

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EVALUATION & COMPLIANCE DIVISION  
Telecommunications BranchRESOLUTION NO. T-12019  
April 22, 1987R E S O L U T I O NAT&T COMMUNICATIONS. ORDER AUTHORIZING REVISIONS IN THE  
PRIVATE LINE SERVICE TARIFF (SCHEDULE CAL. P.U.C. NO. B3)  
TO MODIFY THE OFFERING OF BRIDGED ALARM CHANNELS.

AT&T Communications, by Advice Letter No. 79, filed March 20, 1987, requests authority under Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code to make effective the following tariff revisions:

1. Transfer Bridged Alarm Channels offering from the Miscellaneous Experimental Channels Section of tariff B3 to the Series 3000 Channels section in order to consolidate the 3000 channels offerings.
2. Change the method of applying bridging charges.

Bridged alarm circuits permit an alarm company to poll (query) and receive responses from a maximum of 128 terminations. These terminations are located on the premises of the alarm companies' clients. The special arrangements consist of a four-wire trunk channel from the control station of the alarm company to the local exchange company central office that serves the alarm company's clients. The trunk channel is then bridged to a two-wire station channel connected to the customer's premises (A bridging connection is a parallel communications connection).

Under AT&T's present tariff the bridging cost is intended to be recovered in the Local Channel-Duplex charges for the trunking between central offices in the same rate center. However, there are situations where this trunking is not required and consequently the bridging cost is not recovered. In addition, AT&T's customer account personnel do not have prior knowledge of the trunking provisioning of the local exchange telephone companies. AT&T is therefore unable to quote these charges to the customer nor write the appropriate rate element on a service order to effect the proper billing whenever Local Channel-Duplex charges should apply. The customer will not know the full charges until he/she receives the bill from Pacific Bell (Pacific does the billing for AT&T).

In order to eliminate these problems AT&T proposes the following changes:

1. Establish a new rate element called Bridging with a monthly rate of \$2.00 and a nonrecurring charge of \$196.82 per local channel bridged.
2. Delete the monthly recurring Local Channel-Duplex (LC-D) charge.
3. Delete the nonrecurring Local Channel charge.

The proposed method of recovering the bridging cost is more equitable, easier to administer and less confusing to the customers. Bridging is only one of four rate elements in providing Bridged Alarm Channels Service. The other three elements, local channel, termination, and interoffice will remain unchanged. The maximum impact of this change on a customer's total billing for this service is less than 5%.

Eight customers currently subscribe to AT&T's bridged alarm service. The dollar impact of this proposal on AT&T's bridged alarm customers is as follows:

IMPACT ON BRIDGED ALARM CUSTOMERS

	COMPANY NAME	Number of		PRESENT	PROPOSED	Impact
		Circuits	Control Stations	Rate	Rate	
			LC-D			
			LOOPS			
1.	Customer 1	60	1	7	\$166.95	\$116.00 (\$50.95)
2.	Customer 1	3	1	0	0.00	8.00 8.00
3.	Customer 2	50	1	4	95.40	102.00 6.60
4.	Customer 3	19	1	1	23.85	40.00 16.15
5.	Customer 4	7	1	2	47.70	16.00 (31.70)
6.	Customer 5	24	1	2	47.70	50.00 2.30
7.	Customer 6	34	1	2	47.70	70.00 22.30
8.	Customer 6	89	1	9	214.65	180.00 (34.65)
9.	Customer 7	83	1	2	47.70	168.00 120.30
10.	Customer 8	35	1	4	95.40	72.00 (23.40)
11.	Customer 8	68	1	8	190.80	138.00 (52.80)
12.	Customer 8	15	1	0	0.00	32.00 32.00
		TOTALS			\$977.85	\$992.00
					TOTAL MONTHLY IMPACT	\$14.15
					TOTAL ANNUAL IMPACT	\$169.80

AT&T estimates that the impact of this proposal would increase the the annual revenue of its Bridged Alarm Channels offering by \$169.80. Although the revenue impact of this proposal is insignificant to AT&T the modified rate structure is less confusing to AT&T's customers and service representatives and is consistent with the rate structure of the local exchange companies.

Notice of the proposed revisions were sent to the customers by letter dated March 20, 1987. To date no protest has been filed.

The Commission finds that the rates, charges and conditions authorized in this Resolution are just and reasonable and present rates, charges and conditions, as they differ from the rates, charges and conditions authorized in this Resolution are for the future unjust and unreasonable; and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that:

(1) Authority is granted to make the above revisions effective on April 30, 1987.

(2) Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. B3, Revised Sheets 4, 37, 38, 39, 101, and 102 and 2nd Revised Sheets 2, 40 thru 46 shall be marked to show that such sheets were authorized by Resolution of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California No. T-12015.

The effective date of this Resolution is today.

I certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on April 22, 1987. The following Commissioners approved it:



Executive Director

STANLEY W. HULETT  
President

DONALD VIAL  
FREDERICK R. DUDA  
G. MITCHELL WILK  
JOHN B. CHANTIAN  
Commissioners