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Telecommunications Branch Commission Advisory \& Compliance Division

RESCIUTICN T-14235
December 19, 1990

RESOLUTION
RESOLUTION T-14235. PACIFIC BETS. ORDER APPLYTFG THE ADOPTED PRICE CAP MECHANISM IN CCPPLLLANCE WITH DECISION 100 . 89-10-031 ARD 90-09́084 THROUGH ADJUSTMENTS TO SURCHARGES/SUPCREDITS TO BE EFFECTIVE JAMIARY 1, 1991.

BY ADNICE LEITER 15821, FIIED ON OCIOBER 1, 1990, AS SUPPLENENIED BY ADVICE LETTER 15821A, FILED NDVEMBER 9, 1990.

## SUMMARY

This order authorizes Pacific Bell to effect a \$114.027 million revenue requirement decrease associated with its 1991 Annal Price Cap Index Filing (Advice Letter 15821/15821A).

The revenue adjustments reflect the 1991 Intral ATA SPF-toSLU settlement effects and 1991 InterLATA SPF-to-SLU revenue shift (revenue neutral to Pacific Bell), a 1991 price cap index (economy wide Gross National Product-Price Index, GNPPI, less productivity adjustment) impact decrease of $\$ 13.539$ million, and a net $Z$-factor (exogenous factors whose effects are not reflected in the economy wide GNP-PI) adjustment decrease of $\$ 100.488$ million. These revere requirement adjustments result in a $\$ 114.027$ million decrease that will be reflected in a surcharge/surcredit effective January 1 , 1991.

Protests to Pacific's Advice Letter No. 15821 were filed by the Commission's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) and AT\&T Comminations of California (AT\&T).

The revenue requirement changes are summarized in the following table:

1991 Price Cap Revenue Requirement Change, $\$ 000$
Note - reverue reaxction in ()

|  | Continued | One-time total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price Cap Impact $(0.2$ 8) without | $(13,539)$ | 0 | $(13,539)$ |

## 2-Factors:

A. USOAR Stepdown

| $(23,122)$ | 0 | $(23,122)$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 9,130 | 4,763 | 13,893 |
| 4,540 | 0 | 4,540 |
| $(19,933)$ | 0 | $(19,933)$ |
| 4,303 | 0 | 4,303 |
| 14,759 | 0 | 14,759 |
| 0 | $(80,904)$ | $(80,904)$ |
| $(5,124)$ | 0 | $(5,124)$ |
| $(8,900)$ | 0 | $(8,900)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $(24,347)$ | $(76,141)$ | $(100,488)$ |
| $(37,886)$ | $(76,141)$ | $(114,027)$ |

## BACRGROUD

In our Decision No. 89-10-031, we adopted an incentive-based regulatory framework for Pacific Bell (Pacific) and GIE California Incorporated (GIEC).

Recalling from that decision, we note that "the new regulatory framework centers ancurn a puice cap indexing mechanism with sharing of excess eamings above a benchmark rate of return level ...". "Following a startup reverus adjustment ..." (D.89-12-048), "prices for the utilities' basic monopoly services and rate caps for flexibly priced services will be indexed annalally acconding to the Gross National Product Price Index (GWP-PI) inflation index reduced by a productivity adjustment of 4.5\%."
"The indexing formula also allows for rate adjustments for a limited category of exogenous factors whose effects will not be reflected in the economywide GXP-PI. While all such costs cannot be foreseen campletely, we recognize that the following factors may be reflected in rates as exogenous factors", called 2 -factors; " changes in federal and state tax laws to the extent that they affect the local exchange
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carriers disproportionately, mandated jurisdictional separations changes, and changes to intralata toll pooling arrangements or accounting procechures adopted by this Comission."

In cur Decision 10. 90-09-084, we granted the reguests of Pacific and GibC to impleient the 1991 price cap rate adjustments ervisioned by D.89-10-031 through a change to the utilities' billing surcharges/surcredits rather than through changes to tariffed rates. He called for Pacific and GIBC to file Advice Letters, no later than October 1, 1990, to implement these surcharge/surcredit changes to be effective Jamary 1, 1991.

