## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rail Safety and Carriers Division

**RESOLUTION ST-24** Date December 20, 1996

Rail Engineering Safety Branch

Rail Transit Safety Section

## RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION ST-24. GRANTING APPROVAL OF SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RAILWAY'S SYSTEM SAFETY PROGRAM PLAN

## **SUMMARY**

ŀ

This resolution grants San Francisco Municipal Railway's (MUNI) request for approval of its System Safety Program Plan except for the security portion which is deferred until January 1, 1998.

## BACKGROUND

The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 required the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to issue a rule requiring the States to oversee the safety of rail fixed quideway systems not regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration. That rule, 49 CFR Part 659 (FTA rule), became effective on January 26, 1996. The FTA rule requires, in part, that each rail transit agency prepare a system safety program plan in accordance with requirements established by a designated State oversight agency. The FTA rule further requires the designated State oversight agency to approve in writing, before January 1, 1997, each rail transit agency's system safety program plan, except for the security portion of each plan which must be approved in writing before January 1, 1998. The FTA is allowing the designated State oversight agencies an extra year to define the security requirements which have never before been considered as an integral part of system safety for rail transit.

Governor Wilson designated the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) as the State oversight agency for California by letter dated October 13, 1992. The Commission then responded to the FTA rule by adopting General Order No. 164, Rules and Regulations Governing State Safety Oversight of Rail Fixed Guideway Systems. General Order No. 164, which became effective on September 20, 1996, contains requirements which must be met by each rail transit agency for preparing and obtaining Commission approval of a system safety program plan.

## DISCUSSION

By letter dated November 15, 1996, MUNI submitted its System Safety Program Plan dated November 15, 1996 for review by staff of the Rail Transit Safety Section (staff) and approval by the Commission. The MUNI submittal has been reviewed by staff in accordance with a 23 point checklist that is included in the Rail Transit Safety Section's procedure RTSS-2, Procedure for Reviewing, Approving and Filing Transit Agency Prepared System Safety Program Plans.

The completed checklist showing that staff reviewed the MUNI system safety program plan and found it to be acceptable, except for the security portion of the plan which was not reviewed, is attached as Appendix A. Based upon the results of this review, staff recommends that the Commission grant approval of MUNI's system safety program plan as being in compliance with the requirements in General Order No. 164 and the FTA rule requirement for approval prior to January 1, 1997. Staff further recommends that approval of the security portion of MUNI's system safety program plan be deferred until January 1, 1998.

## **PROTESTS**

No protests or objections have been received.

## **FINDINGS**

- MUNI has requested by letter dated November 15, 1996 approval of its system safety program plan.
- Staff has reviewed MUNI's system safety program plan (except for the security portion) and determined that the plan meets the requirements contained in General Order No. 164 and the FTA rule requirement for approval prior to January 1, 1997.
- 3. Staff has not reviewed the security portion of MUNI's system safety program plan which in accordance with the FTA rule does not require Commission approval until January 1, 1998.
- 4. Staff recommends that with the exception of the security portion, MUNI's request for approval of its system safety program plan be granted by the Commission.

RESOLUTION ST-24 December 20, 1996

#### IT IS ORDERED that:

MUNI's November 15, 1996 request for approval of its System Safety Program Plan, dated November 15, 1996 is granted except for the security portion of the plan which shall be submitted to the staff of the Rail Transit Safety Section for review, and approval by the Commission prior to January 1, 1998.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on December 20, 1996. The following Commissioners approved it:

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN Executive Director

/1/ester/

P. GREGORY CONLON
President
DANIEL Wm. FESSLER
JESSIE J. KNIGHT, Jr.
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
Commissioners

## **APPENDIX A**

	SUI	MMA		CHE( )R	CKLIST		
	REVIEWING SYS	STEN			Y PROGRAM PLANS		
<u>Sa</u> PLAN	SIT AGENCY: an Francisco Municipal Railway  TITLE: SF Muni Railway System Safety Program Plan NO: N/A DATE: November 15, 1996	ACCEPTABLE	UNACCEPTABLE		ITEM	ACCEPTABLE	UN A C C E P T A B L E
1	Policy Statement and Authority for System Safety Program Plan	Yes		13	Training and Certification Review / Audit	Yes	
2	Description of Purpose for System Safety Program Plan	Yes		14	Emergency Response Planning, Coordinating, Training	Yes	
3	Clearly Stated Goals for System Safety Program Plan	Yes		15	System Modification Review / Approval Process	Yes	
4	Identifiable and Attainable Objectives	Yes		16	Safety Data Acquisition / Analysis	Yes	
5	System Description / Organizational Structure	Yes		17	Interdepartmental / Interagency Coordination	Yes	
6	System Safety Program Plan Control and Update Procedure	Yes		18	Configuration Management	Yes	
7	Hazard Identification / Resolution Process	Yes	·	19	Employee Safely Program	Yes	
8	Accident / Incident Reporting & Investigation	Yes		20	Házardous Materials Program	Yes	
9	Internal Safety Audit Process	Yes		21	Drug and Alcohol Abuse Programs	Yes	
10	Facility Inspections (Includes Systems Equipment & Rolling Stock)	Yes		22	Contractor Safety Coordination	Yes	
11	Maintenance Audits / Inspections (All Systems & Facilities)	Yes		23	Procurement	Yes	
12	Rules / Procedures Review	Yes					
The S	Rules / Procedures Review  ystem Safety Plan is:  Acceptable	Yes					

