Copy for:		RESOLUTION NO. T-11014
Orig. and Copy to Executive Director		EVALUATION AND COMPLIANCE DIVISION
	RESOLUTION	DATE: March 5, 1986
Director		
Numerical File		
Alphabetical Fil	le	
Accounting Office		

SUBJECT: General Telephone Company of California. Order authorizing revisions in the tariff schedule to incorporate changes in Balloting and Allocation Process for Equal Access. Resolution No. T-11014.

WHEREAS: GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, by Advice Letter No. 4993, filed February 7, 1986, requests authority under Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code to make effective the following tariff revisions:

Better define accountability and procedural requirement for the Balloting and Allocation Process for Equal Access.

In accordance with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Opinion and Order various changes have been made to General Telephone Operating Companies Tariff FCC No. 1 regarding the Balloting and Allocation Plan.

In order to maintain parity with these interstate revisions and minimize administrative difficulties General is requesting authority to modify its intrastate tariff. This proposal will not affect Balloting and Allocation requirements mandated by The California Public Utilities Commission in Decision No. 85-07-095.

A summary of major changes proposed is as follows:

- Adds a statement that the balloting and allocation process does not apply if only one Interexchange Carrier (IC) orders Feature Group D (FGD) from an end office.
- Establishes a 120 day minimum period notification requirement for ICs intending to participate in the balloting process.
- Specifies that a second ballot will be sent to end users who do not designate an IC, either by the return of the initial ballot or by inclusion on an IC customer list between 40 to 90 days before an office conversion.
- Modifies the percentage requirement to allocate nondesignated end users. The percentage will be compiled from the ballots and lists received.

- Establishes IC requirements for a signed letter of agency or confirmation of choice from the end user.
- Adds procedures required when there is a conflict between an end user's ballot and an IC list.
- Adds language that an end user just select a Primary Interexchange Carrier at the time an order is placed. Specifies that the end user has access to the other ICs until service is received from the selected IC.
- Adds language that during the period of two years from the date FGD service is discontinued, the utility will bill the cancelling IC the service order charge when each end user currently designated to the IC changes to a new primary interexchange carrier.

This proposal will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, nor conflict with other schedules or rules.

The Commission finds that the rates, charges and conditions authorized in this Resolution are just and reasonable and present rates, charges and conditions, as they differ from the rates, charges and conditions authorized in this Resolution are for the future unjust and unreasonable; and good cause appearing,

IT IS CRDERED that:

- (1) Authority is granted to make the above revisions effective on March 10, 1986.
- (2) Revised Cal. P.U.C. No. C-1, 4th Revised Sheet 223.1, 2nd Revised Sheet 223.2 and 1st Revised Sheets 223.3 through 223.6 shall be marked to show that such sheets were authorized by Resolution of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California No. T-11014.

The effective date of this Resolution is today.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, held on March 5, 1986 the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

DONALD VIAL
President
VICTOR CALVO
IS SCILLA C. GREW
FREDERICK R. DUDA
Commissioners

Executive Director

ir editor