On October 1, 1990, Pacific filed Advice Letter No. 15821, requesting billing surcharge/surcredit changes to be effective Jamary 1, 1991, due to the 1991 price cap index mechanism, cextain onetime and contirued 2-factor adjustuments, 1991 IntraLATA SPP-to-SLU settlement effects, and 1991 InteriATA SPF-to-SUU revenue shifts. On November 9, 1990, Pacific filed a Supplement A to Advice Letter No. 15821, to revise its Carrier Camm Line Charge to effect the InterLATA SPF-toSLI revenue shift.

The 1991 Price Cap Filing reveruse requirement adjustments requested by Pacific in its Advice Letter No. 15821/15821A are reflected in colum A of Appendix A to this Resolution.

Pacific's filing includes revenue requirement adjustments (reductions in parentheses) for both one-time or 1991 year only, and more than one-year or contínuedt

1. Price Cap Index, (\$13.539 millian) - A 1991 Price Cap Index factor of $-0.2 \%$.
2. USOAR Stepdowng ( $\$ 23.122$ million) - A contimued Z-Factor adjustment to reflect the Unifom System of Accounts (USCA) step down reveruse requirement reduction ordered by Decision No. 88-09-030, I.87-02-023.
3. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit, $\$ 14.007$ millian - A contimued and one-time 2 -factor adjustment to reflect the increased costs associated with an accountling chame that allows pacific to place certain items of plant costing between $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ in expense accounts rather than ratebase (D.90-08-029, A.90-02050).
4. Compensated Absences, $\$ 4,540$ million - A contimed z-factor adjustment to include the amortization of the embedded compensated absence impacts of $\$ 4.540$ million anmually through 1997 authorized by Resolution F-627, September 12, 1990.
5. Expensing Station Comections, ( $\$ 9.347$ million) - A contimued $z$-factor adjustment to reflect one month's refund of annual amortization of station connections ordered by Decisions No. 93728 and 82-01-100.
6. State Tax Oonfomity-VPA, $\$ 4.303$ million - A contimed zfactor adjustrent to recover additional tax expenses resulting fron 1990 approwal of AB 274 that oonforms the Califomia Corporate Franchise Tax lan to federal tax lân with respect to the deduction for vacation pay accnual.
7. Dial Fquipment Minutes, $\$ 14.759$ - A continued Z-factor adjustment to reflect a Federal Conmunications Conmission adoption of a separations change in apportioning local switching costs based on dial equipment minutes.
8. Inside Wire Refund, (\$79.904 million) - A one-time Z-factor adjustment to reduce revenue reguirement by the net amounts, including interest, entered into the memorandum accounts established pursuant to D.86-12-099, plus the net balance for the year 1989 in those accounts, as set forth in Decision 90 -06-069.
9. Public Packet Switching, ( $\$ 5.124$ million) - A contimued 2factor adjustment to reduce revenue reguirements to reflect costs and expenses associated with Public Packet Switching Services as set forth in Decision 10. 90-05-045.
10. AT\&T Billing and Collections, $\$ 20.100$ million - A one-time $2-$ factor adjustment reflecting a nequest by Pacific for return of 1990 incnemental negative nevenue adjustment of $\$ 20.100$ million required by Resolution T-13037 (flow through of SSA 89-1, a Special Service Arrangement with AT\&T commanications of California) and a nequest for a finding by the Comission that 2-factor adjustments for AT\&T billing and collections in order to flow through incremental revenue requirement effects are inappropriate under the new regulatory framework adopted in D. 89-10-031.

Pacific's total 1991 Price Cap Index and $z$-factor revenve requirement adjustments amount to ( $\$ 73.327$ million) and are shown in Appendix $A$.

The Price Cap Index factor of $-0.2 \%$ is based on a change in the GPP-PI of 4.38 for Second Quarter 1990 over Second Quarter 1989. When the $4.5 \%$ productivity gain offset factor is applied, a price cap index of -0.28 results. Applied to a billing base of $\$ 6,769.698 \mathrm{mlli}$ ion, this factor results in a revenue requinement decrease of $\$ 13.539$ milifion.

