacceptable hazardous conditions reporting and investigation requirements as specifically contained in the Commission General Order:	X	25	6.1.9
		 Notification to CFUC staff of unacceptable hazardous conditions and reportable accidents 	x	25	6.1.9
		 Prior notice to allow CPUC staff participation in post accident / incident inspections, examinations, and testing. 	X	25	6.1.9
		Submittal of written accident / incident investigation reports to CPUC staff for review and approval. Reports to contain the most probable cause, other contributing causes, corrective action plans, and schedule for implementing corrective action.	x	25	6.1.9
9	Internal Safety Audit Process	a. Plannéd and schéduled internal safety audits to be performed by the transit agency to evaluate compliance and measure the effectiveness of its SSEP?	X	35	8.2
		b. The use of written checklists?	X	35	8.2.1
		c. Auditors that are independent from the first line of supervision responsible for the activity being audited?	X	35	8.2
		d. Documenting the audit findings in written reports that include an evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of the SSEP?	X	35	8.2.2
		e. An annual audit report issued prior to February 15, summorizing the results of the individual audits performed during the previous year, including a summary of required corrective action, if any, and provisions for follow-up to ensure timely implementation? This report submitted to CPUC staff for review?	x	35	8.2.2

• •

.

10	Facility Inspections (Includes Systems Equipment & Rolling Stock)	 a. Preparation of a list of the safety related facilities and equipment subject to regular inspection and testing? 	X	 27, 26	6.2.2, 6.2.1
		b. A description of how safety related equipment and facilities are included in a regular maintenance inspection and testing program?	X	27, 26	6.2.2, 6.2.1
		c. A description of how identified hazards are entered into the hazard resolution process?	X	30, 33	7.4.2, 7.4.3
11	Haintenance Audits / Inspections (All Systems E Facilities)	a. A description of the maintenance process including controls over equipment manuals, shop/site specific procedures, maintenance records, and tracking and resolving of problems identified during inspections; including the lack of required maintenance?	X	19, 21	5.2.2, 5.3.2
12	Rules / Procedures Review	 Developing, maintaining, and effective use of operating rules and procedures? 	X	 23	6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3
13	Training and Certification Review / Audit	a. Categories of safety related work requiring training and certification?	X	17,18	5,1,4,5,2,1, 5,3,1,1,5,3,1,2
		b. A description (including frequency) of the training and certification program for employees in safety related positions?	X	sce 13(a	
		c. Haintaining a permanént file of personnel training records?	X	see 13(a)	
14	Emergencý Résponsé Planning, Coordination, Training	a. The preparation and use of emergency response procedures?	X	16	5.1.3
		b. Meetings with outside emergency response agencies and the holding of regularly scheduled, emergency drills?	x	24	6.1.7