X Acceptable	
Unacceptable, Revise and Resubmit	a
Reviewed by: Len Hardy	rolling
Approved by: Donald R. Johnson	reisas

Date: November 18, 1996

Date: November 22, 1996

# CHECKLIST FOR REVIEWING OF SYSTEM SAFETY PROGRAM PLANS

Transit Agency: SAN FRANCISCO HUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Reviewer: LEN HARDY					Date: 11/18/96			
No.	CHECKLIST ITEM	SSPP REQUIREMENTS		INCINDED		COMMENTS		
		Does the plan contain or provide for:	Y	N	REP.	Sections		
1	Policy Statement and Authority for System Safety Program Plan	a. Approval of the SSPP by the CEO or Board of Directors?	X		1	1,1		
-	Sattle Program Plan	b. Preparation and maintenance of the SSPP by a specific department or person?	х		7	3,4,1		
2	Pescription of Purpose for System Safety Program	a. An explanation of the purpose of the plan?	X		6	3.1, paragraph 6		
	Plan	b. Definition of the term "System Safety" and other related terms?	x	ļi	1	1.1.1		
	•	c. A description of the shared responsibilities for safety by the operations, maintenance, and engineering departments?	Х		7	3.4		
		d. Assignment of authority for plan implementation by operations, maintenance, and engineering departments?	X		7	3.4		
3	Clearly Stated Goals for System Safety Program Plan	a. A listing of system-specific safety and security goals that are long term, meaningful, and realizable?	* X	, i	1	1.2		
` 4	Identifiable and Attainable Objectives	a. A statement of objectives that are quantifiable and achievable through the implementation of policies and procedures?	X		5	3.1		
		b. A statement that safety policies are established by top management?	X		3	1.3		
		c. A statement that addresses the personal security of passengers and employees?	* X		1	1.2		

\* NOTE: The security portion of the SSPP was not reviewed by staff at this time. Approval of the security portion has been deferred until 1-1-98 as permitted by the FTA Rule 49 CFR Part 659.

5	System Description / Organizational Structure	a. A system description?  b. Organization charts showing the lines of authority and responsibility for operations, maintenance, and engineering as they relate to system safety; including security?	X	3 Apper	2.0 dix A
		c. A written description or diagram showing the lines of communication between the transit agency and the Commission staff for safety related matters affecting operations, maintenance, engineering, and construction?	X	22	5.5.5
6	System Safety Program Plan Control and Update Procedure	a. A maximum time interval between documented plan reviews to determine whether or not the plan needs to be revised to meet changed conditions and requirements?	X	7 .	3.4.1
		<ul> <li>A description of the method for updating, correcting, and modifying the plan?</li> </ul>	X	. 7	3.4.1
		c. Identification of the persons responsible for initiating, developing, and approving changes to the plan?	x	7	3,4,1
		d. A statement that the Commission staff will be notified of plan changes?	X	7	3.4.1
7	Hazard Identification / Resolution Process	<ul> <li>A description of the mechanism by which hazards are identified and documented for operations, maintenance, and engineering?</li> </ul>	x	37	10.1
		b. A description of the process by which identified harards are categorized, analyzed, and resolved for operations, maintenance, and engineering, tincludes harard severity, hazard probability and use of the APTA Hazard Resolution Matrix)?	X	8	39