SPP-to-SIU Peverwe Pequinement Shift
Ondering Paragraph 15 of Decision 150. 89-10-031 reguined InterLATA SPF-to-SUU revenue shifts and IntraiATA SPF-to-SLU cost and settlement effects to be included in the Price Cap Filing. The SPF-to-SLU Interlata transition in allocation of non-traffic-sensitive costs to access services was prescribed by Decision 1b. 85-06-115, to be accorplished through six annual steps beginning in January 1986 and cont inuing in January 1988 and each year thereafter until January 1992.

The revenue reguirement inpact of the SPF-to-SUU transition is reverue neutral to Pacific; the InterLATA SPF-SUN nevenve shift for 1991 is

|  | $\$ 000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Exchange | $\$ 38,645$ |
| Toll | $\$ 30,290$ |
| Access | $(\$ 68,935)$ |

The IntraiATA SPF-to-SUL settlement effect is

|  | $\$ 000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Exchange | $(\$ 7,900)$ |
| Toll | $\$ 7,900$ |

The change in the OCTC (excluding High Cost Fund increment) is as follons

| CCIC | FPOH | TO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Premium Access Yinute, each | $\$ 0.0215$ | $\$ 0.0170$ |
| Discounted Access Min., each $\$ 0.0168$ | $\$ 0.0133$ |  |

## PROIESTS

Protests to Pacific's Advice Letter No. 15821 were filed with the Commission's Actuisory and Compliance Division in accordance with General Onder 96-A by the Commission's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) On October 22, 1990, and by ATXT Communications of California, Inc. (ATsT) on October 24, 1990. Pacific responded to the protests of DRA and AT\&T by letter to the Canmission's Executive Dinector on October 29, 1990.

No protests were received with respect to the Price Cap Index factor of -0.28 , but DRA has protested the applicable Billing Base. We will discuss the Billing Base below.

No protests were recelved with respect to Pacific's nevenue requirement adjustments for:

USOAR Stepdown
Compensated Absences
State Tax Conformity-VPA
Dial Equipment Mirntes
Public Packet Switching
DRA has raisod protest concerning Pacific's adjustrents for:
$\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit
Expensing Station Connections
Inside Wire Fefund
ATKT Billing and Collections
In addition, DRA recommends that Pacific include an additional zfactor adjustment (continued) to reflect the nevenue regulirement
reduction of $\$ 8.9$ million authorized by D. 90-10-039, which ordered Pacific to flow through to ratepayers Bond Padenction Tax Benefits arnally for 28 years.

ATxT has protested Pacific's revenue adjustment for Expensing Station Connections.

He will discuss these protests below in the Discussion section, and adopt a final revenue requinanent for Pacific.

## DISCUSSION

I. Bond Fedsaption Tax Benefits - DRA protested that the Commission, on October 12, 1990, after Pacific's filing, ondered in D.90-10-039 that pacific flow through to ratepayers $\$ 8.9 \mathrm{million}$ in hond rederption tax benefits annually for 28 years; consequently DRA called for a continued Z-factor adjustment of ( $\$ 8.900$ million) in this filing.

In its response to the DRA protest, Pacific notes that the Bond Rodenption Tax Benefits issue, htich was pending as part of DRA's Petition for Modification of D.89-12-048 at the time of Pacific's filing, is now resolved by the Commission's Decision Ho. 90-10-039; and Pacific concurs with DRA that this item should be included as a 2 factor adjustment in the 1991 Price Cap filing.
Hence we will include a ( $\$ 8.900$ million) continued Z-factor adjustment for Borid Redemption Tax Benefits.
II. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit - DRA protested that interest was calculated on a full year's basis rather than for the effective period of the accounting change. DRA proposes a $\$ 0.116 \mathrm{million}$ one-time adjustment be made to remove the excess interest from the $\$ 4.877$ million one-time adjustment proposed by Pacific.

Pacific, in its response, agrees with DRA that interest on the neverue requirement authorized by D. $90-08-029$ is incorrect. However, Pacific says that DRA has used a simple interest calculation to determine the $\$ 0.116$ million adjustment. If average monthly compound interest is used, the figure results in a $\$ 0.078$ million adjustment. Paclfic angues that DRA has suggested using corpound interest calculations in another issue in this filing (Inside Wire Pefund) and that the interest methodology used should be consistent.

He agree with Pacific that interest calculations should be corsistent in this filing, and we will adopt the compound method, for the $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit adjustment, the adopted figures arei

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Continued }-\$ 9.130 \text { million } \\
& \text { one-Time }-\$ 4.763 \text { million } \\
& \text { Total }-\$ 13.893 \text { million }
\end{aligned}
$$

III. Bxpensing Statirn Connoctions - Both protestants, DRA and ATET, propose an additional 2 -factor adjustment (reveme decrease) to neflect pacific's rate base rechuction in station connection imestment. The amount is in addition to the ( $\$ 9.347 \mathrm{million}$ ) expense reduction made by Pacific for the annual amortization of the embedded irvestment.

DRA calls for a ( $\$ 10.001$ million) adjustment for the final eleven month amortization period in 1991. AT\&T has called for a (\$39.100 million) contimued adjustment, stating that sten the station connection investment is fully amortized, Pacific shotild no longer be recovering oither the amortization expense or any neturn and tax allowance on that investment.

ATET notes that the Comission, in Decision 110. 93728 ordered that ratepayers should bear a portion of the increased revenue requirement caused by the amortization of the station connections; acoordingly, rates were increased in the past (1981) to acconmodate this. ATXT further notes that the Camission found that "the future reduction of rate base resulting from the amortization of those Account 232 erbedded costs will redound to the benefit of the general body of ratepayers ${ }^{\prime \prime}(7$ CPUC 2nd 140 at 179). AT\&T notes that Decision No. 93728 required Pacific to reduce rates effective in January 1983 to reflect the rate base reductions for 1982 and 1983 (Ordering Paragraph 11).

AT\&T points out that Commission decisions in 1985 and 1986 on attrition methodology reaffinmed the amortization principles; AT\&T states that Decision 1\%. 86-12-099 called for separate treatment of "accounts subject to special amortization" in attrition filings. AT\&T states that Pacific's 1988 and 1989 attrition filings reflect the rate base reduction with respect to station connections, and that pacific's allowed return and tax amounts reflect that nechuction. AT\&T computes rate base changes since September 1989 (the end of Pacific's attrition filing, when AT\&T says the Commission, by oversight, falled to require Pacific to make a new regulatory framework start-up adjustment for the rate base decrease che to station connection amortization), and computes a revenue requinement decrease of $\$ 29.085$ million for 1989 1990 and a revenue requirament decrease of $\$ 9.998$ for 1991.

DRA considered only the rate base change cuse to amortization in 1991, and computed a reverue requirement decrease of $\$ 10.001 \mathrm{million}$, very similar to ATsT's for that year.

In its response, Pacific claims that it has computed the effect of the station connection amortization comectly and that it is inappropriate to make any revenue adjustment to account for changes in the station connection rate base.

Pacific states that it was not by oversight that the commission failed to require any station connection rate base change as part of the start-up revenue adjustment; it notes that Commission recognized in D.89-10-031 that amortization of station connections should be recognized as a $z$-factor, but that when pacific made its start-up
reverue adjustrent filing after that decision, no party alleged that a revenue adjustment be made for station connection rate base changes. Pacific states that the Commission itself made no change in its startup revenue adjustment for station connection rate base changes (D.89-12-048). Pacific claims that when the Conmission adopted the new incentive-based regulatory framework, it discontimued the ratecase/attrition process and the accampanying detailed review of pacific's rate base and expenses.

Pacific claims that in none of its 1988 and 1989 attrition year filings referenced by ATsT is there a calculation of a revenue requirement impact due specifically to station connections rate base changes.

Pacific, assuming that should an adjustment for station connections rate base change be appropriate under the new regulatory framework, points out that both ATET and DRA have incorrectly quantified the nevenue requirement effects of station connection rate base changes by failing to include the deferred tax effect, changes in separations factors due to removal of the station connections from Pacific's books after the completion of the amortization, and the effect on the level of settlement payments made by pacific after the lower cost and rate base results from the completion of the station connection amortization.