<sup>\*</sup> Note: See note at bottom of previous page

8	Accident / Incident Reporting & Investigation	a. Criteria for determining what accidents / incidents require investigation, and who is responsible to conduct specific investigations?	Х		7	3,5
		b. A description of the procedures for performing investigations; including the reporting of findings, conclusions reached, corrective action recommendations, and follow up to verify corrective action implementation?	х	,	8	3.6
		c. CFDC accident and unacceptable hazardous conditions reporting and investigation requirements as specifically contained in the Commission General Order;	x		7	3.5
	,	<ul> <li>Notification to CPUC staff of unacceptable hazardous conditions and reportable accidents</li> </ul>	X		7	3.5
		<ul> <li>Prior notice to allow CPUC staff participation in post accident / incident inspections, examinations, and testing.</li> </ul>	х		7	3.5
		Submittal of written accident / incident investigation reports to CFUC staff for review and approval. Reports to contain the most probable cause, other contributing causes, corrective action plans, and schedule for implementing corrective action.	x		7	3.5
9	Internal Safety Audit Process	a. Planned and scheduled internal safety audits to be performed by the transit agency to evaluate compliance and measure the effectiveness of its SSPP?	х		8	3.7
		b. The use of written checklists?	x		8	3.7
		c. Auditors that are independent from the first line of supervision responsible for the activity being audited?	x	:	8	3.7
,	·	d. Documenting the audit findings in written reports that include an evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of the SSPP?	x		8	3.7
	,	e. An annual audit report issued prior to February 15, summorizing the results of the individual audits performed during the previous year, including a summary of required corrective action, if any, and provisions for follow-up to ensure timely implementation? This report submitted to CPUC staff for review?	х		8	3.7

•

10	Facility Inspections (Includes Systems Equipment & Rolling	facil	aration of a list of the safety related lities and equipment subject to regular ection and testing?	Х	8	3.8	
	Stock)	and (	scription of how safety related equipment facilities are included in a regular tenance inspection and testing program?	х	8	3.8	
		c. A des enter	scription of how identified hazards are red into the hazard resolution process?	Х	8	3.8	
11	Maintenance Audits / Inspections (All Systems & Facilities)	inclu shop/ recor ident	scription of the maintenance process uding controls over equipment manuals, site specific procedures, maintenance ids, and tracking and resolving of problems tified during inspections; including the of required maintenance?	х	8.	3.8	
12	Rules / Procedures Review	a. Devel opera	loping, maintaining, and effective use of sting rules and procedures?	Х	8	4.0	
13	Training and Certification Review / Audit		pories of safety related work requiring ning and certification?	Х	15	5.0	
		train	scription (including frequency) of the ning and certification program for employees afety related positions?	Х	15	.5.0	
			aining a permanent file of personnel ning records?	х	15	5.0	
14	Emergency Response Planning, Coordination, Training		neparation and use of emergency response dures?	Х	29	8.5	
	Itaining	agenc.	ngs with outside emergency response ies and the holding of regularly scheduled, pency drills?	. x	29	8.5	
					•		
						•	

				·		
15	System Hodification Peview / Approval Process	a. Identification of the unit in the organization with responsibility for ensuring that hazards associated with system expansions or modifications are included in the Hazard Resolution Process?	х		40	10.3
		<ul> <li>Inclusion of operating and safety department personnel in the design review process for new equipment and system expansions?</li> </ul>	х		40	10.3
		c. A sign-off and certification process for verification of operational readiness of new equipment and system expansions prior to entering revenue service?	x		40	10.4
		d. Assignment of responsibility and authority for approval of modification exceptions to established design criteria for new equipment and system expansions?	X		40	10.4
16	Safety Data Acquisition / Analysis	a. The collection, maintenance, and distribution of safety data relative to system operation?	Х		9 22	4.2 5.5.5
17	Interdepartmental / Interagency Coordination	a. A description of interdepartmental coordination for the exchange of safety related information?	x		22	5.5.5
		b. Coordination of communications with the CFUC staff to keep them informed of significant safety issues on a timely basis?	х		22	5.5.5
18	Configuration Hanagement	a. A description of the configuration management control process; including the authority to make configuration changes, and assurances necessary for all involved departments to be formally notified?	χ		25	7.1
19	Employee Safety Program	a. An Employee Safety Program incorporating the applicable state and federal OSHA requirements?	Х		28 20	8.2 5.2
- 20	Hazardous Haterials Programs	a. A Hazardous Materials Program incorporating the applicable local, state, and federal requirements?	Х		48	12.2
21	Drug and Alcohol Abuse Programs	a. A Drug and Alcohol Abuse Program incorporating the federal DOT requirements?	Х		12	4.5
22	Contractor Safety Coordination	a. Safety requirements that contractor personnel must follow when working on, or in close proximinity of, the Transit Agency's property?	х		37	10.1
23	Procurement	a. Safety measures / controls for procurement of hazardous materials?	Х		48	12.2
		b. Receiving inspection of procured materials and equipment to prevent the inadvertent installation of defective items?	X		42	11.1