We agree with ATrT and DRA that changes in the rate base due to the station connection amortization should result in reduced revenue requirements. We will not investigate further to deternine if these rate base reductions were accompanied by rechuced rates resulting from the general rate case and attrition filings during the first eight years of the amortization through september 1989. It is our intent that the rate base reductions anticipated in Decision 93728 continue as revenue requirement reductions under the new requlatory framework, and we take this cpportunity to complete the amortization and rate base decrease through 1991 and 1992 z-factor adjustments.

Accondingly, we will require a revenue requirement reduction for rate base decrease due to the station connection amortization since the start of Pacific's new regulatory framemork, September 1989. We will apply this adjustment as a 2-factor; hence we will use the methodology for calculating z-factor adjustments prescribed by the FOC, and cited by GIE California in its response to AT\&T's protest of GIE California's station connection expense, namely, on a point-to-point period basis, comparing relevant offects at the time rates become effective to comparable effects a year prior, considering the period January 1, 1990 through January 1, 1991.

Moreover, we will include the effects, proposed by Pacific, for deferred taxes, separations, and settlements.

For the effect of rate base changes on the 2 -factor for Expensing Station Comections, we include an additional $\$ 10.586$ million revenue requinement decrease, for a total reduction of $\$ 19.933$ million.

He also direct Pacific to consider the rate base docrease due to Expensing Station Oonnoctions for the period January 1, 1991, through January 1, 1992, as a 2-factor revenue nequirement adjustment in its next Annual Price Cap Filing due October 1, 1991.
IV. Inside Hire Refund - DRA protested Pacific's use of a simple interest calculation to corpute interest aconved on the memorandun account per D.90-06-069. DRA believes that interest should be calculated by the average monthly compound interest method, and calls for additional interest of $\$ 1.000$ million to be refunded to ratepayers on a one-time basis, for a total Inside Wire Refund of $\$ 80.904$ million.

In its response, Pacific does not object to the use of compound interest method for the Inside Wire Pefund, but states that interest should be calculated consistently for this filing; Pacific points out that DRA itself has used the simple interest method for corputing interest in DRA's protest of Pacific's $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit Zfactor adjustment in this filing.

As we have stated above in our resolution of the protest of the $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit $Z$-factor adjustment protest, we adopt the compound interest method, and will apply this to the Inside Wire Refund adjustment to achieve consistency in this filing.

He accept DRA's $\$ 1.000$ million incroase in pacific's Inside thire Pefund for a total refund of $\$ 80.904$ million as a one-time z-factor adjustment.
V. Ar\&T Billing and Collections - DRA has protested Pacific's inclusion of a one-time 2-factor adjustment of a $\$ 20.100 \mathrm{milli}$ ion increase for the 1990 ircremental revenue requirement effect fram Spocial Service Arrangement (SSA) 89-1, the AT\&T Billing and Collections agreement.

Camission Resolution T-13073 (June 21, 1989) with approved SSA 89-i had requined Pacific to refund $\$ 21.9$ million for the 1989 ratamaking effect and $\$ 20.1$ million for the 1990 effect of the new billing arrangement. These increments were ordered as one-time refunds, and will end on December 31, 1990. Pacific will increase its rates on January 1, 1991 by $\$ 42.0$ million with the termination of this refund.

DRA claims that Pacific has complied with Resolution T-13073 and has made the 1990 flow through, however, DRA says that Pacific is now asking for a $\$ 20.100 \mathrm{milli}$ on refund because Pacific believes that the original requirement for the refund expressed in Resolution $\mathrm{T}-13073$ is inappropriate in light of the new regulatory framesork adopted in October 1989. DRA says that if the Price Cap Z-factor adjustment of $\$ 20.100$ million for the ATrT Billing and Collections refund is granted, Pacific will realize $\$ 62.1$ million in additional reverue on January 1, 1991 with $\$ 42.0$ mililion coming from the refund temination.
Pacific responds that it requests the $\$ 20.1 \mathrm{mflli}$ ion for the 1990 ATrT Billing and Collections refund because under the new regulatory
fromework the flow through of SSA 89-1's incremental reverave requirement effect purswant to Resolution $T-13073$ is inappropriate, and it asks the Cormission to discontimue ary requinement to flon through future incremental reverue nequirement effects for ATrT Billing ard Collections.

DRA Concurs with Pacific's request for the Commission to discontirye future flow through incremental neveme requinements for ary ATBT Billing and Collections agreement.

He agree with DRA that the 1990 flow through of $\$ 20.1 \mathrm{million}$ required by Resolution $\mathrm{T}-13703$ in June of 1989 is appropriate and is not altered by cur adcption of a new regulatory framework for Pacific in October 1989; hence, the $\$ 20.1$ million should not be refunded to Pacific as a 1991 Price Cap one-time 2-factor adjustment. he will discontimue future flow through incremental reverue requirements for new AT\&T Billing and Collections agreaments.

He reject Pacific's $\$ 20.100$ million one-time 8 -factor adjustment for the ATBT Billings and Collection refund.

## VI. Billing Base

DRA protested Pacific's exclusion of Inside wire maintenance billing impacts from Pacific's Billing Base amount of $\$ 6,769.698$ million. ORA notes that it had anticipated a supplement to Pacific's Advice Letter 10. 15798 to exerpt tariffed Simple Inside Wire Repair service from the billing surchange, prior to Pacific's Price Cap Index Filing on October 1, 1990. Such a supplement was not made by October 1, 1990, and DRA assumes Pacific will apply the surcharge to Inside Wire Maintenance billings.

However, on October 23, 1990, Pacific did file a Supplement B to Atvice Letter No. 15798, that exempts Simple Inside Wire Repair service from the bllling surcharge.

Since the Billing Base protest appears moot, we accept Pacific's original amount of $\$ 6,769.698$ mililon for the Billing Base.

In summary, the adopted reverue requirement adjustments in Pacific's 1991 Price Cap Index Filing are indicated in colurn D of Appendix A; the total reverue requirement adjustment (Price Cap Index and 2factor) is a negative $\$ 114.027 \mathrm{million}$.

## FODINS

1. Pacific filed Advice Letter 15821 on October 1, 1990, with Supplement A of Hovember 9, 1990, to propose a $\$ 73.327$ million revenue requirement decrease associated with its 1991 Anmal Price Cap Index Filing.
2. Atvice Letter $15821 / 15821 \mathrm{~A}$ is filed in compliance with Decision 1b. 89-10-031 as modified by Decision 10. 90-09-084.
3. Pacific's proposed revenue adjustments reflect the:
a. 1991 Intralata Spf-to-SUU settlement effects (revenue neutral).
b. 1991 InterIATA SPF-to-SLU revenwe shift (nevenve neutral).
c. 1991 Price Cap Index of -0.23 , revenue decrease of $\$ 13.539$ million.
d. z-factor revenuse adjustments to reflect exogenous effects not reflected in the Price Cap Index, namely:
i.) USOAR Stepdown, revemue decrease of $\$ 25.122$ million.
ii.) $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit, reverue increase of $\$ 14.007$ million.
iii.) Compensated Absences, reverue increase of $\$ 4.450$ million.
iv.) Expersing Station Connections, reverue decrease of $\$ 9.847$ million.
v.) State Tax Conformity-VPA, revenue increase of $\$ 4.303$ million.
vi.) Dial Equipment Minstes, reveme increase of \$14.759 million.
vii.) Inside Wire Fefund, revenue decrease of $\$ 79.904$ million.
viii.) Public Packet Switching, revenue decrease of $\$ 5.124$ million.
ix.) AT\&T Billing \& Collections, revemue increase of $\$ 20.100$ million.
4. The 1991 InterLATA SPF-to-SIU revenue shift is accomplished by a billing surcharge increase for Exchange and Toll Services, and a billing surcharge decrease for Access Services and a conmon Carrier Line Change decrease from $\$ 0.0215$ to $\$ 0.0170$ for each Premium Access Mimute, and from $\$ 0.0168$ to $\$ 0.0133$ for each Discounted Access Minute.
5. Protests were filed by DRA and AT\&T, protested Pacific's proposed revenue adjustments due to:
```
a. \(\$ 200\) to \(\$ 500\) Expense Limit
b. Expersing Station Connections
c. Inside Wire Refund
d. AT\&T Billing and Collections
```

In addition, DRA recammended an additional 2 -factor adjustment reflecting a revenue requirement decrease of $\$ 8.900$ million to flow through to ratepayers Band Pederption Tax Benefits required by Decision No. 90-10-039. DRA also proposed that Pacific's Billing base should include billing impacts of Inside Wire Maintenance.
6. The revemue requirement adjustments proposed by DRA and AT\&T are summarized in Appendix A.
7. Pacific concurs with DRA's reocrmendation conceming the ( $\$ 8.900 \mathrm{million}$ ) revenue nequirement for Bord Fadengtion Tax Berefits. He adopt this adjustment.
8. Pacific recomends that consistent interest calculations be adopted to compute interest effects in the $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Experse Inmit adjustrent and the Inside Wire Befund. We will compute interest by the compound interest method for each.
9. Pacific concurs with DRA's recommendation to calculate interest for the $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Bupense Limit adjustment for the effective period of the change rather than for a full year. He will adopt DRA's meccmmendation to use the effective period, which is less than a full year.
10. DRA and ATxT propose that the rate base decrease due to Expensing Station Conmoctions results in an adiitional reverue reguirement decrease. DRA calls for a ( $\$ 10.001 \mathrm{million}$ ) adjustment to refléct the final eleven month amortization period of 1991. ATET calls for a ( $\$ 39.100$ million) adjustment to reflect amortization cocurring since Septenber 1989, the end of Pacific's attrition mechanism.
11. Pacific clatms that it has corquited the effects of Expensing Station Connoctions correctly, and that it is inappropriate to make any revenue adjustment to account for changes in the station connection rate base.
12. He agnee with DRA and AFET that a docrease in the rate base should result in a reduced nevenue requirement for Pacific. We will make a final revenue nequirement adjustment to reflect the rate base decrease resulting fram completion of the station connection amortization. He will use the methodology we endorsed for $z$ factor calculation, namely, by corparing nelevant effects at the time rates become effective to ocmparable effects a year prior, considering the period January 1, 1990 to Jamuary 1, 1991.
13. Pacific points out that DRA and ATST failed to consider the deferned tax effect, changes in separations factors, and the effect on the level of settlement payments made by pacific in their recommended adjustments. He will consider these effects in our calculation of a z -factor adjustment for rate base decrease due to Expensing Station Connections.

He corpute an additional revenue requirement decrease of $\$ 10.586$ million for the rate base decrease during the period January 1, 1990, through January 1, 1991. hio will require Pacific to consider the rate base decrease due to Expensing Station Connections during the period January 1, 1991, through Jamary 1, 1992, as a z-factor nevemue requinement adjustment in its 1992 Annual price Cap Filing.
14. DRA protested Pacific's use of a simple interest calculation to compute interest on the memorandum account for the Inside Wire

Pefund. Pacific does not object to using a conpound interest calculation. As in the case of the $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ Expense Limit adjustment, we adopt a corpound interest calculation.
15. DRA protests Pacific's inclusion of a $\$ 20.100$ million revenue requirement to recover an amount refunded to ratepayers in 1990 for SSA 89-1 as ordered by Resolution T-13073, June 21, 1989.
16. Pacific states that it seeks the $\$ 20.100$ million for the 1990 AT\&T Billing and Collections refund because under the new negulatory framework adopted after Resolution T-13033, the flow through of the SSA 89-1 incremental revenue requirement is inappropriate. It also asks that the Commission discontinue amy requirement to flow through future incremental revenue requinement effects for new SSAs.
17. DRA concurs with Pacific's request to discontinue future flow through incremental revenue requinements for new SSA's; however, DRA believes that the $\$ 20.100$ million refunded by Pacific to ratepayers in 1990 under an order prior to adoption of the new regulatory framework should not be returned to Pacific.
18. He concur with DPA and Pacific that flow through for future SSAs is inappropriate under the new regulatory framesork, but that the $\$ 20.100$ million already refunded to ratepayers as regulred by our Resolution T-13073 is appropriate and should not be returned to Pacific.
19. DRA protested the exclusion of Inside Wire Maintenance billings from Pacific's Billing Base, because it assumes Pacific will apply the surcharge to Sinple Inside Wire Repair service. Pacific responded that it has exempted Sirple Inside wire repair service from the billing surchange. He accept Pacific's figure of $\$ 6,769.698$ million for the Billing Base.
20. Our adopted revenue requinements for Pacific's 1991 Price Cap Index and 2 -factor adjustments are indicated in column $D$ of Appendix A, below.
21. The total revenue requirement adopted for Pacific is ( $\$ 114.027$ million).

## THERXPCRE, IT IS CPDEPED that:

1. Pacific Bell shall effect a $\$ 114.027$ million nevenue requirement decrease assocfated with its 1991 Anral Price Cap Index Filing, including InterIATA SPF-to-SLU revenue shift and Intralata Spf-toSUU settlement effect (Advice Letter 15821/15821A).
2. Pacific Bell shall supplement its Advice Letter 15821 on or before Decerber 26, 1990, to implement a billing surcharge/surcredit reflecting this revenue requinement, applied to a total Billing Base of $\$ 6,769.698$ million, for

Intralata Exchange and Private Line Services
IntraLATA Toll Services of
Intralata Access Service
to become effective on January 1, 1991, subject to review and approval by the Comission Advisory and Compliance Division.
3. We accept Pacific's InterLATA SPF-to-SUU reverue shift of $\$ 68.935$ million and IntralATA SPF-to-SLU settlement effect of $\$ 7.900$ million; Pacific's Comman Carrier Line Change (excluding High cost Fund increment) ố $\$ 0.0170$ for each Premium Access Minute, and $\$ 0.0133$ for each Discounted Access Minute is appropriate, and shall beccme effective on January $1,1991$.
4. Pacific will consider the rate base decrease due to Expensing Station Connections for the period January 1, 1991, thnough January 1, 1992, as a $Z$-factor revenue requixement adjustment in it 1992 Annual Price Cap Index Filing.
5. Ne discontimue any requinement for Pacific to flow-through future incremental revenue effects for new AT\&R Billing \& Collections agreements.
6. Effective Jamary 1, 1992, Pacific may revise the billing suncharge/surcredit to remove one-time z-factor adjustments for the $\$ 200 / \$ 500$ Expense Inmit and Inside Wire Refund.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the public Utilities Comission at its regular meeting on December 19, 1990. The following Commissioners approved it:
G. MITCTELL WHK President FREDERICK R. DUOA STANLEY W. HULEIT JOHN B. OHANIAT PATRICIA M. ECKERT

Commissioners


| ITES | A. PACIFIC | 3. ORA | C. Atst | - A0091ED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Price cep (.2才) trpact | (513,539) | (513,501) | (313,539) | (\$13.539) |
| 2-Factors |  |  |  |  |
| 2. uscar stepdom | (\$23,122) | (523,122) | ( 323,122 ) | (523,122) |
| 3. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500$ exp lia (permanent) <br> $\$ 200$ to $\$ 500 \mathrm{sip}$ lim (one-time) | $\begin{aligned} & 59,130 \\ & 54,377 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,130 \\ & \$ 4,761 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,130 \\ & 34,377 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9.130 \\ & 54.763 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Conpens3ted Absences | 54, 540 | 54.560 | \$4,540 | \$4.560 |
| 5. Expensing Station Corriections | (39, 367) | ( 519,348 ) | (348,574) | (519,933) |
| 6. State Iax Conformity-Vph | \$4,303 | \$4,303 | 44,303 | \$4.303 |
| 7. Sial Equipment Minutes | \$14,759 | \$14,739 | \$14.759 | \$16,159 |
| 8. Inside vire Refind (one-time) | (579,906) | ( 580.904 ) | ( 579.904 ) | ( 880.804 ) |
| 9. Public Packet Switching | ( 55.124 ) | $(35,124)$ | ( 55.126 ) | (\$5,126) |
| 10. Atst 3itting soltection | \$20,100 | 50 | \$20,100 | 50 |
| (one-tine) <br> 11. Tax senefits - Bond Retirement | \$0 | ( 58,900 ) | \$ | ( 88.900 ) |
| TOTAL Prfce Cap and 2-Factor Ad] | $\cdots$ | (5113.506) | (\$1,4,454) | $(\$ 114,027)$ |

